Indirect Measurement of Mean Blood Pressure in the Anesthetized Patient
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Reliable blood pressure determination is a necessity in the management of the anesthetized patient. Noninvasive methods utilizing occlusive techniques are usually employed because of their low risk and simplicity. However, invasive techniques are presumed to be more accurate, and permit continuous measurement so that short-term variations, as with respiration, can be averaged.1–3

The Korotkoff technique, first reported in 1905,4 continues to be the clinically preferred noninvasive technique. Interest in a noninvasive technique of measuring mean blood pressure has arisen, perhaps because the mean is frequently recorded when invasive techniques are used. In this study, values of invasively and noninvasively determined mean pressure measured simultaneously are compared. However, our data do not resolve the controversy as to whether mean pressure is more or less valuable than systolic/diastolic pressure in influencing patient management.

Noninvasive measurement of mean pressure makes use of a principle first reported by Marey.5 Using a liquid-filled occluding chamber around an artery, he found that intra-arterial pulsations were transmitted to the liquid in the chamber. Subsequent investigators attempted to define the relationship between the amplitude of the externally measured pressure oscillation and the pressure within the vessel.

Several investigators suggested that the occlusive pressure yielding maximum oscillation was very close to diastolic pressure.6–8 Subsequently, it was suggested that maximum oscillation occurred above diastolic pressure, perhaps above mean pressure.9 Two separate groups, using liquid-filled11 and air-filled12 chambers, independently reported that the minimum occlusive pressure for maximum oscillation was equal to mean arterial pressure. This conclusion was supported in more sophisticated studies, examining both isolated arterial segments and intact arteries in extremities encircled by compressible air bladders.13,14 Unfortunately, none of these studies report data permitting statistical analysis of the accuracy and reliability of the reported correlation. All of the reported techniques were technically difficult.

We used special computer-assisted instrumentation for validation of the indirect technique presented. However, a commercial instrument that automatically determines mean blood pressure using this technique is now available.‡ A description of its mode of operation and an evaluation of its clinical accuracy are presented in a separate paper.15

METHODS

Nineteen patients undergoing open-heart surgery at Stanford University Hospital were studied. Blood pressure determinations were made throughout the entire procedure, so long as pulsatile pressure was observed. The typical mean pressure was 80 torr, with a range of 45 to 125 torr. During most of the period of study, ventilation was controlled.

An 18-gauge cannula was inserted percutaneously into the randomly selected right or left radial artery and liquid-coupled via 4 feet of noncompliant tubing to a pressure transducer located at mid-heart level. A 12- or 13-cm cuff was applied to the opposite arm with the cuff bladder centered over the brachial artery. The cuff bladder was in turn coupled to a pressure transducer and automated inflator. Both transducers were calibrated using a mercury manometer.

For each of approximately 30 determinations for each patient, the cuff was rapidly inflated to supra-systolic pressure and deflated in decrements of 3–6 torr over 45 seconds to infradiastolic pressure. Both transducer outputs were digitized (intra-arterial at 50 hertz and the cuff transducer at 25 hertz) and stored on magnetic tape for subsequent evaluation.

Baseline cuff pressure for each interval between cuff decrements was defined as the cuff pressure without superimposed oscillations. For each indirect determination of mean pressure, the average magnitude of oscillation of cuff pressure at each value of baseline cuff pressure was calculated. Indirect mean arterial pressure was defined as the minimum baseline cuff pressure at which maximum cuff pressure oscillation occurred. Direct mean intra-arterial pressure was obtained by averaging the intra-arterial pressure over the period of three sequential baseline intervals. The period was selected so that the second interval contained the minimum baseline cuff pressure for
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maximum oscillation. These periods of arterial averaging ranged from 8 to 12 seconds and contained a discrete number of complete heart cycles. Figure 1 shows a typical interval for one determination.

An average of several typical determinations is plotted in figure 2, showing the variation in amplitude of cuff pressure oscillation as a function of baseline cuff pressure. The average arterial pressure near the point of maximum oscillation is shown for reference.

Figure 3 illustrates a typical patient record showing paired determinations.

RESULTS

Mean error for the pooled data from all 19 patients was 1.40 torr, with a standard deviation of 6.22 torr. Error was defined as estimated value minus direct value. The results for individual patients and the pooled data are shown in table 1.

A practical bound for specifying maximum error is the absolute value of mean error plus two standard deviations (\(|\epsilon| \pm 2\sigma\)).\(^{16}\) This assures that less than 5 per cent of all measurements will have error greater than this bound if the errors are normally distributed; a requirement which our data satisfied. For the values presented above, this bound is 14 torr.

We also used regression analysis to estimate the minimum error for an instrument calibrated to an individual patient. The most likely value of the error for a given measurement and the standard deviation of the estimate about the regression line were calculated for each patient. These results are summarized in table 1. The mean standard deviation \(S_{\epsilon}\) was 5.08, with a standard deviation from this value of 1.52. By analogy with the discussion just presented, 97 per cent of patients will have a standard deviation about their regression line less than \(S_{\epsilon} + 5 + 2(1.5) = 8\) torr and the error bound will be less than \(2S_{\epsilon} = 16\) torr. This is not an obvious improvement in accuracy from that obtained above using pooled data.

However, such an approach is not clinically practical even if error bounds are reduced, since it is necessary to make a large number of paired measurements over a wide range of values of blood pressure in the individual patient in order to derive this calibration line. Furthermore, we have no guarantee that the calibration line would be unchanged in a subsequent encounter with the same patient.

DISCUSSION

The pooled data suggest that the estimate of mean arterial pressure based on minimum cuff pressure for maximum cuff pressure oscillation is within 1.4 torr, close to the accuracy limit of the pressure transducers.
and amplifiers used for measurement. However, this result is an average value over many determinations. It is misleading when used to estimate error in most clinical applications.

The clinician typically bases therapeutic decisions on one, or at most, a few, blood pressure determinations. Thus, he is not primarily interested in the error associated with the average of a large number of determinations, but rather in the error associated with a single determination. Our data show that the error for a single determination is less than 14 torr, or less than 17 per cent of average pressure. More than half of the single determinations will have errors less than half this bound.

The magnitude of the decrements in cuff pressure (3–6 torr) induced a minimal error in the variance (σ²). Shepherd's correction¹⁷ indicates that if no variations had been introduced by cuff pressure decrements, σ would have been 6.05 instead of 6.19. ε would not have changed.

We randomized the arm used for reference measurement to minimize mean error. The statistics for the two groups of patients are summarized in table 2. The differences between the two groups were not statistically significant, suggesting negligible influence of right versus left arm reference.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Results for Patients with Direct Pressure Measured in the Right Arm versus the Left Arm*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference right arm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference left arm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pooled data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* In each case, indirect pressure was measured in the opposite arm to permit simultaneous comparison. Differences between the two groups are not statistically significant. (The symbols are defined in table 1.)

In a well-designed study, Van Bergen compared direct determinations of systolic and diastolic blood pressure with indirect auscultatory and oscillometric techniques.¹ The data are presented in graphic form, permitting a reasonable estimate of error bounds by the criteria cited above. The error in estimation of mean systolic pressure by auscultation was approximately ±20 per cent, (decreasing to approximately ±15 per cent with regression analysis of pooled data). The error in estimation using the oscillometric technique was similar but slightly smaller.

The estimate of mean diastolic pressure (the better estimator of mean pressure) was approximately ±30 per cent (decreasing to approximately ±15 per cent with regression analysis of pooled data).

Berliner's data¹⁸ suggest similar error bounds, despite modest differences in technique. No study that suggests tighter error bounds could be found.

What conclusions can be drawn from these observations? We suspect that most clinicians will be uncomfortable in thinking of error bounds for single determinations as large as those cited here, partly because most studies report mean error over many determinations (for our data, less than 1.5 per cent of average blood pressure). An error bound for a single determination of ±17 per cent seems quite large in comparison. However, we submit that single-measurement analysis is most appropriate when the clinical application requires individual measurements. The error with this technique is smaller than that associated with the Korotkoff technique. Unlike the Korotkoff technique, error can be substantially reduced by averaging a few sequential determinations because mean error is so small.

These data suggest that indirect measurement of mean blood pressure by the proposed technique is likely to be more accurate than measurement of systolic and diastolic pressures, using oscillometric or Korotkoff techniques, and considerably more accurate than estimation of mean blood pressure using oscillometric or Korotkoff techniques. Many potential
sources of variation remain inadequately understood and controlled. Nonetheless, determination of mean blood pressure using noninvasive techniques appears clinically feasible and useful.
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A Modified Doppler Flow Detector Probe—An Aid to Percutaneous Radial Arterial Cannulation in Infants and Small Children

H. M. Chinyanga, M.Sc., M.D., F.R.C.P.(C),* and J. M. Smith, Ph.D., P.Eng.†

Cannulation of the radial artery has become routine for monitoring blood pressure and obtaining blood samples during major surgical procedures and intensive care. The artery to be cannulated is located by palpation or, when this is difficult, is exposed by a cutdown and the catheter introduced under direct vision. The chances of successful percutaneous cannulation decrease with decreasing age and/or size of the patient.

This paper describes a technique we developed that has increased the success rate of percutaneous cannulation of the radial artery in infants and small children, and thus reduced the need for arterial cutdowns.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The use of Doppler ultrasound for blood flow detection is well established. The technique described here utilizes a commercially available instrument used in conjunction with a modified probe. The crystal dimensions of the standard probe are such
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