CORRESPONDENCE

come to issue certificates of special expertise in critical care medicine and pain management, it makes sense to many of us to consider a name for the parent specialty that is broader, more descriptive, and more accurate than "anesthesiology." In this vein, the suggestion of "metesthesiology" was forwarded to properly emphasize our role in altering (going "above and beyond") rather than eliminating the body's perception of noxious stimuli. I was impressed by Saidman's arguments for a term not previously suggested: "perioperative medicine and pain management." This name emphasizes: (1) our specialty as a practice of medicine, (2) our role in guiding the patient safely through the totality of an invasive or painful procedure, and (3) our dramatic advances in the comprehensive treatment of pain from any cause.

Listening to Saidman's lecture and later reading the text, I was struck by the thought that there could not be a better way to initiate and lead such a change than by renaming our Journal. Obviously, such a change cannot be considered lightly and would require discussion and consensus among the Editorial Board of the Journal and the membership of the American Society of Anesthesiologists. If the name "perioperative medicine and pain management" is considered to better reflect the scope of our practice, does it not also better reflect the breadth of clinical and laboratory investigations that interest the readers of our Journal? An important part of the purpose of the American Society of Anesthesiologists as written in the Society's Bylaws is "to develop and further the specialty of anesthesiology."
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In Reply.—I appreciate McLoughlin's generous remarks regarding the 1994 Rovenstine Lecture. Obviously, I agree with his suggestions vis à vis renaming the specialty but am less convinced that renaming the Journal would be appropriate. Although "perioperative medicine and pain management" may more precisely define our clinical job description, such a term does not begin to define the breadth of our anesthesiology research—especially that concerned with basic science. How, for example, would research involving complex subcellular physiology and biochemistry, molecular genetics, magnetic resonance imaging, or theoretical modeling fit in a journal named "Perioperative Medicine and Pain Management"? Thus, because I agree that the specialty is, to some extent, constrained by its name, I would concentrate on renaming the specialty now and leave the Journal title unchanged until a term better describing the breadth of its contents can be agreed on.

Lawrence J. Saidman, M.D.
Editor in Chief

(Accepted for publication August 11, 1995.)

Another Simple Method for Ring Removal
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To the Editor.—Rings on the fingers of surgical patients usually should be removed preoperatively. Although rings frequently can be removed by thorough lubrication around the ring or the string-wrap method, sometimes we are unable to remove rings using these methods, and, in an emergency, it is necessary to use a ring cutter. We would like to introduce a new simple method for ring removal.

A finger part of a surgical glove cut off cylindrically is passed between the ring and the finger using small forceps as used for plastic surgery (fig. 1). The segment of the rubber beyond the ring is turned inside out and is pulled toward the fingertip with a twisting motion on the ring (fig. 2), thereby removing it.

This method has the advantage over the string-wrap method, which