An oil-based model was developed as a physical simulation of inhalation anesthetic uptake and elimination. It provides an alternative to animal models in testing the performance of anesthesia equipment. A 7.5-l water-filled manometer simulates pulmonary mechanics. Nitrogen and carbon dioxide flowing into the manometer simulate oxygen consumption and carbon dioxide production. Oil-filled chambers (180 ml and 900 ml) simulate the uptake and washout of halothane by the vessel-rich and muscle tissue groups. A 17.2-l air-filled chamber simulates uptake by the lung group. Gas circulates through the chambers (3.7, 13.8, and 251/min) to simulate the transport of anesthetic to the tissues by the circulatory system. Results show that during induction and washout, the rate of rise in end-tidal halothane fraction simulated by the model parallels that measured in patients. The model’s end-tidal fraction changes correctly with changes in cardiac output and alveolar ventilation. The model has been used to test anesthetic controllers and to evaluate gas sensors, and should be useful in teaching principles underlying volatile anesthetic uptake. (Key words: Anesthetics, volatile; pharmacokinetics. Pharmacokinetics, models: oil-based.)

Physical models of gas exchange ($V_{O_2}$ and $V_{CO_2}$) and pulmonary mechanics are used extensively to test ventilators and ICU monitors.$^{1-5}$ Equivalent physical models of anesthetic uptake, that allow one to test anesthetic equipment, are not available. To date, volatile anesthetic uptake has been modeled using electrical analogues and computers.$^{6-14}$ Although these models help conceptualize the dynamics of anesthetic uptake, they cannot be used with actual breathing circuits, and they cannot be used to test anesthetic delivery devices and gas monitors. We describe an oil-based model of anesthetic uptake and elimination which fills this need. The model is validated by comparing the rate of rise for the model’s end-tidal halothane concentration with the rate of rise measured in earlier patient studies and computer simulation.

### Methods

The model is shown in figure 1. Pulmonary mechanics, functional residual capacity (FRC), and anatomical dead space are modeled by a water-filled manometer.$^5$ The FRC is the air space above the water as labeled in figure 1. The anatomic deadspace is the volume of the tube connecting the FRC to the endotracheal tube. When a tidal volume is added to the FRC, the water rises in the opposite side of the manometer, creating end-inspiratory pressure. A model with the dimensions described in the legend to figure 1 has a compliance ($\Delta V/\Delta P$) of 0.1 l/cm H$_2$O. A resistance placed on the inlet to the FRC will simulate airway resistance (i.e., 10 cm H$_2$O·l$^{-1}$·s$^{-1}$). The pressure-volume curve of the model closely matches that seen in patients whose lungs are mechanically ventilated.$^5$ Gas exchange ($V_{CO_2}$ and $V_{O_2}$) can be modeled by bubbling CO$_2$ and N$_2$ into the FRC. $V_{O_2}$ is calculated from the N$_2$ flow rate ($V_{N_2}$) by the relationship:

$$V_{O_2} = \frac{V_{N_2} F_i O_2}{(1-F_i O_2)}^{1-4}$$

Three physical compartments model volatile anesthetic uptake by body tissues.$^6-8$ These compartments represent, and will be referred to as, the lung and blood group (LG), the vessel-rich group (VRG), and the muscle group (MG). The VRG and MG are modeled by olive oil-filled chambers in parallel with the lung compartment. Tissue/oil partition coefficients ($\lambda_{i/oil}$), table 1, are used to calculate equivalent oil volumes ($V_{oil}$) for the VRG and MG such that, at equilibrium, the amount of anesthetic in the saturated oil equals the amount in the saturated tissue:

$$V_{oil} = V_i \cdot \lambda_{i/oil}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

($V_i =$ volume of tissue). The LG is a gas filled mixing chamber. Its equivalent volume ($V_{gas}$) is calculated using the tissue/gas partition coefficients ($\lambda_{i/g}$) for lung tissue and blood:

$$V_{gas} = V_i \cdot \lambda_{i/g}.$$

The model does not include a fat group or a vessel-poor group. These two groups do not significantly contribute to uptake during the first hour of anesthetic induction,$^9$ and to model halothane uptake by these groups would require approximately 12 l of oil.
To simulate the rapid gas exchange which takes place between alveolar gas, pulmonary blood, and lung tissue, gas is pumped from the FRC through the gas mixing chamber (LG) at 25 l/min, the maximum rate provided by a small blower (Mini-Spiral, EG&G Rotron, New York). At this flow rate, the "time constant" for the 17.2 l LG is approximately 40 s.

To simulate the blood flow which perfuses the VRG and MG, gas is pumped from the FRC, through the two oil chambers using two Mini-Spiral blowers. The flow of gas through the oil chambers \( V_g \) was adjusted to simulate the blood flow to each group \( Q_b \):

\[
V_g = 1.6 \cdot Q_b \cdot \lambda_{bg}
\]

where \( \lambda_{bg} \) is the blood/gas partition coefficient. Tissue group blood flows \( Q_b \) were determined according to Eger \(^{16} \) (Table 1). The constant 1.6 accounts for an apparent oil/gas mixing inefficiency. In the oil model, the diffusion of anesthetic vapor from the circulating gas to the oil is not as efficient as is the diffusion from capillary blood to tissue in the human body. The factor 1.6 was found by adjusting the cardiac output (CO) in the Zwart model \(^ {10} \) until the computer simulated end-tidal concentrations matched the oil-model concentrations. The oil model was calibrated against the Zwart model because of the limited quantity of patient data documenting the effects of CO and \( V_A \) on end-tidal anesthetic fraction. These effects can be simulated by the Zwart model. \(^ {10} \)

A factor is also needed so that the oil model's rate of rise in \( F_{ET} \) hal changed with \( V_A \) during induction, as predicted by the Zwart model. The oil model's end-tidal anesthetic concentration changes correctly with changes in \( V_A \) when the following relationship is used:

\[
\dot{V}_A = 0.5 \dot{V}_{A oil} + 2.1
\]

where \( \dot{V}_{A oil} \) is the ventilation rate of the oil model and \( \dot{V}_A \) is the simulated patients' alveolar ventilation (l/min). The Zwart computer simulation was again used to find this relationship.

**TEST METHODS**

The end-tidal halothane fraction \( F_{ET} \) hal for the oil model was measured during induction and washout by connecting the model to an anesthesia circuit (Dragerwerk, Lubeck, West Germany) and ventilator (Ventime-

**TABLE 1. Constants used to Simulate Halothane Uptake**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue Group</th>
<th>( V_i ) (l)</th>
<th>( Q_b ) (l/min)</th>
<th>HAL</th>
<th>ENF</th>
<th>ISO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \lambda_{bg} )</td>
<td>( \lambda_{hal} )</td>
<td>( \lambda_{bg} )</td>
<td>( \lambda_{hal} )</td>
<td>( \lambda_{bg} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LG</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung Tissue</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRG</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>.027</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>.030</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>.018</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>.032</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>.030</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>.030</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Volumes, blood flows, and partition coefficients as used in the oil model to simulate halothane uptake for a 70 kg adult. Tissue volumes \( V_i \) are taken from Maplestone. \(^ {8} \) The blood flow \( Q_b \) to each tissue group assumes a cardiac output of 5 l/min. \(^ {15} \) Tissue/gas \( \lambda_{bg} \) and olive oil/gas \( \lambda_{bg} \) partition coefficients are taken from Lowe. \(^ {23} \) Tissue/oil partition coefficients \( \lambda_{O} \) are calculated by dividing the tissue/gas partition coefficients by the olive oil/gas partition coefficients. Tissue/oil partition coefficients are not given for the LG because this group is modeled using air. Heart muscle is assumed to have the same partition coefficient as skeletal muscle. Brain/gas partition coefficients are for whole brain (ENF, ISO) or for an average of white and grey matter (HAL).
published patient data\textsuperscript{15–17} and computer simulation results from the Zwart\textsuperscript{10} and GUS models.\textsuperscript{6} The end-tidal concentrations were assumed to equal alveolar concentrations and the measurements expressed as an alveolar to inspired fraction $F_A/F_I$.

The Zwart computer model\textsuperscript{10} simulates blood flow and halothane transport to nine tissue compartments. Venous blood is collected in the vena cava and goes to the lung and/or through a lung shunt to the arterial compartment. This model was modified so that CO remained constant during uptake and washout (as it did for the oil model). The GUS computer model simulates anesthetic uptake and distribution using a numerical model with 27 gas, blood, and tissue compartments. Transport of anesthetic agents among the compartments occurs via convection of gas and blood during discrete iterations of the simulation.

**Results**

Figure 2 compares the halothane alveolar to inspired ratio ($F_A/F_I$) for the oil model with patient data and computer simulation. The oil model $F_A/F_I$ most closely matches the Zwart computer simulation (as expected), and falls between the patient curves. The standard deviation between three repeated oil model simulations of $F_A/F_I$ averaged 2.7\% of reading.

Changes in $F_A/F_I$, which accompany changes in cardiac output are shown in figure 3. The upper curve is for CO = 2.5 l/min, the lower curve for CO = 7.5 l/min.

Figure 4 shows the changes in $F_A/F_I$, which accompany changes in $V_A$. The upper curve is for $V_A = 8.4$ l/min, the lower for $V_A = 2.1$ l/min.

Figure 5 shows the oil model simulation of halothane, enflurane, and isoflurane $F_A/F_I$. The relative rates of rise of $F_A/F_I$ are comparable with those seen in patients and in computer simulations.

**Discussion**

Models help us understand physiology and are useful in teaching and training. Using our physical oil model, training can be conducted in a mock clinical setting where anesthetic uptake and elimination can be simulated and the effects of ventilation and cardiac output demonstrated, using actual anesthesia machines, patient breathing circuits, and gas monitoring devices. The model is also valuable as a research tool in testing and evaluating new devices. Vaporizers, ventilators, and anesthetic agent analyzers can be tested and evaluated under simulated clinical situations. The noise generated by the model adds realism to these evaluations.

A water manometer was first described by J. Brunner\textsuperscript{5} for the simulation of pulmonary mechanics, intrapulmonary gas mixing, and $\dot{V}CO_2$. Realistic values for compli-
Fig. 3. The effect of cardiac output on halothane $F_A/F_I$. The solid lines show the results from the oil model simulation, the dashed lines show computer simulation. $\dot{V}_A = 4.2\,\text{l/min}$ and $CO = 2.5, 5.0$ and 7.5 l/min. Each oil model curve is the average of three measurements. For simplicity, the results during washout (32–64 min) are expressed as $F_A/F_I$ where $F_I = 1.0$, even though $F_I = 0$ during washout.

Fig. 4. The effect of alveolar ventilation on halothane $F_A/F_I$. Results from the oil model simulation shown with solid lines, dashed lines show the computer simulation. $\dot{V}_A = 2.1, 4.2$, and 8.4 l/min. Each oil model curve is the average of three measurements.

ance, resistance, lung volume, and dead space are achieved by selecting appropriate dimensions for the two water-filled chambers and for the gas inlet tube (trachea). Spontaneous ventilation may be simulated by connecting a mechanical ventilator to the right chamber in figure 1 and by using inverse I:E ratios. CO$_2$ bubbling through the water simulates CO$_2$ production; the expired CO$_2$ waveform is very realistic. Nitrogen dilution is a standard technique for simulating oxygen consumption; it is a standard used in assessing the accuracy of oxygen consumption monitors. Unfortunately, this method cannot be used to simulate VO$_2$ during closed circuit anesthesia; nitrogen dilution causes the expired tidal volume to be larger than the inspired tidal volume. An alcohol, propane, or hydrogen flame burning in the FRC chamber provides a more realistic simulation of VO$_2$ and VCO$_2$.

We added anesthetic uptake to the water manometer model by adding oil chambers. The oil model's simulation of the rate of rise of end-tidal halothane concentration during induction closely matches the Zwart and GUS computer simulations and falls within the range of patient data. The match with the Zwart model is expected, as the oil model was calibrated against the Zwart model. We could have calibrated our model to match one of the patient curves shown in figure 2. However, the published patient data do not report the CO and $\dot{V}_A$ under which the patient data were obtained; therefore, we chose to calibrate the oil model to the Zwart model. The result was an $F_A/F_I$ for the oil model, which is lower than that reported in the Cahalan patient study and higher than that reported in the Eger and Wahrenbrock patient studies.

The oil model simulation of $F_{ET}$hal is affected by $\dot{V}_A$ and CO, but to a lesser extent than expected. Mixing and diffusion are obviously not as efficient in the oil model as they are in the natural lung. The alveolar/blood and blood/tissue surface areas are much larger in the body than are the oil/gas surface areas in the oil model. Factors 1.6 in equation 3 and 0.5 in equation 4 were found to compensate for these inefficiencies. More work is needed to verify that these factors are linear and apply over larger ranges of CO and $\dot{V}_A$ for each anesthetic agent. A mixing fan in the FRC, and smaller bubbles in the oil chambers, might change the value of the constants or make them unnecessary.

$\dot{V}_A$ and CO had little effect on the rate of halothane washout for either the oil model or the computer simulation (figs. 3, 4). The small effect may be due to the relatively short duration of anesthetic exposure and the small

Fig. 5. $F_A/F_I$ for isoflurane, enflurane, and halothane as simulated by the oil model. CO = 5 l/min and $\dot{V}_A = 4.2\,\text{l/min}$. Each curve is the average of three measurements.
venous-to-alveolar partial pressure gradient (especially for short duration anesthesia). During washout, $F_{ET}$/hal for the oil model was somewhat higher than $F_{ET}$/hal predicted by the Zwart computer simulation. The rate of halothane from the breathing circuit components may have caused this difference (the computer simulations did not model the breathing circuit and its effects on washout).

Our oil model does not correctly simulate the second gas effect. The oil volumes and gas flows given by equations 1–3 are agent-specific: they depend on $\lambda_{\nu/6}$ and $\lambda_{\nu/v}$ of each agent. Further, our model does not simulate uptake by the fat tissue or the vessel-poor tissue groups; therefore, it does not properly simulate anesthetic uptake after the first 1–2 h of induction. Our model also neglects the effects of metabolism of anesthetic agent; metabolism accounts for a significant fraction of the halothane elimination. Finally, changes in CO were proportionally distributed to each tissue group in our model. This is an oversimplification of the nonlinear cardiovascular dynamics and nonuniform distribution of CO which occurs in patients. Although changes in $\tilde{V}_A$ and CO in the oil model produce changes in $F_A/F_I$ which are consistent with the Zwart model, they may not match reality. Pharmacokinetic models rely on our limited knowledge of human physiology. Similarly, a model as simple as ours cannot possibly simulate the complex interactions between the brain anesthetic partial pressure, CO and $\tilde{V}_A$.

We make extensive use of the model in our research laboratory to test and evaluate new gas monitoring equipment. Control systems being developed to regulate the end-tidal concentration of anesthetic are tested with the model using actual anesthetic delivery devices and breathing systems. The model has bridged the gap between computer simulations and clinical reality, and in doing so has, in our laboratory, reduced the number of animal and clinical experiments needed to evaluate new equipment.
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