Neuropathic Allodynia Involves Spinal Neurexin-1β-dependent Neuroligin-1/Postsynaptic Density-95/NR2B Cascade in Rats
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ABSTRACT

Background: Neuroligin-1 (NL1) forms a complex with the presynaptic neurexin-1β (Nrx1b), regulating clustering of N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors with postsynaptic density-95 (PSD-95) to underlie learning-/memory-associated plasticity. Pain-related spinal neuroplasticity shares several common features with learning-/memory-associated plasticity. The authors thereby investigated the potential involvement of NL1-related mechanism in spinal nerve ligation (SNL)–associated allodynia.

Methods: In 626 adult male Sprague–Dawley rats, the withdrawal threshold and NL1, PSD-95, phosphorylated NR2B (pNR2B) expressions, interactions, and locations in dorsal horn (L4 to L5) were compared between the sham operation and SNL groups. A recombinant Nrx1b Fc chimera (Nrx1b Fc, 10 μg, 10 μl, i.t., i.t., bolus), antisense small-interfering RNA targeting to NL1 (10 μg, 10 μl, i.t., daily for 4 days), or NR2B antagonist (Ro 25-6981; 1 μM, 10 μl, i.t., bolus) were administered to SNL animals to elucidate possible cascades involved.

Results: SNL-induced allodynia failed to affect NL1 or PSD-95 expression. However, pNR2B expression (mean ± SD from 13.1 ± 2.87 to 23.1 ± 2.52, n = 6) and coexpression of NL1–PSD-95, pNR2B–PSD-95, and NL1-total NR2B were enhanced by SNL (from 10.7 ± 2.27 to 22.2 ± 3.94, 11.5 ± 2.15 to 23.8 ± 3.32, and 8.9 ± 1.83 to 14.9 ± 2.27 at day 7, n = 6). Furthermore, neuron-localized pNR2B PSD-95–pNR2B double-labeled and NL1/PSD-95/pNR2B triple-labeled immunofluorescence in the ipsilateral dorsal horn was all prevented by Nrx1b Fc and NL1-targeted small-interfering RNA designed to block and prevent NL1 expression. Without affecting NL1–PSD-95 coupling, Ro 25-6981 decreased the SNL-induced PSD-95–pNR2B coprecipitation (from 18.7 ± 1.80 to 14.7 ± 2.36 at day 7, n = 6).

Conclusion: SNL-induced allodynia, which is mediated by the spinal NL1/PSD-95/pNR2B cascade, can be prevented by blockade of transsynaptic Nrx1b–NL1 interactions. (Anesthesiology 2015; 123:909-26)

The development of long-term potentiation (LTP), an enduring increase in the synaptic efficacy that underlies memory consolidation in brain areas, is associated with the altered expression of cell adhesion molecule (CAM) genes in central synapses. At this location, these genes regulate synaptic strength by recruiting scaffolding proteins, neurotransmitter receptors, and synaptic vesicles in response to the binding of counter receptors across the synapse. The knockout of neureligins (NLs), a family of CAMs enriched in the postsynaptic densities of rodents, results in memory deficits. The presence of specifically spliced NL1, a member of the NL family that specifically localizes to excitatory synapses, is a requirement for LTP expression in hippocampal pyramidal cells, which implies a crucial role for NL1 in the association of N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors in the postsynaptic membrane is critical to neuroplastic changes.

What This Article Tells Us That Is New

- It was shown that the association of N-methyl-D-aspartate NR2B subunits and postsynaptic density-95 scaffolding protein was enhanced in spinal cord dorsal horn neurons after nerve ligation in rats
- The disruption of neurexin-1β–neuroligin-1 interaction reduced allodynia and NR2B–postsynaptic density-95 interactions in nerve-ligated rats

What We Already Know about This Topic

- Pain-related spinal neuroplasticity resembles memory-associated neuroplasticity
- The clustering of N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors in the postsynaptic membrane is critical to neuroplastic changes
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neural plasticity that underlies memory formation. Spinal plasticity caused by damage to the peripheral tissue/nerves shares several common machineries with LTP that result in postinjury pain and hypersensitivity.9 Although NL1 has been implicated as a key molecule that regulates the forms of LTP in brain areas, the roles of NL1 in spinal plasticity, which allows for enhanced nociception after injury, have not been identified. Therefore, the first aim of this study is to elucidate the contribution of NL1 to the spinal plasticity underlying neuropathic nociceptive hypersensitivity.

Although the mechanism has not been fully elucidated, NL1 may affect LTP by modifying the glutamatergic N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (NMDAR)–mediated synaptic response because NL1 possesses postsynaptic density-95 (PSD-95)/discs large/zona occludens-1–binding motifs in the cytoplasmic domain that interacts with PSD-9510 to recruit and cluster NMDARs.11 Moreover, NL knock-out mice exhibit diminished NMDAR-mediated synaptic responses12 and deficits in hippocampal LTP.13 Our laboratory has demonstrated painful visceral irritation,14,15 neuropathic injury,16,17 and enhanced PSD-95 coupling with NR2B-containing NMDARs and subsequent NR2B phosphorylation in the dorsal horn. Conversely, uncoupling PSD-95 from NR2B-containing NMDARs prevents spinal NR2B phosphorylation and ameliorates neuropathic allodynia.17 These observations prompted us to test whether spinal PSD-95–NR2B coupling–dependent NR2B activation acts downstream of NL1 to mediate the nociceptive hypersensitivity caused by neuropathic injury.

Neurexin-1 (Nrx1), a presynaptic CAM that has longer Nrx1α and shorter Nrx1β (Nrx1b) isoforms,18,19 was demonstrated to regulate the membrane trafficking of NMDARs,20 which is crucial for synaptic strength.21 Transsynaptic Nrx1b–NL1 interactions enhanced the PSD-95-dependent recruitment of postsynaptic molecules in hippocampal22,23 and cortical neurons.24 In cultured cells, the expression of Nrx1b enhances the clustering of NMDARs with PSD-95 on the postsynaptic dendrite via Nrx1b–NL binding.25,26 Because several studies have demonstrated that the Nrx1b–NL1 interaction modulates the downstream NL1/PSD-95/NMDAR cascade, we hypothesized that Nrx1b contributes to neuropathic injury–induced nociceptive hypersensitivity by interacting with NL1, which subsequently activates the spinal NL1/PSD-95/pNR2B cascade. Accordingly, we tested this hypothesis in an in vivo rat model.

Materials and Methods

Animal Preparations

All animal procedures in this study were conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the International Association for the Study of Pain27 and were reviewed and approved by the institutional review board of Taipei Medical University, Taipei, Taiwan. A total of 626 adult male Sprague–Dawley rats (200 to 250 g) were used throughout this study. Animals were randomly allocated to treatment groups by a computer with the use of a research randomizer, and the sample size of each group was based on our previous experience. In each group, there were 10 rats used for behavioral tests, and 6 rats for the Western blot, immunohistochemistry, and cophoresis analysis; the investigators were blinded to the treatment groups for all experiments. Eight animals showed neurological deficits after the implantation of a catheter; these animals were excluded from the statistical analysis. Therefore, a total of 618 animals were used for the statistical analyses.

Spinal Nerve Ligation

After anesthesia (isoflurane, induction 5% in air, maintenance 2% in air), the left L5 to L6 spinal nerves were dissected and tightly ligated with 6-0 silk sutures 2 to 5 mm distal to the dorsal root ganglia.17,28 In the sham operation group, the surgical procedures were identical to the nerve ligation group, except the silk sutures were left unligated.

Intrathecal Catheter

Polyethylene-10 silastic tubing was implanted in the lumbar enlargement of the spinal cord. After implantation, the animals were allowed to recover for 3 days, and those that showed neurological deficits after surgery were sacrificed and excluded from the statistical analyses.17,28

Behavioral Studies

Tactile sensitivity was assessed by measuring the paw with- drawal threshold of rats in response to probing with von Frey monofilaments.29 In brief, rats were placed individually in an opaque plastic cylinder, which was placed on a wire mesh. Animals were habituated for 1 h to allow acclimatization to the test environment before each test. After acclimatization, calibrated von Frey filaments (0.07 to 26.0 g) were applied to the plantar surfaces of the hind paws of rats; the animals’ tactile thresholds before operation were set to 15 g.17 The motor function was assessed using an accelerating rota-rod apparatus (LE8500; Ugo Basile, Italy) in some animals. For acclimatization, the animals were subjected to three training trials at 3- to 4-h intervals on 2 separate days. During the training sessions, the rod was set to accelerate from 0 to 30 rpm over a 180-s period. During the test session, the performance times of rats were recorded up to a cutoff time of 180 s. Three measurements obtained at intervals of 5 min were averaged for each test.30

Western Blotting

The dissected dorsal horn (L4 to L5) sample was homogenized in 25 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 1% sodium deoxycholate, and 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate with a complete protease inhibitor mixture (Roche, Germany). After incubation on ice (1 h), the lysates were centrifuged (14,000 rpm, 20 min, 4°C). The protein concentrations were determined using a bicinchoninic acid assay. In brief, the supernatant was separated on an acrylamide gel
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and transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane, which was then incubated (1 h, room temperature) in either sheep anti-NL1 (1:1,000; R&D, USA), mouse anti-PSD-95 (1:1,000; Millipore, USA), sheep anti-neurexin-1β (1:1,000; R&D), rabbit anti-phosphorylated NR2B (pNR2B 1:1,000; Millipore), or mouse anti-glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (1:4,000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, USA). The blots were washed and incubated (1 h at room temperature) in peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G (IgG) (1:8,000; Jackson ImmunoResearch, USA), goat anti-mouse IgG (1:8,000; Jackson ImmunoResearch), or rabbit anti-sheep IgG (1:8,000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology). The protein bands were visualized using an enhanced chemiluminescence detection kit (ECL Plus; Millipore) and then subjected to a densitometric analysis with Science Lab 2003 (Fuji, Japan).

Coprecipitation
Rabbit polyclonal antibody against PSD-95 or total NR2B was incubated (overnight, 4°C) with the dorsal horn extracts. The 1:1 slurry protein agarose suspension (Millipore) was added into this protein immunocomplex, and the mixture was incubated (2 to 3 h, 4°C). The agarose beads were washed once with 1% (v/v) Triton X-100 in an immunoprecipitation buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.4, 5 mM EDTA, 0.02% [w/v] sodium azide), twice with 1% (v/v) Triton X-100 in an immunoprecipitation buffer containing 300 mM NaCl, and thrice with an immunoprecipitation buffer only. The bound proteins were eluted with sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis sample buffer at 95°C. The proteins were separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, electrophoretically transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes, and detected using sheep anti-NL1 (1:1,000; R&D), mouse anti-PSD-95 (1:1,000; Millipore), rabbit anti-total NR2B (nNR2B 1:1,000; Millipore), and rabbit anti-pNR2B (1:1,000; Millipore).

Immunofluorescence
Six rats were chosen randomly from each group, and the spinal cord sample of each animal was taken for immunofluorescence. After perfusion (100 ml phosphate-buffered saline followed by 300 ml paraformaldehyde; 4%; pH 7.4), the spinal cord samples were harvested, postfixed (4°C for 4 h), and cryoprotected in sucrose solution (30%) overnight. Starting sections of spinal slices were chosen randomly and every fifth section throughout L4 to L5 was analyzed. The samples were double-labeled to investigate the interactions between NL1 and neuronal/glial/microglia markers; specifically, the spinal sections were incubated overnight (4°C) with a mixture of sheep anti-NL1 (1:200; R&D) and mouse monoclonal antineuronal nuclear antigen (NeuN, a neuronal marker, 1:500; Millipore), mouse antitigial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP, a marker of astroglial cells; 1:1,000; Millipore), or mouse anti-integrin αM (OX-42, a marker of microglia; 1:500; Santa Cruz Biotechnology). For the double-labeling analyses that examined the interactions between PSD-95 and pNR2B, the spinal sections were incubated overnight (4°C) with a mixture of mouse anti-PSD-95 (1:200; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and rabbit anti-pNR2B (1:200; Millipore). For these analyses, the sections were then incubated (1 h, 37°C) with Alexa Fluor 405 (blue, 1:1,500; Life Technologies, USA), Alexa Fluor 488 (green, 1:1,500; Life Technologies), and Alexa Fluor 594 (red, 1:1,500; Life Technologies). The sections were subsequently rinsed in phosphate-buffered saline, and coverslips were applied. When these fluorescent markers are excited, they can be easily detected by a camera-coupled device (X-plorer; Diagnostic Instruments, Inc., USA) through a fluorescent microscopy (LEICA DM2500, Germany). Five sections from the neuropathic or sham-operated spinal cord were used for cell counting in each rat. Cell counting was carried out under a microscope at the magnification of x200.

Small-interfering RNA
The 19 nucleotide duplexes of the small-interfering RNAs (siRNAs) for NL1 were 5′-GUGAUAAUUAUAUGGAU-3′ and the missense nucleotides were 5′-UCCAAUAAAUAUCAAC-3′. The missense or siRNA was intrathecally administered with a polyethyleneimine (10 μl, 25 kDa; Sigma–Aldrich, Germany)-based gene delivery system into the dorsal subarachnoid space (L4 to L5) of animals through the implanted catheter (daily for 4 days from day 3 to 6 after spinal nerve ligation [SNL]).

Drugs Application
Ro 25-6981 (a NR2B activation antagonist; 100 nM, 10 μl; Tocris Bioscience, USA; bolus at 7 days after SNL; intrathecal) and Nrx1b Fc (Neurexin-1β Fc chimera; Recombinant Human Neurexin 1β Fc Chimera; which does not include the splice site 4 insertion and shares 99% amino acid sequence identity with rat NRX1b; I, 3 and 5 μg; 10 μl; R&D; bolus at 7 days after SNL; intrathecal) were administered intrathecally via a bolus injection. A vehicle solution of a volume identical to that of the tested agents was dispensed to serve as a control.

Data Analysis
All data in this study were analyzed using SigmaPlot 10.0 (Systat Software, USA) or Prism 6.0 (GraphPad, USA) and are expressed as the mean ± SD. A paired two-tailed Student t test was used to compare the means between groups. The paw withdrawal threshold, protein abundance, and mRNA abundance measured at an identical time point was analyzed using one-way ANOVA. The effects of operations and/or treatments were assayed using two-way ANOVA of groups by comparing value repeated measured at time points after
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operations/treatments, and post hoc Tukey tests were used to compare the means of groups. Significance was set at a P value less than 0.05.

Results

Nerve Ligation–induced Behavioral Allodynia

We initially examined whether SNL induces behavioral allodynia using von Frey tests. Although SNL failed to affect the response of the contralateral hind paw (fig. 1A; SNL CONTRA, 15.7 ± 5.89, 14.6 ± 4.65, 14.6 ± 4.65, 15.7 ± 5.89, and 15.1 ± 4.36 at days 1, 3, 7, 14, and 21, respectively, compared with day −1), it provoked allodynia, as evidenced by significant decreases in the withdrawal threshold of the ipsilateral hind paw (SNL IPSI, 5.4 ± 2.12, 0.9 ± 0.53, 0.3 ± 0.39, 0.3 ± 0.27, and 0.3 ± 0.38 at days 1, 3, 7, 14, and 21, respectively, compared with day −1). Time-dependent analyses demonstrated that the decrement in the withdrawal threshold began at day 1, peaked at day 7, and remained relatively constant for at least 21 days postsurgery. Nevertheless, the sham operation failed to affect the withdrawal thresholds of the ipsilateral or contralateral hind paws at these time points (sham IPSI and sham CONTRA, respectively; sham IPSI, 15.7 ± 5.89, 15.2 ± 6.16, 15.7 ± 5.89, 16.2 ± 5.55, and 15.2 ± 6.16 and sham CONTRA, 15.1 ± 4.36, 15.6 ± 4.62, 15.2 ± 6.16, 14.6 ± 4.65, and 14.6 ± 4.65 at days 1, 3, 7, 14, and 21, respectively, compared with day −1, both n = 10). These results suggest that our experimental nerve injury model selectively induced allodynia in the ipsilateral hind paw.

Nerve Ligation Did Not Affect Spinal NL1 Expression

Following our observation of SNL-provoked allodynia, we measured the abundance of spinal NL1 in response to the experimental neuropathic injury. Unexpectedly, the Western blotting analyses demonstrated that neither SNL nor the sham operation affected NL1 expression in the ipsilateral dorsal horn paws at these time points (sham IPSI and sham CONTRA, respectively; sham IPSI, 15.7 ± 5.89, 15.2 ± 6.16, 15.2 ± 5.89, 16.2 ± 5.55, and 15.2 ± 6.16 and sham CONTRA, 15.1 ± 4.36, 15.6 ± 4.62, 15.2 ± 6.16, 14.6 ± 4.65, and 14.6 ± 4.65 at days 1, 3, 7, 14, and 21, respectively, compared with day −1, both n = 10). Moreover, the reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction results demonstrated no changes in the mRNA levels at these time points in either group compared with the presurgery measurements (fig. 1D). Sham IPSI, 9.7 ± 2.62, 10.0 ± 2.03, 9.1 ± 2.40, and 10.3 ± 2.38, respectively, compared with day −1, n = 6; SNL IPSI, 8.8 ± 2.65, 9.3 ± 2.88, 9.4 ± 2.27, and 9.6 ± 2.64, respectively, compared with day −1, n = 6). Moreover, the reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction results demonstrated no changes in the mRNA levels at these time points in either group compared with the presurgery measurements (fig. 1D). Sham IPSI, 1.0 ± 0.33, 1.1 ± 0.32, 1.0 ± 0.44, and 1.0 ± 0.32 at days 3, 7, 14, and 21, respectively, compared with day −1, n = 6; SNL IPSI, 1.2 ± 0.33, 1.3 ± 0.31, 1.1 ± 0.35, and 1.2 ± 0.43 at days 3, 7, 14, and 21, respectively, compared with day −1, n = 6). These results indicate that the SNL-induced allodynia did not correlate with spinal NL1 expression.

SNL-induced Interactions between Spinal NL1 and PSD-95

The interaction between NL1 and PSD-95 regulates intracellular signals that mediate neural activity10,31; moreover, our previous study demonstrated that spinal PSD-95 plays an important role in the development of neuropathic allodynia.32 Therefore, we hypothesized that the spinal NL1–PSD-95 interaction contributes to the nociceptive hypersensitivity caused by neuropathic injury. To test this hypothesis, we compared the relative amounts of PSD-95-bound NL1 at different time points before and after surgery. In the PSD-95 immunoprecipitates (IP:PSD-95; purified from the ipsilateral dorsal horn samples; fig. 1, E and F), SNL, but not the sham operation, significantly increased the amount of PSD-95-bound NL1 (PSD-95–NL1) in the ipsilateral dorsal horn at day 3, 7, 14, and 21 after SNL (sham IPSI, 13.1 ± 4.86, 11.2 ± 2.97, 11.7 ± 2.85, and 12.2 ± 4.19; SNL IPSI, 19.2 ± 4.44, 25.2 ± 5.51, 22.0 ± 5.25, and 19.2 ± 4.64 at days 3, 7, 14, and 21, respectively, compared with day −1, both n = 6). The SNL-increased PSD-95–NL1 interaction was parallel to the time course of decrements in withdrawal latency. In contrast, PSD-95 immunoreactivity (PSD-95-PSD-95) was stable at all time points in both groups (sham IPSI, 10.8 ± 2.88, 12.0 ± 2.20, 10.8 ± 2.18, and 10.6 ± 2.63 and SNL IPSI, 11.2 ± 2.68, 11.6 ± 2.55, 11.7 ± 2.47, and 12.0 ± 2.41 at days 3, 7, 14, and 21, respectively, compared with day −1, both n = 6). In consistence, the immunohistochemistry analyses of the ipsilateral dorsal horns (L4 to L5; dissected on day 7 postsurgery) did not reveal a significant difference in the numbers of NL1-positive neurons between the SNL (fig. 2, A and B; SNL 7D, 30.5 ± 9.35, n = 6) and sham-operated groups (sham 7D, 33.3 ± 13.49, n = 6). Moreover, the double-staining results demonstrated that the immunofluorescence of NL1 colocalized with that of PSD-95 in the dorsal horn of SNL rats. When spinal slices were labeled for NL1 and NeuN (a neuron marker), OX-42 (a microglia marker), or GFAP (an astrocyte marker), the double-staining images revealed that the NL1 immunofluorescence overlapped with that of NeuN but not OX-42 or GFAP, which implies that the NL1 immunofluorescence occurred in dorsal horn neurons and not in the glia or astrocytes. Together, these results indicated that SNL enhanced spinal NL1–PSD-95 coupling in dorsal horn neurons but did not affect NL1.

SNL Provoked NR2B Phosphorylation Colocalized with PSD-95

Previous studies have demonstrated that interactions between NL1 and PSD-95 recruit and cluster NMDARs11 that enable the subsequent phosphorylation of specific NMDAR subunits.33 Our laboratory has shown that SNL-induced dorsal horn PSD-95–NR2B coupling and subsequent NR2B phosphorylation play a role in the development of neuropathic pain.17 Because our data indicate that the physical coupling between NL1 and PSD-95 contributes to neuropathic allodynia, we tested whether SNL provoked spinal NL1–PSD-95–pNR2B interactions by first examining the cellular distributions of spinal PSD-95 and pNR2B in response to SNL. Although SNL did not
Lin et al. affect PSD-95 immunofluorescence (fig. 3, A and B), it significantly enhanced the pNR2B immunofluorescence that colocalized with PSD-95 at 7 days after surgery compared with the sham operation (PSD-95, 32.0 ± 8.69 in SNL 7D compared with 28.7 ± 10.9 in sham 7D, n = 6; pNR2B, 75.3 ± 14.36 in SNL 7D compared with 22.0 ± 9.42 in sham 7D, n = 6; PSD-95/pNR2B, 19.8 ± 7.25 in SNL 7D compared with 8.17 ± 3.97 in sham 7D, n = 6), which indicated that SNL-enhanced NR2B phosphorylation coincided with PSD-95 in the dorsal horn neurons. Our previous study has shown that SNL time-dependently provoked alldynia and pNR2B expression with a maximal effect at 7 days after surgery. We next confirmed the effects of SNL on the expressions of candidate proteins, and the results of Western blotting experiments demonstrated that SNL provoked dorsal horn NR2B phosphorylation as evidenced by a significant increase in the band intensity of pNR2B (fig. 3, C and D; pNR2B; 23.1 ± 2.52 in SNL 7D compared with 13.1 ± 2.87 in sham 7D, n = 6). However, SNL did not affect the NL1 or PSD-95 level (NL1, 13.5 ± 3.30 in SNL 7D compared with 13.8 ± 3.33 in sham 7D, n = 6; PSD-95, 20.4 ± 2.9 in SNL 7D compared with...
Fig. 2. Colocalized neuroligin-1 (NL1) and postsynaptic density-95 (PSD-95) immunoreactivity in dorsal horn neurons. (A) The NL1 immunoreactivity (red) in the ipsilateral dorsal horn of the spinal cord sections obtained on day 7 postsurgery exhibited no differences between the spinal nerve ligation (SNL 7D) and sham-operated (sham 7D) animals. The PSD-95 (green) fluorescence was coincident with NL1 (yellow; indicated by arrows); and NL1 immunoreactivity (red) colocalized (yellow) with NeuN (green, a marker of neurons; indicated by arrows) but not OX-42 (green, a marker of microglia) or glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP; green, a marker of astrocytes). Each of these images is representative of six sample preparations, and similar results were observed each time. Scale bar = 50 μm, thickness = 50 μm. (B) The numbers of NL1-positive neurons in the ipsilateral dorsal horn measured 7D postsurgery did not vary between the SNL and sham-operated groups.
Fig. 3. Nerve ligation induces the interactions between neuroligin-1 (NL1), postsynaptic density-95 (PSD-95), and phosphorylated NR2B (pNR2B). (A) At day 7 (7D) postsurgery, although it elicited no effect on that of PSD-95 (red), spinal nerve ligation (SNL; SNL 7D) but not the sham operation (sham 7D) notably enhanced the immunofluorescence of pNR2B (green) in the ipsilateral dorsal horns. The immunofluorescence of pNR2B colabeled with that of PSD-95 in both the SNL and sham-operated groups (yellow, indicated by arrows); and there were more colabeled neurons in the SNL than the sham-operated group. Each of these images is representative of six sample preparations. Scale bar = 50 μm, thickness = 50 μm. (B) SNL enhanced numbers of pNR2B-positive and PSD-95/pNR2B double-labeled neurons (**P < 0.01 vs. sham 7D, n = 6). (C) Immunoblot (IB) for NL1, PSD-95, and pNR2B after SNL. The level of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was used as a loading control. (D) Although it elicited no effect on the expressions of NL1 or PSD-95, SNL up-regulated the level of pNR2B in the ipsilateral dorsal horn (**P < 0.01 vs. sham 7D, n = 6). (E) Coprecipitation of PSD-95 with NL1 and pNR2B in the ipsilateral spinal cord after SNL. No immunoreactivity for the candidate proteins was detected in the immunoglobulin G (IgG)-recognized precipitates. (F) Although it elicited no effect on PSD-95 immunoreactivity, SNL significantly increased the amounts of pNR2B-bound NL1 and pNR2B (**P < 0.01 vs. sham 7D, n = 6). (G) Coprecipitation of total NR2B (tNR2B) with NL1, PSD-95, and pNR2B in the ipsilateral spinal cord after SNL. No immunoreactivity for the candidate proteins was detected in the IgG-recognized precipitates. (H) Although it elicited no effect on tNR2B immunoreactivity, SNL significantly increased the amounts of tNR2B-bound NL1, PSD-95, and pNR2B (**P < 0.01 vs. sham 7D, n = 6). IP = immunoprecipitate.
19.6 ± 2.86 in sham 7D, n = 6). These findings indicated that SNL induced spinal NR2B phosphorylation without affecting NL1 or PSD-95 expression.

**SNL Provoked Interactions between Spinal NL1, PSD-95, and pNR2B**

In the PSD-95 immunoprecipitates (IP:PSD-95; fig. 3, E and F) that were purified from the ipsilateral dorsal horn samples (L4 to L5; day 7 postsurgery), the PSD-95 immunoreactivity was found to be similar between the sham-operated and SNL animals (PSD-95/PSD-95, 10.4 ± 1.69 in SNL 7D compared with 11.3 ± 1.69 in sham 7D, n = 6). In contrast, SNL increased the amounts of PSD-95-bound NL1 and pNR2B, as evidenced by the significant increase in the immunoreactivities in the precipitates compared with the sham operation (PSD-95/NL1, 22.2 ± 3.39 in SNL 7D compared with 10.7 ± 2.27 in sham 7D, n = 6; PSD-95/pNR2B, 23.8 ± 3.32 in SNL 7D compared with 11.4 ± 2.15 in sham 7D, n = 6). Similarly, although the tNR2B immunoreactivity did not differ between groups (fig. 3, G and H; tNR2B/tNR2B, 23.5 ± 2.43 in SNL 7D compared with 23.8 ± 2.75 in sham 7D, n = 6), SNL significantly increased the amounts of tNR2B-bound NL1, PSD-95, and pNR2B in the tNR2B precipitates (IP:tNR2B) compared with the sham-operated controls (tNR2B/NL1, 14.9 ± 2.27 in SNL 7D compared with 8.9 ± 1.83 in sham 7D, n = 6; tNR2B/PSD-95, 16.9 ± 1.90 in SNL 7D compared with 10.1 ± 2.17 in sham 7D, n = 6; tNR2B/pNR2B, 26.1 ± 3.20 in SNL 7D compared with 10.1 ± 3.02 in sham 7D, n = 6). In the nonspecific IgG-recognized immunoprecipitates (IP:IgG), immunoreactivities to antibodies against NL1, PSD-95, or pNR2B were not detectable. These results indicated that SNL induced physical interactions between NL1, PSD-95, and pNR2B in the dorsal horn.

**NL1 Antisense siRNA Decreased Spinal NL1 Expression**

We then examined whether the lack of spinal NL1 modified SNL-induced allodynia by first implanting an intrathecal catheter to dispense drugs. After recovering from catheter implantation (3 days), the animals were administered an antisense siRNA that targeted NL1 (fig. 4A; it+NL1 RNAi), a missense siRNA (it+MS), or polyethylenimine (it+PEI, a transfection reagent) via the intrathecal catheter (daily for 4 days). Compared with the baseline level in naive animals (naive, 11.0 ± 1.61, n = 6), the implantation of an intrathecal catheter (it, 11.4 ± 2.33, n = 6) or the spinal administration of polyethylenimine (it+PEI, 10.4 ± 1.73, n = 6) or missense siRNA (it+MS 10 μg, 10 μl, 11.1 ± 2.13, n = 6) failed to affect NL1 expression in the dorsal horn. However, the NL1 mRNA-targeted siRNA (itsNL1 RNAi; 1, 5, and 10 μg; 10 μl) significantly reduced the spinal NL1 expression by decreasing the band intensity of NL1 in a dose-dependent manner (10.9 ± 2.41, 7.7 ± 1.63, and 4.87 ± 2.11 for 1, 5, and 10 μg, respectively, N = 6), which implies that our treatment sufficiently decreased NL1 expression in the spinal cord. To exclude the possibility of aberrant motor responses caused by the antisense administration, the motor abilities of the animals were assessed using the rota-rod test. The performance time of the rota-rod test did not significantly differ between the naïve and polyethylenimine-treated (it+PEI), missense siRNA-treated (it+MS; 10 μg, 10 μl), or NL1 antisense siRNA-treated (it+NL1 RNAi; 10 μg, 10 μl) animals (fig. 4B; naive, 104.4 ± 13.50, 112.2 ± 21.0, 104.4 ± 19.49, 110.8 ± 24.83, and 106.9 ± 29.71; it+PEI, 112.4 ± 24.15, 106.4 ± 26.36, 108.9 ± 22.36, 104.7 ± 22.72, and 111.9 ± 21.11; it+MS, 104.4 ± 18.55, 103.9 ± 29.24, 101.8 ± 26.13, 104.6 ± 18.63, and 102.6 ± 20.68; it+NL1 RNAi, 108.0 ± 39.67, 102.8 ± 22.71, 116.0 ± 28.63, 112.8 ± 23.54, and 106.2 ± 24.82 at days 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively, all n = 10), indicating that our knockdown procedures did not induce motor deficits in animals.

**NL1 Knockdown Prevented SNL-associated Allodynia**

We next examined the effect of the NL1 knockdown on SNL-induced allodynia and observed that, although the paw withdrawal threshold of the sham-operated animals was not affected at any of the time points (fig. 4C; sham, 15.0 ± 0.00, 14.5 ± 1.58, 14.4 ± 4.90, 15.1 ± 4.36, and 15.6 ± 3.98; sham+it, 15.0 ± 0.00, 14.5 ± 1.58, 15.5 ± 6.13, 15.7 ± 5.89; sham+it+MS, 15.0 ± 0.00, 14.5 ± 1.58, 15.5 ± 6.13, 15.5 ± 6.13, and 15.7 ± 5.89; sham+it+NL1 RNAi, 15.0 ± 0.00, 14.6 ± 4.64, 14.0 ± 2.11, 15.8 ± 7.32, and 16.1 ± 7.26 at days −1, 1, 3, 5, and 7, respectively, all n = 10), the spinal administration of NL1 antisense siRNA (fig. 4D; SNL+it+NL1 RNAi; 10 μg, 10 μl), but not missense siRNA (SNL+it+MS; 10 μg, 10 μl) or catheter implantation alone (SNL+it), partially prevented SNL-induced allodynia, as evidenced by the significant increases in the paw withdrawal thresholds 5 and 7 days after surgery (SNL, 15.0 ± 0.00, 5.4 ± 2.12, 1.1 ± 0.66, 1.6 ± 1.06, and 1.0 ± 1.29; SNL+it, 15.0 ± 0.00, 4.5 ± 2.48, 10.58 ± 0.49, 0.7 ± 0.56, and 0.55 ± 0.52; SNL+it+MS, 15.0 ± 0.00, 3.4 ± 2.34, 0.8 ± 0.83, 1.3 ± 1.21, and 0.9 ± 0.65; SNL+it+NL1 RNAi, 15.0 ± 0.00, 5.5 ± 2.89, 1.4 ± 0.74, 6.5 ± 3.37, and 8.4 ± 4.17 at days −1, 1, 3, 5, and 7, respectively, all n = 10). These data provide genetic basis to support the role of spinal NL1 in SNL-induced allodynia.

**NL1 Knockdown Attenuated SNL-induced NL1–PSD-95–pNR2B Interactions and NR2B Phosphorylation**

We then investigated the possibility that the focal knockdown of spinal NL1 expression ameliorated allodynia by influencing the SNL-associated spinal NL1, PSD-95, and pNR2B interactions. In the PSD-95 and tNR2B precipitates (fig. 5, A–D; IP:PSD-95 and IP:tNR2B, respectively), NL1 antisense siRNA (SNL 7D+NL1 RNAi), but not the missense siRNA (SNL 7D+MS), reduced the SNL-enhanced abundances of PSD-95-bound NL1 and pNR2B (PSD-95/NL1, 11.9 ± 1.79 in sham 7D, 22.2 ± 2.81 in SNL 7D, 22.8 ± 2.40 in SNL 7D+MS, 16.0 ± 2.39 in SNL 7D+NL1 RNAi, n = 6; PSD-95/pNR2B, 11.2 ± 1.74 in sham 7D,
22.6 ± 2.67 in SNL 7d, 23.7 ± 2.40 in SNL 7d+MS, 18.6 ± 2.05 in SNL 7d+NL1 RNAi, n = 6) as well as those of the tNR2B-bound NL1, PSD-95, and pNR2B compared with the SNL group (SNL; tNR2B/NL1, 10.4 ± 1.54 in sham 7d, 16.9 ± 2.19 in SNL 7d, 17.5 ± 1.94 in SNL 7d+MS, 12.8 ± 1.51 in SNL 7d+NL1 RNAi, n = 6; tNR2B/PSD-95, 11.9 ± 1.62 in sham 7d, 19.7 ± 2.77 in SNL 7d, 19.3 ± 2.57 in SNL 7d+MS, 15.3 ± 1.87 in SNL 7d+NL1 RNAi, n = 6; tNR2B/pNR2B, 11.4 ± 2.61 in sham 7d, 22.1 ± 2.56 in SNL 7d, 21.3 ± 2.94 in SNL 7d+MS, 14.1 ± 2.29 in SNL 7d+NL1 RNAi, n = 6). In contrast, the PSD-95 and tNR2B immunoreactivities were similar across groups in the PSd-95 and tNR2B precipitates, respectively (PSd-95/PSd-95, 13.9 ± 1.91 in sham 7d, 12.9 ± 1.68 in SNL 7d, 13.8 ± 1.71 in SNL 7d+MS, 12.5 ± 1.74 in SNL 7d+NL1 RNAi, n = 6; tNR2B/tNR2B, 20.9 ± 2.28 in sham 7d, 21.1 ± 2.30 in SNL 7d, 20.5 ± 2.47 in SNL 7d+MS, 20.2 ± 2.80 in SNL 7d+NL1 RNAi, n = 6). These results suggest that spinal NL1 acts as an upstream factor in the SNL-provoked spinal interactions between NL1, PSD-95, and pNR2B. To confirm the effects of NL1 knockdown on the SNL-induced NL1, PSD-95, and pNR2B interactions and NR2B phosphorylation, the cellular expressions and locations of these candidate proteins after the daily administration of specific antisense siRNA were analyzed. Although we observed no effects on the immunofluorescence of PSD-95, the application of NL1 antisense siRNA (fig. 5E; SNL+it+NL1 RNAi, 10 μg, 10 μl) significantly increased the withdrawal threshold in the spinal nerve ligation (SNL) animals at days 5 and 7 postsurgery (**P < 0.01 vs. SNL, n = 10). The gray bar at the bottom indicates the duration of administration. IB = immunoblot.
Fig. 5. Spinal nerve ligation (SNL) induces spinal neuroligin-1 (NL1)/postsynaptic density-95 (PSD-95)/phosphorylated NR2B (pNR2B) cascade-dependent NR2B phosphorylation. (A) The SNL (SNL 7D)-enhanced abundances of PSD-95-bound NL1 and pNR2B on day 7 in response to the spinal administration of NL1 mRNA-targeting small-interfering RNA (siRNA, SNL 7D+NL1 RNAi, 10 µg, 10 µl). No immunoreactivity for the candidate proteins was detected in the immunoglobulin G (IgG)-recognized precipitates. The PSD-95-recognized immunoprecipitates (IP) purified from the sham-operated (sham 7D) or SNL animals were immunoblotted (IB) for PSD-95, NL1, and pNR2B. (B) The NL1 mRNA-targeting siRNA reversed the SNL-induced increases in PSD-95–NL1 and PSD-95–pNR2B coprecipitation (**P < 0.01 vs. sham 7D, n = 6; #P < 0.05, ##P < 0.01 vs. SNL 7D, n = 6). (C) The SNL-induced enhancements in the abundances of total NR2B (tNR2B)-bound NL1, PSD-95, and pNR2B at day 7 in response to the spinal administration of NL1 mRNA-targeting siRNA. No immunoreactivity for the candidate proteins was detected in the IgG-recognized precipitates. (D) The NL1 mRNA-targeting siRNA reversed the SNL-induces increases in tNR2B–NL1, tNR2B–PSD-95, and tNR2B–pNR2B coprecipitation (**P < 0.01 vs. sham 7D, n = 6; #P < 0.05 vs. SNL 7D, n = 6). (E) Although it elicited no effect on NL1 (green) or PSD-95 (red), SNL but not the sham operation notably enhanced the immunofluorescence of pNR2B (blue) in the
rats (fig. 5; NL1, 27.8 ± 12.17 in sham 7D, 33.0 ± 8.92 in SNL 7D, 10.0 ± 3.69 in SNL 7D+N1 RNAi, n = 6; PSD-95, 22.2 ± 11.3 in sham 7D, 18.8 ± 10.30 in SNL 7D, 19.7 ± 11.18 in SNL 7D+N1 RNAi, n = 6; pNR2B, 14.5 ± 8.26 in sham 7D, 80.7 ± 15.08 in SNL 7D, 18.8 ± 5.38 in SNL 7D+N1 RNAi, n = 6; NL1–PSd-95–pNR2B, 5.3 ± 3.01 in sham 7D, 10.5 ± 3.67 in SNL 7D, 3.8 ± 2.32 in SNL 7D+N1 RNAi, n = 6). Moreover, although it did not affect the abundance of PSD-95 (fig. 5, G and H), the NL1 antisense siRNA, but not the missense RNA (SNL 7D+MS; 10 μg, 10 μl), markedly decreased the spiral NL1 expression and SNL-enhanced NR2B phosphorylation, as evidenced by the significant decreases in the band intensities of NL1 and PSD-95 (fig. 4, A and B). The spinal administration of the Nrx1b Fc could ameliorate neuropathic proteins that are crucial for NMdA-associated neurotransmission. A recombinant neurexin-1β Fc chimera fusion protein (Nrx1b Fc) has been shown to interfere with the binding of Nrx1b to NL1, which affects the assembly of postsynaptic proteins that are crucial for NMdA-associated neurotransmission. Therefore, we tested whether the spinal administration of the Nrx1b Fc could ameliorate neuropathic injury-induced allodynia. Seven days after surgery, the paw withdrawal threshold of the sham-operated group measured at the identical time points (fig. 6B; sham 7D, 15.1 ± 4.36, 15.0 ± 6.38, 13.9 ± 5.09, 14.3 ± 6.75, 13.7 ± 5.29, 13.4 ± 5.21, 14.8 ± 6.58, 13.2 ± 5.39, and 13.9 ± 5.09; sham 7D+Veh, 14.0 ± 2.11, 15.6 ± 7.52, 15.0 ± 6.38, 13.6 ± 5.02, 14.1 ± 4.86, 14.3 ± 6.75, 15.0 ± 6.38, 14.2 ± 5.14, and 14.6 ± 6.77; sham 7D+Ro 25-6981 300 nM, 15.4 ± 4.32, 16.0 ± 5.83, 14.5 ± 1.58, 14.1 ± 4.89, 14.1 ± 4.86, 13.7 ± 6.66, 13.7 ± 5.29, and 14.9 ± 6.65 at hours 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8, respectively, all n = 10). The spinal injection of either Ro 25-6981 (100 nM, 10 μl) nor the vehicle solution affected the paw withdrawal threshold of the sham-operated group measured at the control. (H) The spinal administration of NL1 mRNA-targeting siRNA. The level of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase protein (GAPDH) was used as a loading control. (I) The spinal administration of NL1 mRNA-targeting siRNA significantly decreased NL1 expression and the nerve ligation–induced enhancement of pNR2B expression the in dorsal horn samples, but both treatments failed to affect the level of PSD-95 (**P < 0.05 vs. sham 7D, n = 6; #P < 0.01 vs. SNL 7D, n = 6) 7D = day 7 postsurgery; MS = missense.
Fig. 6. Ro 25-6981 reversed spinal nerve ligation (SNL)-induced allodynia and spinal neuroligin-1 (NL1)/postsynaptic density-95 (PSD-95)/NR2B interaction. (A) At day 7 after SNL (SNL 7D), spinal administration with Ro 25-6981 (SNL 7D+Ro 25-6981; 30, 100, and 300 nM; 10 μl) but not the vehicle solution (SNL 7D+veh) increased the withdrawal threshold of the ipsilateral hind paw at hours 1 to 8 after treatment (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 vs. SNL 7D, n = 7). (B) At day 7 after the sham operation, neither Ro 25-6981 (Sham 7D+Ro 25-6981) nor the vehicle solution (Sham 7D+veh) affected the withdrawal threshold of the ipsilateral hind paw measured at hours 1 to 8 after treatment. (C) SNL-induced enhancements in the abundances of PSD-95-bound NL1 and phosphorylated NR2B (pNR2B) at day 7 in response to the spinal administration of Ro 25-6981. No immunoreactivity for the candidate proteins was detected in the immunoglobulin G (IgG)-recognized precipitates. (D) Although it elicited no effect on PSD-95-bound NL1, the spinal injection of Ro 25-6981 significantly decreased the SNL-induced enhancement in the abundance of PSD-95-bound pNR2B (**P < 0.01 vs. sham 7D; ##P < 0.01 vs. SNL 7D). IB = immunoblot; IP = immunoprecipitate.

Fig. 7. Neurexin-1β chimera antagonizes nerve ligation–induced behavioral allodynia. (A) At day 7 after spinal nerve ligation (SNL; SNL 7D), administration with a recombinant neurexin-1β Fc chimera (Nrx1b Fc; 1, 3, and 10 μg; 10 μl; SNL 7D+Nrx1b Fc) but not the vehicle solution (SNL 7D+veh) increased the withdrawal threshold of the ipsilateral hind paw at hours 1 to 7 after treatment (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 vs. SNL 7D, n = 7). (B) At day 7 after sham operation (sham 7D), neither administration with an Nrx1b Fc (3 μg, 10 μl) nor the vehicle solution affected the withdrawal threshold tested at time points.
the intrathecal application of the Nrx1b Fc (fig. 7A; SNL 7d+Nrx1b Fc; 1, 3, and 10 μg; 10 μl), but not the vehicle solution (SNL 7d+Veh), ameliorated the SNL-induced behavioral allodynia, as evidenced by the dose-dependent decreases in the paw withdrawal thresholds from 1 to 7 h after injection compared with the SNL group (SNL 7d; SNL 7d, 15.1 ± 5.12, 15.1 ± 5.12, and 14.7 ± 7.74; sham 7d++, 13.7 ± 4.56, and 14.4 ± 5.46; sham 7d+, Veh, 14.6 ± 4.09, 14.4 ± 5.46, 15.5 ± 4.91, 15.1 ± 5.12, 14.4 ± 5.46, 15.1 ± 5.12, 15.1 ± 5.12, and 14.7 ± 7.74; sham 7d+Nrx1b Fc, 13.9 ± 6.1, 14.9 ± 5.48, 14.6 ± 1.37, 14.7 ± 5.17, 14.4 ± 5.45, 14.4 ± 5.45, 15.3 ± 7.24, 15.9 ± 7.06, and 14.4 ± 4.29 at hours 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8, respectively, all n = 10). Nevertheless, the Nrx1b Fc did not affect the paw withdrawal thresholds of the sham-operated group measured at the same time points (fig. 7B; sham 7d; 13.9 ± 6.61, 14.0 ± 5.88, 15.1 ± 5.13, 14.1 ± 5.74, 14.1 ± 5.74, 14.8 ± 5.48, 14.4 ± 5.46, 13.7 ± 4.56, and 14.4 ± 5.46; sham 7d+Veh, 14.6 ± 4.09, 14.4 ± 5.46, 15.5 ± 4.91, 15.1 ± 5.12, 14.4 ± 5.46, 15.1 ± 5.12, 15.1 ± 5.12, and 14.7 ± 7.74; sham 7d+Nrx1b Fc, 13.9 ± 6.1, 14.9 ± 5.48, 14.6 ± 1.37, 14.7 ± 5.17, 14.4 ± 5.45, 14.4 ± 5.45, 15.3 ± 7.24, 15.9 ± 7.06, and 14.4 ± 4.29 at hours 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8, respectively, all n = 10). These results suggest that the Nrx1b Fc, which is presumed to interrupt Nrx1b–NL1 interactions, ameliorated SNL-induced behavioral allodynia.

The Nrx1b Fc Antagonized the Spinal NL1/PSD-95/pNR2B Interactions

Nrx1b–NL1 interactions have been demonstrated to play a key role in the glutamatergic receptor–dependent synaptic plasticity,34 which is crucial for pain hypersensitivity.35 Because Nrx1b Fc blocks NMDA synapse formation by impeding Nrx1b–NL1 interactions,35 the analgesic effect of the Nrx1b Fc may be due to its effect on the spinal NL1/PSD-95/pNR2B cascade. This hypothesis was tested using immunohistochemical analyses. Although the PSD-95 immunoreactivities did not significantly differ between groups (fig. 8, A and B; PSD-95, 30.3 ± 7.66 in sham 7d, 29.0 ± 9.67 in SNL 7d, 31.8 ± 10.12 in SNL 7d+Nrx1b Fc, n = 6), the statistical analyses revealed that Nrx1b Fc reduced both the SNL-enhanced pNR2B and PSD-95/pNR2B-colocalized immunoreactivities, as evidenced by the significant decreases in the pNR2B-positive and PSD-95/pNR2B double-labeled neuron counts (pNR2B, 20.8 ± 8.51 in sham 7d, 84.3 ± 12.51 in SNL 7d, 24.7 ± 11.7 in SNL 7d+Nrx1b Fc, n = 6; PSD-95/pNR2B, 9.6 ± 3.77 in sham 7d, 19.3 ± 8.55 in SNL 7d, 7.2 ± 3.76 in SNL 7d+Nrx1b Fc, n = 6). We next examined the effects of Nrx1b Fc on the expressions of candidate proteins using Western blotting. Without affecting the abundances of Nrx1b, NL1, or PSD-95 (fig. 8, C and D; Nrx1b, 13.8 ± 2.59 in sham 7d, 12.5 ± 2.71 in SNL 7d, 13.3 ± 2.34 in SNL 7d+Veh, 13.0 ± 2.74 in SNL 7d+Nrx1b Fc, n = 6; NL1, 16.8 ± 3.19 in sham 7d, 18.0 ± 2.22 in SNL 7d, 18.8 ± 1.95 in SNL 7d+Veh, 17.2 ± 1.43 in SNL 7d+Nrx1b Fc, n = 6; PSD-95, 27.4 ± 3.80 in sham 7d, 26.0 ± 3.70 in SNL 7d, 26.6 ± 3.70 in SNL 7d+Veh, 26.8 ± 4.04 in SNL 7d+Nrx1b Fc, n = 6), the administration of Nrx1b Fc significantly reversed the SNL-induced NR2B phosphorylation, as evidenced by a decrease in the pNR2B band intensity compared with the SNL group (pNR2B, 15.3 ± 2.82 in sham 7d, 23.9 ± 3.03 in SNL 7d, 23.2 ± 3.01 in SNL 7d+Veh, 16.4 ± 2.94 in SNL 7d+Nrx1b Fc, n = 6). These results suggest that the spinal application of Nrx1b Fc attenuated SNL-enhanced PSD-95/pNR2B-dependent NR2B phosphorylation. The coprecipitation experiments showed that although the PSD-95 and tNR2B immunoreactivities did not differ between groups in the PSD-95 (fig. 8, E and F; IP: PSD-95) and tNR2B (fig. 8, G and H; IP:tNR2B) precipitates (PSD-95/PSD-95, 17.8 ± 1.88 in sham 7d, 17.3 ± 2.00 in SNL 7d, 17.9 ± 2.30 in SNL 7d+Veh, 16.8 ± 1.48 in SNL 7d+Nrx1b Fc, n = 6; tNR2B/tNR2B, 22.2 ± 2.30 in sham 7d, 22.6 ± 1.75 in SNL 7d, 21.7 ± 1.14 in SNL 7d+Veh, 21.4 ± 1.74 in SNL 7d+Nrx1b Fc, n = 6), the intrathecal administration of Nrx1b Fc (SNL 7d+Nrx1b Fc; 10 μg, 10 μl) significantly decreased the SNL-enhanced abundances of PSD-95-bound NL1 and pNR2B (PSD-95/PSD-95/NL1, 9.6 ± 2.51 in sham 7d, 17.5 ± 1.93 in SNL 7d, 16.6 ± 2.53 in SNL 7d+Veh, 11.4 ± 1.43 in SNL 7d+Nrx1b Fc, n = 6; PSD-95/pNR2B, 12.2 ± 2.35 in sham 7d, 20.6 ± 2.71 in SNL 7d, 21.9 ± 2.65 in SNL 7d+Veh, 12.9 ± 2.15 in SNL 7d+Nrx1b Fc, n = 6) and that of tNR2B-bound NL1, PSD-95, and pNR2B (tNR2B/NL1, 11.0 ± 1.78 in sham 7d, 19.8 ± 2.48 in SNL 7d, 18.6 ± 1.78 in SNL 7d+Veh, 11.6 ± 1.88 in SNL 7d+Nrx1b Fc, n = 6) tNR2B/pNR2B, 13.5 ± 2.05 in sham 7d, 23.6 ± 2.01 in SNL 7d, 25.2 ± 2.33 in SNL 7d+Veh, 16.5 ± 2.18 in SNL 7d+Nrx1b Fc, n = 6). This effect was not observed for the vehicle solution (SNL 7d+Veh). Taken together, these results suggest that the analgesic effects of NRX1B Fc could be attributed to the interruption of SNL-induced spinal Nrx1b–NL1 interactions and subsequent NL1/PSD-95/pNR2B cascade-dependent NR2B phosphorylation.

Discussion

In the current study, we found that experimental neuropathic injury provoked the interaction of NL1 and PSD-95, which subsequently enhanced the PSD-95–NR2B coupling–dependent NR2B phosphorylation in dorsal horn neurons that underlie the nociceptive hypersensitivity in rats. Moreover, the pharmacological perturbation of the transsynaptic Nrx1b–NL1 interactions using a recombinant Nrx1b Fc prevented neuropathic allodynia, possibly by abolishing the spinal NL1/PSD-95/pNR2B cascade (fig. 9).
Fig. 8. Neurexin-1β chimera prevents nerve ligation–induced protein interactions and NR2B phosphorylation. (A) When compared with the sham operation (sham 7D), although spinal nerve ligation (SNL; SNL 7D) exhibited no effect on the postsynaptic density-95 (PSD-95) immunofluorescence (red), it notably enhanced the phosphorylated NR2B (pNR2B) immunofluorescence (green) in the ipsilateral dorsal horn at day 7 postsurgery (7D). The pNR2B fluorescence costained with PSD-95 (yellow, indicated by arrows); the nerve ligation–enhanced pNR2B immunoreactivity and pNR2B–PSD-95 costaining in the ipsilateral dorsal horn were both markedly reduced by the administration with a neurexin-1β Fc chimera (Nrx1b Fc), though this treatment did not affect the PSD-95 fluorescence (SNL 7D+Nrx1b Fc, 3 μg, 10 μl). Each of these immunofluorescence images is a representative of six sample preparations. Scale bar = 50 μm, thickness = 50 μm. (B) Administration with an Nrx1b Fc significantly reversed the SNL–increased counts of pNR2B-positive and PSD-95/pNR2B colabeled neurons (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 vs. sham 7D, n = 6; #P < 0.05, ##P < 0.01 vs. SNL 7D, n = 6). (C) The abundances of neurexin-1β (Nrx1b), neuroligin-1 (NL1), PSD-95, and pNR2B at day 7 after SNL (SNL 7D) in response to spinal administration with an Nrx1b Fc (SNL 7D+Nrx1b Fc, 3 μg, 10 μl). The level of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase proteins (GAPDH) was used as a loading control. (D) Without affecting the levels of Nrx1b, NL1, or PSD-95, spinal administration with Nrx1b Fc (**P < 0.01 vs. sham 7D, n = 6; ##P < 0.01 vs. SNL 7D, n = 7), but not the vehicle (Veh) solution (P > 0.05 vs. SNL 7D, n = 7), significantly decreased the SNL-enhanced pNR2B band intensity.
Neuroligin-1 has recently been proposed to participate in memory consolidation because NL1 knockout mice display altered spatial learning and memory. Using transgenic animals, Dahlhaus et al. demonstrated that NL1 overexpression alters synaptic excitability in the hippocampus and that such alterations are accompanied by modified memory acquisition. Moreover, NL1 has been shown to be necessary for the expression of LTP in the amygdala that underlies associative fear memory, and NL1 knockout rats display significant impairments in evoked LTP in the amygdala.

Together, these studies suggest that NL1 crucially contributes to learning-/memory-associated neural plasticity. The C-terminal tails of NLs interact with PSd-95 via the PSD-95/zona occludens-1–dependent interactions. The expression of a dominant-negative NL1 that interrupts the NL1–PSd-95 interaction is involved in postsynaptic functions. Studies of spinal LTP, which is a possible mechanism of the central sensitization that underlies nociceptive hypersensitivity, have revealed that pain-related spinal plasticity shares many features with LTP in brain areas. Consistent with a recent study demonstrating that neuropathic injury does not alter spinal NL1 expression, we observed that the abundance of NL1 in the dorsal horn was not modified after SNL. Nevertheless, SNL induced behavioral allodynia that was accompanied by the physical coupling of NL1 and PSD-95 in the spinal cord. Moreover, the postinjury NL1 immunofluorescence coincided with NeuN immunofluorescence and was demonstrated to colocalize with the PSD-95 reactivity in the dorsal horn. These findings imply that the NL1–PSD-95 interaction in spinal neurons crucially contributes to the development of neuropathic allodynia. This conclusion was further supported by the finding that the focal knockdown of spinal NL1 expression, which reversed the decreased withdrawal threshold caused by neuropathic injury, attenuated the SNL-induced NL1–PSD-95 association in the dorsal horn samples. In parallel with studies that have linked NL1 to learning- and/or memory-related LTP in brain areas, our findings provide evidence that supports the role of NL1–PSD-95 interaction in the spinal neural plasticity that mediates pain hypersensitivity after neuropathic injury.

Studies investigating visceral pain have demonstrated that the acute irritation of the pelvic organs provokes NR2B phosphorylation in the lumbosacral dorsal horn. The focal trim-down of spinal NR2B expression or intrathecal application of reagents that selectively antagonize NR2B phosphorylation ameliorated irritation-induced visceral hyper-reflexia, which suggests that the activation of the NR2B subunits of NMDARs in the dorsal horn neurons is vitally involved in pain pathology. Moreover, both the developments of visceral and somatic pain are associated with the physical coupling of PSD-95 to NR2B and the subsequent NR2B phosphorylation in the spinal cord. Our previous study has shown that SNL time-dependently
provoked allodynia and pNR2B expression with a maximal effect at 7 days after surgery.17 We examine dorsal horn NR2B phosphorylation at day 7 post-SNL. In agreement with these studies, our data demonstrated that neuropathic injury induced spinal NR2B phosphorylation, as evidenced by the up-regulation of pNR2B expression in the dorsal horn. In addition to enhancing the pNR2B immunofluorescence that was demonstrated to colocalize with PSD-95, neuropathic injury provoked PSD-95–pNR2B coupling in the dorsal horn. These results reveal that the spinal PSD-95–NR2B interactions and NR2B activation participate in the development of neuropathic pain. In addition, NL1 directly interacts with PSD-95 to organize the juxta-membrane intracellular domain of the postsynaptic NMDARs and thereby clusters NMDARs on the postsynaptic site42 to modify the efficacy of NMDAR-mediated neurotransmission.43 In the current study, the results obtained from the experiments using siRNAs demonstrated that the knockdown of spinal NL1 expression prevented the SNL-enhanced spinal NR2B phosphorylation, the NL1–PSD-95 and PSD-95–pNR2B interactions, and the NL1–PSD–pNR2B colocalization in the dorsal horn, which was accompanied by the amelioration of behavioral allodynia. Conversely, although the administration of the selective NR2B antagonist that prevented SNL-induced NR2B phosphorylation efficiently prevented the SNL-induced reductions in the withdrawal thresholds and spinal PSD-95–pNR2B coupling, it did not affect the SNL-enhanced NL1–PSD-95 coprecipitation. Together, these findings provide genetic and pharmacological evidence that supports the notion that PSD-95–NR2B coupling–dependent NR2B activation is a downstream target of spinal NL1–PSD-95 interactions during the development of neuropathic pain.

Neuroligin was first identified as one of the binding partners of neurexins (Nrx), which are presynaptic CAMs.18,44 The extracellular domain of NL binds to that of Nrx in a calcium ion–dependent manner and thereby connects the synaptic cleft and links NL to the exocytotic machinery.45,46 In Aplysia, the depletion of Nrx in the presynaptic sensory neuron or NL in the postsynaptic motor neuron abolishes the long-term facilitation of the gill-withdrawal reflex, which is a form of learned fear. However, the overexpression of either Nrx or NL alone does not induce long-lasting synaptic facilitation, which suggests that the coordinated increase and subsequent functional transsynaptic interactions of Nrx and NL are crucial for learning-related synaptic plasticity.47 Emerging studies have revealed that splice site 4 of Nrx1 is a critical regulator of NL1–Nrx interactions.25,48 Recombinant Nrx1b Fc that lacks an insertion in splice site 4 reduces the Nrx1b–NL1 interaction and thereby diminishes glutamatergic synapse assembly.49 Because there is not yet an Nrx1b Fc that does not include the splice site 4 insertion commercially available for rats, a recombinant human Nrx1b Fc was administered to the rats to perturb the transsynaptic Nrx1b–NL1 interactions in the current study. Our procedure was based on the fact that the extracellular domain of this reagent shares 99% amino acid sequence identity with the rat Nrx1b and has been found to interact well with native NL in rats.50 We found that the spinal administration of the Nrx1b chimera ameliorated neuropathic injury–associated nociceptive hypersensitivity. Our findings agree with studies that have demonstrated that the Nrx1b–NL interaction plays a key role in learning-/memory-related neural plasticity. To the best of our knowledge, our findings are also the first to show that the perturbation of spinal Nrx1b and NL1 coupling eradicated the neural plasticity underlying neuropathic pain development. Moreover, consistent with the studies that have shown that transsynaptic Nrx1b–NL1 interactions in hippocampal neurons induce NL1–PSD-95 clustering, which recruited NMDARs to the postsynaptic site,22 our results demonstrated that the application of Nrx1b Fc attenuated the neuropathic injury–associated NL1–PSD-95 and PSD-95–pNR2B coprecipitations and PSD-95–pNR2B colocalization in the dorsal horn. These findings imply that the transsynaptic Nrx1b–NL1 interactions crucially regulate the assembly of postsynaptic NMDARs. Specifically, we observed that Nrx1b Fc abolished neuropathic injury–associated spinal NR2B phosphorylation. Our results further extend the role of transsynaptic Nrx1b–NL1 interactions in the postsynaptic NMDAR activation, which is a pivotal piece of machinery for the development of the neural plasticity that underlies memory consolidation and nociceptive hypersensitivity.

In the current study, we showed that the NL1–PSD-95 interaction participates in neuropathic allodynia development by activating a postsynaptic PSD-95/NR2B cascade. Nevertheless, in hippocampal pyramidal cells, the NL1/PSD-95 complex has been demonstrated to modulate the neurotransmitter release probability and consequently alter short-term plasticity via a retrograde transsynaptic protein–protein interaction with Nrx1b.51 Therefore, further studies are warranted to determine whether NL1-dependent retrograde modulation also plays a role in pain pathology. In contrast, in addition to directly coupling with glutamatergic NMDAR, PSD-95 indirectly interacts with AMPAR by binding to the auxiliary subunit stargazin and the related transmembrane AMPAR-associated proteins.52,53 An electrophysiological investigation of mouse hippocampal neurons demonstrated that Nrx1b–NL1 adhesions postsynaptically mobilize glutamatergic AMPARs via a PSD-95-dependent diffusion/trap process.34 Because a study published by our laboratory demonstrated that spinal AMPAR trafficking participates in complete Freund’s adjuvant–induced inflammatory pain,26 the possibility that PSD-95-dependent AMPAR recycling is also a downstream target of NL1 during the mediaton of pain development needs to be seriously considered.

The NL family has been described to execute several specialized functions: NL1 primarily localizes to excitatory synapses, whereas NL2 localizes to inhibitory synapses.25,54 In addition to the results in the current study that demonstrated that NL1–PSD-95 coupling in the dorsal horn plays a role...
in neuropathic pain, Dolique et al.17 have recently linked spinal NL2–PSD-95 interactions to neuropathic pain by showing that SNL up-regulated NL2 expression accompanied by NL2–PSD-95 coupling in the dorsal horn. Moreover, similar to our results, Dolique et al. also demonstrated that the abundance of spinal NL1 remains unchanged after SNL. Nevertheless, as we examined the participation of NLs in pain pathology by focusing only on NL1 in the current study, the potential contribution of synergistic/antagonistic actions between NL members to neuropathic pain, for example, the counter balance between NL1-facilitated excitatory synapses55 and NL2-impeded inhibitory synapses on pain-associated spinal plasticity, requires further investigations.
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