A New Complication Due to the Lumbar Sympathetic Block?

To the Editor—The clinical report by Wills et al.1 documenting the development of Horner’s syndrome secondary to lumbar sympathetic block is intriguing, but may be explained by an inadvertent subdural injection.

The subdural space is a potential space located between the dura mater and arachnoid. CSF cannot be aspirated from the subdural space, because this space does not communicate with the subarachnoid space.

Although subdural injection occurs more frequently during myelography,2 it has been documented as a result of an intended epidural injection.3-6 Potential complications of a lumbar sympathetic block include epidural or subarachnoid injection. Therefore, subdural injection is certainly possible. This is more likely to occur if the transverse process is mistakenly identified as the vertebral body.7 In Wills et al.’s case report,1 a description of the patient’s body habitus and depth of the needle at the time of injection might have indicated whether this was likely to have occurred.

Subdural injection of a local anesthetic is associated with delayed onset, extensive spread, sometimes resulting in weak or patchy anesthesia and relatively rapid recovery.8,9 In contradistinction to a subarachnoid injection, less motor involvement, less hypotension, and a more gradual onset of respiratory depression may be seen.

The widest aspects of the subdural space have been reported to be located dorsally and laterally.9 The most likely location of a dural puncture during a lumbar sympathetic block is located anterolaterally. The lateral widening may explain the unilateral sensory changes.9 Also, because the subdural space extends intracranially,8 it is not surprising that the patient’s sensory deficit included cranial nerve distributions.

Thus, the clinical findings, as presented, suggest not a modulating role of somatic pain by the sympathetic nervous system, but rather an inadvertent subdural injection, as the most likely etiology for the occurrence of Horner’s syndrome and unilateral left hypoesthesia following a lumbar sympathetic block.

ECG Artifact Produced by Crystalloid Administration through Blood/fluid Warming Sets

To The Editor—During the past 2 years, we have observed and documented numerous instances of electrical artifacts appearing on the ECG resulting from the infusion of various crystalloids using Pharmacel® DWC-100 Blood/Fluid Warming Sets, Pharmacel® Blood/Fluid Warmer model DW-100D, and Marquette® 7010 RA monitors. This particular warming set includes two drip chambers, one with a spike for the fluid container and one mounted in a holder on the blood warmer.

Pseudoarrhythmias have been reported in relation to infusion pump operation1,2 and infusion pumps in combination with defective ECG monitors. Artifactual EGG signals, important with respect to the increased level of intraoperative processed EEG monitoring, have also been reported in association with infusion pumps1 and drip chambers.

In each instance of documented interference, the monitors and blood warmers were evaluated for proper operation and electrical safety. No defects or faults were uncovered. Patient monitors1 and inadequate electrode impedance,* from improper skin preparation, have been implicated by some authors. The basis for these assertions is that electrostatic or electromagnetically induced artifacts should appear as a common mode signal to the monitor and, therefore, should not be displayed unless there is a source impedance imbalance from the electrodes. Usually a source impedance imbalance is detectable by the 60 Hz interference accompanying the ECG display. However, in our particular case, electrode source impedance imbalance cannot be proved or disproved by 60 Hz interference alone, because the Marquette® 7010 RA monitor has a notch filter that removes 60 Hz signals without relying on common mode rejection. However, electrode source


References


(Accepted for publication July 14, 1988.)