hypoxy  events. Our work had  shown that ear oximetry  accurately tracks progressive reductions in SaO2 above the threshold of clinical signs during both halogenated hydrocarbon anesthesia alone and anesthesia with surgery.1 Thus, Coté’s work confirms both the limitations of clinical signs and the usefulness of oximetry that we have previously described.

A clinical sign of hypoxemia during anesthesia that may be more sensitive than others, and which Dr. Coté’s group may have had an opportunity to observe, is the color of the blood in the surgical field. During maintenance of anesthesia and (presumably) on-going surgery, they report several episodic desaturations to SpO2 values of 85% or less.1 In those circumstances, one would anticipate that any fresh blood at the site of surgery would be perceptibly dark.2 Did they observe any change in blood color and, if so, at what level of SaO2? Do the authors believe this to be an early clinical sign? To my knowledge, there are no published data on these questions.

Hypoxemic injury during anesthesia usually represents a failure of both primary and secondary prevention. While research has explored questions of primary prevention (e.g., rate of failure, critical incidents, and their determinants), less attention has been given to secondary prevention—in particular, the real difficulties that may be encountered in recognizing hypoxemia during anesthesia. (This is somewhat ironic, since failure of primary prevention is tolerable, whereas failure of secondary prevention is clearly not.) Modern pulse oximeters undoubtedly facilitate secondary prevention, but they are not yet a panacea, being frequently inaccurate at lower saturations2 (as corroborated by the single hypoxic pulse oximeter comparison reported by Coté et al.).3 And susceptible to both extraneous artifacts and patient variables other than SaO2.4 We must still seek scientific knowledge about the sensitivity and specificity of clinical signs5 and the reliability of oxygen monitors6 and, in practice, make use of all clues of hypoxemia available to us.
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In Reply—We agree with Dr. Knill that more research must be done to improve our primary methods of diagnosing hypoxemia; however, very significant desaturation must occur before a patient is visibly cyanotic and, as Dr. Knill has pointed out, many factors may influence the ability to diagnose cyanosis. Our study was designed to examine the incidence of hypoxic events and correlate these events with changes in vital signs and presence or absence of cyanosis. We clearly demonstrated that pulse oximeters are able to diagnose borderline desaturation well before any individual would be capable of this diagnosis, even if he/she knew that the patient was about to become desaturated. Dr. Knill’s research on the cardiovascular effects of volatile anesthetic agents may well explain in part why we did not observe changes in vital signs with brief episodes of desaturation. Dr. Knill points out that, in his experience, cyanosis was more difficult to diagnose during halogenated hydrocarbon anesthesia, perhaps due to a “relative hyperperfusion of the skin and mucous membranes;” interestingly, all 14 patients (17 events) in our study who had major hypoxic events diagnosed by the oximeter and not by the anesthesiologist were receiving halothane anesthesia. Bear in mind, however, that nearly all pediatric patients in this institution are anesthetized with halothane.

Dr. Knill poses another interesting question, i.e., can one observe the changes in the color of blood in the surgical field and relate this to oxygen saturation? Because we did not study this, we cannot provide scientific data; however, it is our clinical impression that only severe desaturation manifests as dark blood on the surgical field. Furthermore, we have had many occasions when the surgeon thought that the blood “looked dark” while the oximeter read 100% saturation. Since both surgeons and anesthesiologists are frequently accustomed to observing hypoxic blood, any subtle change in color would probably go unnoticed.

We still agree, however, that observing the surgical field is extremely valuable and provides another piece of important data. In addition, we concur that more emphasis should be placed on the sensitivity and specificity of clinical signs and that we must not rely solely on monitors to diagnose desaturation; the oximeter provides an early warning, but it is up to clinicians to integrate the data from the monitors and their own clinical judgment and then take appropriate action.
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