with clots poured out of the patient's mouth. In spite of vigorous aspiration of the
pharynx alternating with attempts to inflate the lungs with oxygen by mask, a long but
undetermined interval occurred before the pharynx was clear enough for visualization of
the glottic opening. During this interval the blood pressure which had been stable at
90/70 became unobtainable, and the pulse became imperceptible. A large blood clot
which was impacted in the glottis was removed with a clamp, and an endotracheal tube
was passed, and immediate inflation of the lungs with oxygen restored the blood pressure
to 130/75 and the quality of the pulse improved markedly. The operation was completed
rapidly and aspiration bronchoscopy at the termination of anesthesia produced a moderate
amount of bloody fluid from the bronchial tree.

Consciousness was regained within ten minutes after termination of anesthesia and
the vital signs remained stable. There were no immediate postoperative complications;
however, ascites occurred on the eighth postoperative day. The patient gradually be-
came somnolent, lapsed into coma, and died on the fortieth postoperative day. Pertinent
findings at autopsy were hemachromatosis with marked portal cirrhosis and esophageal
varices.

It is probable that rupture of the esophageal varices occurred during the period of
respiratory obstruction, and the brief episode of coughing following the insertion of the
oropharyngeal airway. A sudden increase in intra-abdominal pressure is recognized as
a factor precipitating the rupture of esophageal varices (Spellberg, M.: Diseases of the
Liver. New York, Grune & Stratton, Inc., 1954). For this reason avoidance of in-
crease in intra-abdominal pressure during anesthesia in patients with known esophageal
varices is of paramount importance.

CORRESPONDENCE

WILLIAM THOMAS GREEN MORTON

To the Editor.—"Vanity, all is vanity..."

"Dentistry" enjoys basking in the reflected honor and prestige accorded William
Thomas Green Morton, "whose labors in introducing the anesthetic process into surgical
operations have given him an eminent place among the benefactors of the human race."

Dr. Betcher's and your attention is respectfully called to an error in reporting by the
[Editorial], Anesthesiology 18: 785 [Sept.–Oct.] 1957.)

ORLAN K. BULLARD, D.D.S.
San Diego, California

To the Editor.—I am in receipt of Dr. Bullard's recent letter in which he calls atten-
tion to an error in reporting by the New York Tribune, July 17, 1868. I assume he
refers to the final sentence in which Morton is called a Doctor of Medicine.

It is true that at the time of his demonstration at the Massachusetts General Hos-
pital, October 16, 1846, he was referred to in the Boston Daily Journal of the next day
as, Dr. Morton, Dentist. Also, in Jacob Bigelow's letter to Francis Boott of London of
November 23, 1846, he stated, "The inventor is Dr. Morton, a dentist of this city. . . ."
In this same year, Morton issued a circular to "Surgeons and Physicians" announcing
his competency in administering "his compound to patients who are to have surgical
operations performed," and signed it W. T. G. Morton, Dentist.

However, if we search further, we discover that by 1850, he had published two papers
with a different signature: "Comparative Value of Sulphuric Ether and Chloroform,"