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Background: The authors tested the hypothesis that pressure support ventilation (PSV) provides more effective gas exchange than does unassisted ventilation with continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) in anesthetized adult patients treated using the laryngeal mask airway.

Methods: Forty patients were randomized to two equal-sized crossover groups, and data were collected before surgery. In group 1, patients underwent CPAP, PSV, and CPAP in sequence. In group 2, patients underwent PSV, CPAP, and PSV in sequence. PSV comprised positive end expiratory pressure set at 5 cm H₂O and inspiratory pressure support set at 5 cm H₂O above positive end expiratory pressure. CPAP was set at 5 cm H₂O. Each ventilatory mode was maintained for 10 min. The following data were recorded every minute for the last 5 min of each ventilatory mode and the average reading taken: end tidal carbon dioxide, oxygen saturation, expired tidal volume, leak fraction, respiratory rate, noninvasive mean arterial pressure, and heart rate.

Results: In both groups, PSV showed lower end tidal carbon dioxide (P < 0.001), higher oxygen saturation (P < 0.001), and higher expired tidal volume (P < 0.001) compared with CPAP. In both groups, PSV had similar leak fraction, respiratory rate, mean arterial pressure, and heart rate compared with CPAP. In group 1, measurements for CPAP were similar before and after PSV. In group 2, measurements for PSV were similar before and after CPAP.

Conclusion: The authors concluded that PSV provides more effective gas exchange than does unassisted ventilation with CPAP during LMA anesthesia while preserving leak fraction and hemodynamic homeostasis. (Key words: Gas exchange; respiratory function.)

SPONTANEOUS breathing is the most popular mode of ventilation with the laryngeal mask airway (LMA), but provides less effective gas exchange than does positive pressure ventilation (PPV). Pressure support ventilation (PSV) is a form of partial ventilatory support in which each spontaneous breath is assisted to an extent that depends on the level of a constant pressure applied during inspiration. Bosec et al. showed that PSV improves gas exchange in anesthetized intubated patients; but, there is only one anecdotal report of PSV usage with the LMA. In the following randomized crossover study, we tested the hypothesis that PSV provides more effective gas exchange than does unassisted ventilation with continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) in anesthetized adult patients treated using the LMA.

Methods

Forty consecutive adult patients (American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status I or II, aged 18–80 yr) undergoing peripheral musculoskeletal surgery in which the LMA was considered to be suitable were studied. Ethics committee approval and written informed consent were obtained from the Leopold-Franzens University and patients, respectively. A standard anesthesia protocol was followed and routine monitoring was applied. Patients were induced with 2 µg/kg fentanyl and 2.5 mg/kg propofol. Maintenance included 6 mg · kg⁻¹ · h⁻¹ propofol in oxygen and air, with the inspired oxygen concentration adjusted to 30%. One experienced LMA user (> 1,000 uses) inserted or fixed the LMA (size 5, all patients) according...
Table 1. End-tidal CO\(_2\), Oxygen Saturation, Expired Tidal Volume, Leak Fraction, Respiratory Rate, Mean Arterial Pressure, and Heart Rate during Continuous Positive Airway Pressure and Pressure Support Ventilation for the Two Crossover Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CPAP(^\ast)</td>
<td>CPAP(^\dagger)</td>
<td>PSV(^\dagger)</td>
<td>CPAP(^\ast)</td>
<td>CPAP(^\dagger)</td>
<td>PSV(^\dagger)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt(_{\text{CO}_2}) (mmHg)</td>
<td>50 (48-51)</td>
<td>50 (48-51)</td>
<td>41 (40-41)</td>
<td>41 (40-41)</td>
<td>49 (48-49)</td>
<td>40 (40-41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sp(_{\text{O}_2}) (%)</td>
<td>96.6 (96.0-97.1)</td>
<td>96.7 (96.1-97.3)</td>
<td>98.2 (97.9-98.6)</td>
<td>96.6 (96.1-97.1)</td>
<td>98.1 (97.7-98.59)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT(_{\text{Exp}}) (ml)</td>
<td>635 (561-708)</td>
<td>417 (373-462)</td>
<td>660 (635-686)</td>
<td>422 (403-442)</td>
<td>658 (630-686)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF (%)</td>
<td>1 (0-1)</td>
<td>1 (0-1)</td>
<td>1 (0-1)</td>
<td>1 (0-1)</td>
<td>1 (0-1)</td>
<td>1 (0-1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP (mmHg)</td>
<td>85 (83-87)</td>
<td>85 (83-88)</td>
<td>85 (82-88)</td>
<td>85 (82-88)</td>
<td>85 (82-88)</td>
<td>85 (82-88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (beats/min)</td>
<td>67 (64-70)</td>
<td>67 (64-70)</td>
<td>68 (65-71)</td>
<td>68 (64-72)</td>
<td>69 (65-73)</td>
<td>69 (65-72)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean (55% CI) or [range].

Ventilatory mode sequence for groups:
* First, 10 min.
† Second, 10 min.
‡ Third, 10 min.

CPAP = continuous positive airway pressure; PSV = pressure support ventilation; VT\(_{\text{Exp}}\) = expired tidal volume; LF = leak fraction; RR = respiratory rate; MAP = mean arterial pressure; HR = heart rate.

Our data show that PSV provides more effective gas exchange than unassisted ventilation with CPAP during anesthesia with the LMA. Minute volume increased by 50%, but ETCO\(_2\) only decreased by 20% (50–40 mmHg), suggesting that 30% of the additional ventilation is wasted. This is probably related to an increase in alveolar...
dead space. We found that PSV improves $\text{SpO}_2$ by approximately 1.5%. This may be related to the increase in alveolar ventilation because the fraction of inspired oxygen was low. PSV and CPAP both cause an increase in mean airway pressure, resulting in a larger lung volume available for gas exchange. Interestingly, we found no reduction in RR with PSV. A reduction in RR with PSV has been reported in intubated critically ill patients and in awake healthy subjects breathing through a mouth piece. It may be that higher levels of PSV would have triggered a reduction in RR in our patients.

We found that LF was less than 1% and that gastric insufflation did not occur. Devitt et al. measured LF and gastric insufflation at peak airway pressures of 15-30 cm H$_2$O and found that LF was 15-27% and gastric insufflation was 2-35%. Berry et al. reported leak fractions of less than 3% with the LMA at tidal volumes of 10 ml/kg. Peak airway pressures did not exceed 10 cm H$_2$O and were at least 5 cm H$_2$O below airway sealing pressure. Air leakage and gastric insufflation are highly unlikely at this level of peak pressure and airway sealing pressure.

We detected no differences in cardiovascular effects between PSV and CPAP. This is not surprising because the average intrathoracic pressure changes would have been similar between groups. No differences in cardiovascular effects were detected when comparing PPV with spontaneous breathing during LMA anesthesia. Finally, we did not measure work of breathing, but this is reduced during pressure support in intubated patients, and we speculate that this also occurs during PSV with the LMA.

We conclude that PSV provides more effective gas exchange than does unassisted ventilation with CPAP during anesthesia with the LMA while preserving LF and hemodynamic homeostasis.
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