Short-term Sterility of the Pulmonary Artery Catheter Inserted Through an External Plastic Shield
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Flow-directed, pulmonary artery catheters frequently require repositioning after initial insertion to obtain wedge pressures with an appropriate volume of air for balloon inflation. Since Kopman and Sandza and Gomez et al. reported protecting the catheter within a plastic shield, several manufacturers have provided catheter shields. However, no one has examined the ability of those shields to prevent contamination of the catheters. We therefore studied the incidence of bacterial colonization of the pulmonary artery catheter within one commercially available shield (Cath-Gard™ Catheter).
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We then recorded the length of the catheter inside the shield as measured at each end of the shield (fig. 1). We repositioned the catheter as necessary during cardiopulmonary bypass to avoid pulmonary artery damage and to maintain accurate pulmonary arterial and wedge tracings. All changes in catheter length were recorded after each manipulation. All of those changes occurred at the proximal end of the shield and were recorded as proximal catheter manipulations. We routinely measured the external catheter length once the patient was transferred to the intensive care unit (ICU) and again before catheter culture. If the free end of the shield moved spontaneously at any time before obtaining cultures, we measured the distance and termed those unaccountable changes as distal shield migrations. After the patients were stable hemodymanically and their tracheas extubated, we pulled the catheter back from the pulmonary artery to a central venous position. This permitted use of the catheter for drug administration or supplemental potassium chloride replacement. Immediately before that manipulation, we opened the shield near each end with sterile scissors and swabbed proximal and distal sites on the catheter for aerobic cultures (fig. 1). Specimens were incubated in tryptocase–soy broth over a 3-day period. No further catheter manipulations were made after the shield was opened.

We observed the patients daily throughout their hospitalization for infectious complications. The Clinical Research Practices Committee approved this study. Based on their recommendation, consent was not obtained from the patient since this study in no way altered our routine management of their course.

Data were analyzed by chi-square analysis and analysis of variance with a significance level of $P \leq 0.05$. Where applicable, mean values with standard errors were used.

**RESULTS**

In the operating room, catheters were pulled back 4.9 ± 0.4 cm (range 1.5–9.0 cm) before starting cardiopulmonary bypass. Immediately before discontinuing bypass, the catheters were reflated to a wedge position by advancing them 3.8 ± 0.5 cm (range 0–11 cm). The number of proximal catheter manipulations was 2.7 ± 0.1 in the operating room and 1.1 ± 0.1 in the intensive care unit (ICU). The range of distance changes during proximal catheter manipulations in the operating room was 1.5–10 cm out of and 0–11 cm into the patient. In the ICU, catheters were advanced 0–14 cm in and out of the patient to maintain adequate position.

Unaccountable distal shield migrations occurred in 25 of the 30 patients. Inward migration of the shield along the catheter occurred more frequently than outward migration both in the operating room ($P \leq 0.045$) and the ICU ($P \leq .007$). The range of shield migration...
in the operating room and ICU, respectively, were 0–10 cm and 0–8 cm inward and 0–10 cm and 0–5 cm outward. At no time did nonsterile catheter (that lying outside the shield) enter the jugular vein.

The time from catheter insertion to culture of the catheter within the shield was 1.7 ± 0.2 days, with a range of 0.8 to 6.9 days. By visual inspection, all shields were intact without being opened with scissors.

Cultures of catheters inside the shields yielded *Staphylococcus epidermidis* at the proximal location in one patient and at the distal location in one patient (6.7% of catheters, 3.3% of cultured sites). The number of catheter manipulations and shield migrations, the amount of movement, and the duration of catheter insertion did not correlate significantly with positive shield cultures. The patient with the positive proximal catheter culture had the catheter in place for 2.9 days with intraoperative manipulations of 8, 5, and 4 cm insertions, 8 and 10 cm withdrawals, and no ICU manipulations. The distal shield remained constant during the operation and migrated 1 cm inward in the ICU. For the patient with the positive distal catheter culture, the catheter remained in the patient for 2.1 days, with inward intraoperative movement of 3 cm and outward movements of 5 cm and 1 cm. In the ICU, the proximal catheter was advanced 8 cm and withdrawn 2 cm. The distal shield remained constant without migration. Thus, the source of the positive catheter cultures is unclear.

No patient developed signs of localized or systemic infection secondary to pulmonary artery catheterization during the hospitalization period.

**DISCUSSION**

Although pulmonary artery catheters provide useful information during cardiopulmonary bypass, they do carry the risk of pulmonary artery perforation if the catheter migrates into small pulmonary vessels during extrapericardial manipulation of the heart. Hence, we and others routinely withdraw the catheter before bypass is begun. However, readvancement of the catheter into the patient may violate the sterility of the system, and catheter contamination has been cited as a cause of septicemia and bacterial endocarditis. The problem of maintaining a sterile segment of external catheter has prompted two modifications of the procedure: 1) adding a sterile plastic shield, and 2) filling the shield with a povidone–iodine solution.

We did not use the second modification, however, further study of this technique is warranted, especially if the catheter is advanced after several days placement. Taping the distal diaphragm of the shield to the catheter may be effective in clinical practice to prevent unaccountable migrations. In our study without fixation, the sheath tended to migrate inward, exposing previously sterile catheter, rather than outward.

*Staphylococcus epidermidis* is a common isolate from intravascular catheters, even in cardiac surgical patients. Its growth on catheters usually represents colonization and not infection. The semiquantitative culture technique described by Maki et al., in which segments of the catheter are rolled over the surface of a sheep's blood agar plate, can differentiate catheter colonization from infection. We did not use that technique, since our catheters remained as central venous lines after we had obtained intrashield catheter cultures. As a consequence, our technique should overestimate the true incidence of catheter sepsis.

We conclude that external plastic shields maintain a sterile segment of pulmonary artery catheter for a 1–2 day period if inserted under rigorous sterile precautions (i.e., sterile gown, gloves, drapes, povidone–iodine skin preparation, etc.). In this small series of patients, catheters were advanced or withdrawn as needed without serious infectious complications to the patient, despite the 6.7% incidence of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* cultured from intrashield catheters. The results should not be interpreted as evidence that catheters can be manipulated at a later time than those in this study or that catheters remaining a longer time after manipulation will not produce septic complications.
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The use of nitrates for vasodilator therapy is a recognized method for angina pectoris and congestive heart failure.1,2 Nitrates, especially iv nitroglycerin, are also used intraoperatively for patients with coronary artery disease requiring surgery.2 The World Health Organization has defined the acceptable daily intake of nitrates at 5 mg/kg and of nitrates at 0.4 mg/kg.3 Methemoglobinemia is a well-recognized result of exposure to nitrates; however, methemoglobinemia in levels of up to 20% is thought to be asymptomatic, not requiring specific therapy. Tolerance of these levels requires an adequate hemoglobin level, cardiac output, and extraction of oxygen at the tissue level. The current practices in surgery utilizing hemodilution to avoid exposure to homologous blood products may impose additional risk in patients requiring treatment with nitrates for coronary artery disease, since there will be a loss of functioning hemoglobin associated with a limited ability to increase cardiac output. Additionally, nitroglycerin therapy has been associated with hypoxemia as a result of alteration in V/Q relationships within the lung with generation of more areas of low V/Q.4

We recently observed a case of methemoglobinemia that was associated with pulmonary congestion and respiratory distress in a postoperative cardiac surgical patient treated with iv nitroglycerin.

REPORT OF A CASE

A 55-year-old man, weighing 95 kg, had a diagnosis of severe atherosclerotic heart disease. He had suffered three prior myocardial infarctions during the period of 1978–1980 associated with congestive heart failure. His medications were nifedipine, 10 mg qid; isosorbide dinitrate, 10 mg qid; digoxin, 0.25 mg qd; and furosemide, 40 mg qd. He had a smoking history of 60 pack-years. Significant physical findings included an 84 and a grade 2/6 systolic ejection murmur at the left sternal border. Cardiac catheterization revealed diffuse coronary artery disease with increased end-diastolic and end-systolic volumes associated with akinesia of the posterolateral, inferior, and apical portions of the left ventricle with an intraventricular clot. The left ventricular end-diastolic pressure (LVEDP) was 20–36 mmHg during the catheterization. For anesthesia he received fentanyl, 4 mg; diazepam, 10 mg; pancuronium, 20 mg, and O2. The surgery included vein grafts constructed to the left anterior descending, right coronary, high lateral circumflex, and posterolateral circumflex arteries. A left ventriculotomy was done to remove the ventricular clot. The patient received iv nitroglycerin throughout the procedure at a rate of 33–67 μg/min. Initial pulmonary capillary wedge pressure (PCWP) preinduction of anesthesia was 21 mmHg with a cardiac index of 1.56 l·min⁻¹·m⁻². Following treatment with iv nitroglycerin, the PCWP decreased to 15 mmHg, and cardiac index increased to 2.7 l·min⁻¹·m⁻². Following cardiopulmonary bypass, nitroprusside was required at 16–45 μg/min to maintain mean arterial pressure (MAP) 80–100 mmHg. These medications were continued for 25 h following surgery. Cardiopulmonary bypass was terminated with a left atrial pressure of 10–13 mmHg and a cardiac index (thermodilution) 2.2–2.7 l·min⁻¹·m⁻². Ventilation was controlled the first postoperative night and the trachea extubated the next morning. His weight increased 5 kg from his preoperative weight. He then was given furosemide iv. He was hemodynamically stable, with left atrial pressure 20 mmHg, cardiac index 3.24 l·min⁻¹·m⁻², mean arterial pressure 86 mmHg. Pao2 was 80 mmHg with an FiO2 of 0.45.

The vasodilators were weaned slowly over the first postoperative day. By the evening of the first postoperative day, the patient had developed both rales and rhonchi in both bases of the lungs and required an increase in FiO2 to 0.6 in order to maintain a Pao2 of 65–74 mmHg. On the morning of the second postoperative day, the chest roentgenogram revealed bilateral areas of atelectasis and in-