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The effects of clonidine added to lidocaine solution used for epidural anesthesia were assessed in 92 women scheduled for surgery and premedicated with diazepam 10 mg po. Patients received 18 ml 2% lidocaine with clonidine 5 μg·ml⁻¹ (group C-5, n = 26), with clonidine 10 μg·ml⁻¹ (group C-10, n = 20), with epinephrine 5 μg·ml⁻¹ (group E, n = 26), or plain (group P, n = 20). No significant difference in the number of segments of analgesia was found at any observation period among the four groups of patients. The decreases in mean blood pressure (BP) observed 20 min after epidural injection in those given clonidine (5 ± 8% for C-5, 10 ± 11% for C-10, mean ± SD) were similar to those given plain lidocaine (7 ± 12%) but significantly less than those given epinephrine (18 ± 12%, P < 0.01 vs. C-5 or P). The response of BP to epidural given for resting BP during anesthesia was not attenuated in patients who received epidural clonidine. Heart rate (HR) decreased significantly in patients given clonidine 10 μg·ml⁻¹ (7 ± 8%, P < 0.01), but not in those given clonidine 5 μg·ml⁻¹, whereas HR increased significantly in those given lidocaine plain or with epinephrine (10 ± 8% and 28 ± 14%, respectively, P < 0.01). The influence of sinus bradycardia was similar among the four groups of patients. Significant decreases were also observed in sedation score between clonidine groups and groups P or E; sedation appeared approximately 10–20 min after epidural injection in both clonidine groups. Although respiratory rate, PAO₂, and PAO₂CO₂ did not change after epidural injection in both clonidine groups, PAO₂ increased significantly (P < 0.01) in those given lidocaine plain or with epinephrine. Maximal plasma lidocaine concentrations (10–15 min after epidural injection) in group C-5 (n = 7, 3.4 ± 0.2 μg·ml⁻¹) and in group C-10 (n = 7, 3.6 ± 1.0 μg·ml⁻¹) were comparable to those in group P (n = 7, 2.9 ± 1.0 μg·ml⁻¹) but were significantly greater (P < 0.05) than those in group E (n = 7, 2.3 ± 0.4 μg·ml⁻¹). These results indicate that the addition of clonidine to lidocaine for epidural anesthesia provides a sedative effect and relatively stable hemodynamics, and that clonidine in a concentration of 1:200,000 or 1:100,000, in contrast to 1:200,000 epinephrine, tends to increase rather than to suppress the plasma lidocaine concentrations. The latter effect may be related to altered metabolism of lidocaine by clonidine. (Key words: Anesthetic techniques: epidural. Anesthetics, local: lidocaine. Sympathetic nervous system: alpha-2 adrenergic agonists, clonidine; epinephrine.)

THE ANALGESIA, hemodynamic effects, and neurotoxicity of clonidine hydrochloride, a partial alpha-2 agonist, administered into the epidural or subarachnoid space has been studied extensively in animal experiments.1–3 The results indicate that epidural or intrathecal administration of clonidine produces little change in local blood flow, produces no histopathologic lesions of the spinal cord,2,4,8,9 and causes no significant influence on systemic hemodynamics.1,2 Furthermore, clonidine has no peripheral vasodilating action9 but does cause bradycardia11,12 and sedation.1,13 These data suggest that the addition of clonidine in epidural anesthesia may have advantages over epinephrine, which may cause hypotension and tachycardia when added to lidocaine solution.14 Although several reports have described clinical administration of epidural or intrathecal clonidine,15–24 observations still are limited.

The current clinical study was undertaken in surgical patients to evaluate the comparative analgesic, hemodynamic, respiratory, and sedative effects of clonidine or epinephrine when added to lidocaine solution in patients receiving epidural anesthesia. Since a significant decrease in arterial oxygen tension has been reported to occur after epidural2 or intravenous9 administration of clonidine in sheep, we also investigated the effect of clonidine upon arterial oxygenation. The effect of clonidine on the response to epidural and on plasma lidocaine concentrations also was compared among patients who received lidocaine plain or lidocaine with clonidine or epinephrine.

Materials and Methods

Ninety-two women ranging in age from 20 to 63 yr and scheduled to have epidural anesthesia for their gynecologic surgery were selected for this study. The study protocol was approved by the Jutaku Kenkyu Committee of the University of Tsukuba Hospital (Human Investigation Committee) and by our local ethical committee. Informed consent was obtained from each patient. No patient had any cardiopulmonary or neurologic disorder.

All patients received diazepam 10 mg po 90 min before arrival in the operating room. A 16-G intravenous catheter was inserted for continuous infusion of lactated Ringer’s solution at a rate of 10 ml·kg⁻¹·h⁻¹. After patients were placed in the lateral decubitus position, a Tuohy needle was introduced into the epidural space via the L2–L3 or L3–L4 intervertebral space, after which, a 16 gauge epidural catheter was advanced approximately 4–5 cm into the epidural space.

Patients were assigned to receive 18 ml 2% lidocaine (360 mg) plain (group P, n = 20); with 1:200,000 lidocaine
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(group C-5, n = 26; 5 μg·ml⁻¹, total dose 90 μg); with 1:100,000 clonidine (group C-10, n = 20; 10 μg·ml⁻¹, total dose 180 μg); or with 1:200,000 epinephrine (group E; n = 26). Three minutes after injection of a test dose (3 ml 2% lidocaine either plain, or with clonidine or epinephrine), the remaining 15 ml of the same solution was administered into the epidural space over 30 s. Analgesic level was checked by the pin-prick method at 5-min intervals for 20 min. Blood pressure (BP) and heart rate (HR) were measured at 1, 2, 3, 5, 10, 15, and 20 min after epidural injection. BP was measured by sphygmomanometer or by a blood pressure monitoring device (BP-308ET, Nippon Colin Co., Ltd.). HR was determined from continuous monitoring of the electrocardiogram. Respiratory rate (RR) was measured every 5 min. Sedation was defined on a scale of 0 to 5 (0 = very excited; 1 = alert, tense, and inquisitive; 2 = sedated, but not sleepy, with eyes opened; 3 = eyes sometimes opened, and sleepy to observers, although the patient herself does not complain of sleepiness; 4 = eyes closed almost continuously and complaints of sleepiness; and 5 = drowsy and almost no response to verbal commands). The sedation score was checked by one of the authors at 5-min intervals after epidural injection. Samples of arterial blood were obtained while patients breathed room air before and 20 min after epidural injection in 20, 24, 20, and 20 patients of groups P, C-5, C-10, and E, respectively. Specimens were analyzed for pH, PaO₂, PaCO₂, and base excess by 178 pH/Blood Gas Analyzer (Corning).

After confirmation of an adequate epidural analgesia by the pin-prick method 20 min after epidural injection, the patients received intravenous diazepam 5–10 mg or butorphanol 1–2 mg or both for their intraoperative sedation. During the study, hypotension was defined as a decrease in systolic BP greater than 30% of the pre-anesthetic value or a systolic BP of less than 80 mmHg. Hypotension was treated with intravenous ephedrine 0.1 mg·kg⁻¹. Measurement of BP and HR was made 1 min after the administration of ephedrine, and the hemodynamic responses to ephedrine were compared among the four groups. Bradycardia was defined as a HR less than 50 beats per min and was treated with intravenous atropine 0.5 mg. When ephedrine or atropine was used during the first 20-min study period, the data were excluded from the hemodynamic results (see table 2).

In order to measure plasma lidocaine concentration, we studied 28 patients (7 from each group), who, prior to puncture of the epidural space, received 1% mepivacaine for local infiltration in addition to placement of venous and arterial catheters. Arterial blood samples for measurement of plasma lidocaine concentrations were withdrawn 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, 50, and 60 min after epidural injection of lidocaine. The plasma lidocaine concentration was measured by homogeneous enzyme immunoassay. 26 A 50-μl aliquot of each plasma sample was mixed with a reagent, which contained antibodies to lidocaine together with substrates for enzyme glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase. An enzyme-labeled lidocaine was then added. The labeled lidocaine combines with any remaining unfulfilled antibody binding sites, and the enzymatic activity is proportionately reduced. Residual enzymatic activity is directly related to the concentration of lidocaine present in plasma. Unbound active enzyme converts nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD) to NADH, resulting in an absorbance change. Enzyme activity was measured spectrophotometrically as the change in optical density at 340 nm (model acas discrete clinical analyzer, DuPont Co.). The coefficient for variability in this method was 5.8%, and the assay range, 1.0–12.0 μg·ml⁻¹. Values obtained by this technique correlate well with those obtained by high-pressure liquid chromatography (correlation coefficient 0.982).

The following drugs were used in this study: clonidine hydrochloride (Boehringer Ingelheim Ltd.), lidocaine hydrochloride, (Fujisawa Co. Ltd.), mepivacaine hydrochloride (Fujisawa Co. Ltd.), ephephrine hydrochloride (Dainippon Co. Ltd.), and atropine sulfate (Tanabe Co. Ltd.).

Values are given as mean ± SD unless otherwise stated. Analyses of changes in variables from baseline values were performed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Comparisons of data among groups were made by two-way ANOVA and Student's t test with Bonferroni corrections. Wilcoxon's signed rank test was used to compare the sedation scores among the four groups. Testing for the incidence among the groups was accomplished by chi-squared analysis. P values less than 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

Results

There were no significant differences in age, body weight, and height among the four groups (table 1), and basal values of mean BP, HR, RR, and sedation score among the four groups also were similar (tables 2 and 3). The mean dose of epidurally administered clonidine was 1.7 ± 0.2 μg·kg⁻¹ in group C-5 and 3.3 ± 0.5 μg·kg⁻¹ in group C-10, respectively. Two patients in group P, three patients in group C-5, two patients in group C-10, and two patients in group E were excluded from the hemodynamic results (table 2) because one patient each in groups C-5 and C-10 received atropine for the treatment of sinus bradycardia, and the remaining seven patients, who developed hypotension, were treated with ephedrine during the first 20-min study period. No significant difference in the extent of analgesia was noted among the four groups (table 3).

Mean BP significantly decreased, by 7 ± 12, 5 ± 8, 10 ± 11, and 18 ± 12% of baseline (P < 0.05) at 20 min
after epidural injection in groups P, C-5, C-10, and E, respectively (table 2). Patients in group C-5 showed no significant change in HR, whereas a significant decrease in HR (7 ± 8%) was noted in group C-10 (P < 0.05, table 2). However, in a step-wise fashion, HR significantly increased, reaching a maximal value of 110 ± 8 and 128 ± 14% of baseline in the groups P and E, respectively (P < 0.01, group P vs. group E, table 2).

RR remained stable in the four groups during the 20-min study period, but significant differences in RR were found at 10–20 min after epidural injection between group P and group C-5 or C-10 (table 3). Sedation scores in clonidine groups were significantly greater than those in groups P and E at 10–20 min after epidural injection (table 3). Sixteen of 26 patients (61%) in group C-5, 18 of 20 (90%) in group C-10, and 6 of 20 (30%) in group P complained of feeling sleepy (score 4) when they were questioned by one of authors (P < 0.001, group C-10 vs. group P; P < 0.05, group C-5 vs. group P or C-10), but none of patients was sedated to the degree that she could not respond to verbal commands. In contrast, only 2 of 26 patients (7%) in group E became sleepy (P < 0.001, vs. groups C-5 and C-10; P < 0.05, vs. group P), and median values of the sedation score remained unchanged in the patients of group E (table 3).

No significant changes in PaO₂ were observed in the clonidine groups, although PaO₂ increased significantly at 20 min after epidural injection in groups E and P (table 4).

In four, six, seven, and seven patients of groups P, C-5, C-10, and E, respectively, hypotension occurred after epidural injection. Neither in the incidence of hypotension among the four groups nor in the segment numbers of analgesia was there any significant difference between patients with and without hypotension in each group. Fifteen of all 24 hypotensive episodes occurred more than 20 min after epidural injection (after administration of diazepam and butorphanol as sedatives). There was, however, no significant difference in the responses of BP and HR to ephedrine of 0.1 mg · kg⁻¹ among the four groups (table 5).

Plasma lidocaine concentration differed significantly among the groups until 40 min after epidural injection; their maximum values were 2.9 ± 1.0, 3.4 ± 0.2, 3.6 ± 1.0, and 2.3 ± 0.4 μg · ml⁻¹ in groups P, C-5, C-10, and E, respectively, 10–15 min after epidural administration (fig. 1). Plasma lidocaine concentrations in the clonidine groups showed a tendency to be greater than those in group P. However, plasma lidocaine concentrations were statistically similar among clonidine groups and group P, except at 5 min after epidural injection (P < 0.05) in group C-5. Plasma lidocaine concentrations were higher in the clonidine groups than in group E until 30–40 min after epidural injection (P < 0.05), but they did not differ between groups P and E (fig. 1).

Two, five, four, and one patients developed sinus bradycardia (<50 beats per min) after epidural injection in groups P, C-5, C-10, and E, respectively (P > 0.05

---

**Table 1.** Patient Characteristics of the Four Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Agents Added to Lidocaine</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40 ± 8</td>
<td>56 ± 9</td>
<td>156 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-5</td>
<td>Clonidine 5 μg · ml⁻¹</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>57 ± 7</td>
<td>52 ± 8</td>
<td>156 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-10</td>
<td>Clonidine 10 μg · ml⁻¹</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>39 ± 10</td>
<td>54 ± 9</td>
<td>155 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Epinephrine 5 μg · ml⁻¹</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>41 ± 9</td>
<td>54 ± 10</td>
<td>155 ± 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD.

No significant differences among the four groups.

---

**Table 2.** Hemodynamic Effects of 18 ml Epidural 2% Lidocaine either Plain or with an Agent Added

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hemodynamic Variables</th>
<th>Added Agent</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80 ± 12</td>
<td>84 ± 11*</td>
<td>83 ± 12*</td>
<td>83 ± 13*</td>
<td>82 ± 15*</td>
<td>82 ± 10†</td>
<td>82 ± 11†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td>C-5</td>
<td>86 ± 11</td>
<td>85 ± 12</td>
<td>84 ± 11</td>
<td>84 ± 13*</td>
<td>82 ± 14*</td>
<td>82 ± 13†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C-10</td>
<td>88 ± 12</td>
<td>84 ± 10*</td>
<td>83 ± 11*</td>
<td>82 ± 9*</td>
<td>79 ± 11*</td>
<td>78 ± 10*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>87 ± 12</td>
<td>79 ± 11*</td>
<td>77 ± 11*</td>
<td>76 ± 11*</td>
<td>73 ± 10*</td>
<td>71 ± 9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (beats per min)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>76 ± 12</td>
<td>79 ± 12*</td>
<td>79 ± 12*</td>
<td>80 ± 12†</td>
<td>85 ± 15*</td>
<td>85 ± 16*</td>
<td>86 ± 16*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td>C-5</td>
<td>71 ± 9</td>
<td>71 ± 10†</td>
<td>72 ± 10†</td>
<td>72 ± 10*</td>
<td>73 ± 10†</td>
<td>71 ± 8†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C-10</td>
<td>76 ± 16</td>
<td>77 ± 17</td>
<td>76 ± 17</td>
<td>76 ± 16†</td>
<td>76 ± 17†</td>
<td>75 ± 19†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>74 ± 10</td>
<td>87 ± 12*</td>
<td>91 ± 13*</td>
<td>94 ± 14*</td>
<td>95 ± 14*</td>
<td>92 ± 14*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P = lidocaine plain. Added agents: C-5 = 1:200,000 clonidine; C-10 = 1:100,000 clonidine; E = 1:200,000 epinephrine. P, n = 18; C-5, n = 26; C-10, n = 18; E, n = 24.

Values are mean ± SD.

* P < 0.05 versus baselines.

† P < 0.05 versus group E.
TABLE 3. Analgesic, Respiratory, and Sedative Effects of 18 ml Epidural 2\% Lidocaine Plain or with an Agent Added

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Added Agent</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Time after Epidural Injection (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segments of analgesia</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>2.6 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C-5</td>
<td>3.6 ± 3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C-10</td>
<td>4.2 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>3.4 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory rate (breaths·min⁻¹)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>16.4 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C-5</td>
<td>15.5 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C-10</td>
<td>15.3 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>16.5 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedation score</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C-5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C-10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P = lidocaine plain. Added agents: C-5 = 1:200,000 clonidine; C-10 = 1:100,000 clonidine; E = 1:200,000 epinephrine. P, n = 20; C-5, n = 26; C-10, n = 20; E, n = 26.

Values of sedation score are median.

* P < 0.05 versus group P.
† P < 0.05 versus group E.

Values of segments of analgesia and respiratory rate are mean ± SD.

among the four groups). Of these, three patients had basal HR lower than 60 beats per min. However, there was no difference in the segment numbers of analgesia between patients who did and did not develop sinus bradycardia. All bradycardia episodes were successfully treated with intravenous atropine 0.5 mg. In addition, first-degree atrioventricular block (PQ interval of 0.28 s) was observed approximately 30 min after epidural injection in one patient of group C-5. This block persisted during the operation. No other adverse effects possibly related to epidural clonidine were observed in the perianesthetic period, except dryness of mouth in two patients each in groups C-5 and C-10.

### Discussion

The results of the current study indicate that the addition of 1:200,000 or 1:100,000 clonidine to lidocaine solution for epidural anesthesia produces smaller changes in BP and HR compared with the addition of epinephrine to lidocaine solution, and together with butorphanol and diazepam provides intense sedation compared with lidocaine plain or with epinephrine. The plasma lidocaine concentrations showed a tendency to be greater in patients receiving clonidine. Since clonidine could alter the hepatic metabolism of lidocaine, this effect may obscure clonidine's local effects on vascular uptake, and hence its inclusion may increase the possibility of systemic toxic reactions with larger doses or continuous infusion of local

### TABLE 4. Results of pH, PaCO₂, PaO₂, and Base Excess (BE) before and 20 min after Epidural Injection of 18 ml 2\% Lidocaine Plain or with an Agent Added

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>20 Min After</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P (n = 20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.40 ± 0.02</td>
<td>7.40 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaCO₂ (mmHg)</td>
<td>38 ± 3</td>
<td>38 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO₂ (mmHg)</td>
<td>88 ± 10</td>
<td>93 ± 7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE (mEq·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.05 ± 1.6</td>
<td>0.1 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-5 (n = 24)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.40 ± 0.02</td>
<td>7.39 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaCO₂ (mmHg)</td>
<td>39 ± 3</td>
<td>39 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO₂ (mmHg)</td>
<td>80 ± 7</td>
<td>91 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE (mEq·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.3 ± 1.6</td>
<td>0.3 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-10 (n = 20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.41 ± 0.02</td>
<td>7.39 ± 0.02*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaCO₂ (mmHg)</td>
<td>38 ± 3</td>
<td>39 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO₂ (mmHg)</td>
<td>87 ± 10</td>
<td>88 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE (mEq·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.8 ± 1.6</td>
<td>0.09 ± 1.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E (n = 20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.40 ± 0.01</td>
<td>7.39 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaCO₂ (mmHg)</td>
<td>41 ± 3</td>
<td>39 ± 4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO₂ (mmHg)</td>
<td>84 ± 10</td>
<td>94 ± 8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE (mEq·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.2 ± 2.0</td>
<td>0.03 ± 1.9*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P = lidocaine plain. Added agents: C-5 = 1:200,000 clonidine; C-10 = 1:100,000 clonidine; E = 1:200,000 epinephrine. Values are mean ± SD.

* P < 0.05 versus Before.

### TABLE 5. Hemodynamic Responses to Ephedrine (0.1 mg·kg⁻¹) in Patients Who Received Epidural Injection of 18 ml 2\% Lidocaine Plain or with an Agent Added

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After (% change)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P (n = 4)</td>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>84 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>70 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-5 (n = 6)</td>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>79 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>66 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-10 (n = 7)</td>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>79 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>62 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E (n = 7)</td>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>80 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>73 ± 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD.

P = lidocaine plain. Added agents: C-5 = 1:200,000 clonidine; C-10 = 1:100,000 clonidine; E = 1:200,000 epinephrine. SBP = systolic blood pressure (mmHg), HR = heart rate (beats·min⁻¹), % change = percent change from values before atropine.
anesthetic. However, it does not alter respiration, arterial oxygenation, and the hemodynamic responses to ephedrine.

Although the inclusion of epinephrine to local anesthetic has been a clinically accepted practice for many years, the addition of clonidine has only recently been suggested. When given epidurally or spinaly, clonidine, like epinephrine, has antinociceptive action, probably through its direct suppression of spinal cord nociceptive neurons. However, we observed no clinically significant difference in either onset or extent of analgesia among the four groups (table 2). In contrast, intrathecal clonidine 50–150 μg has been reported to prolong the analgesic effect and the motor blockade of tetracaine and of bupivacaine. This suggests that clonidine does potentiate or prolong neuronal blockade effects of local anesthetic by reducing vascular uptake and thereby maintaining a higher concentration of lidocaine near the neuronal tissue for a longer period of time in spinal anesthesia.

According to a recent study, epidural clonidine 300 μg has been shown to decrease lidocaine absorption to the same extent as does epinephrine. In the current study, the ratio of maximum plasma lidocaine concentration in clonidine groups and group E was approximately 1.5 (fig. 1), which is similar to that obtained in an early study comparing 2% plain lidocaine and 1:200,000 epinephrine added to 2% lidocaine. Furthermore, the time course of appearance and decay of plasma lidocaine concentrations was not statistically different between group P and group C-5 or C-10, and between group P and group E (fig. 1). However, the plasma lidocaine concentrations appeared to be increased in the clonidine groups, although only one point, at 5 min after epidural injection, was statistically greater in group C-5 compared to that in group P. Since plasma alfentanil concentrations are reported to be significantly higher in patients receiving transdermal clonidine, and since the hepatobiliary clearance of sulfobromophthalein is known to be reduced by subcutaneous clonidine in rodents, greater plasma lidocaine concentrations may suggest an altered hepatic metabolism of lidocaine by epidural clonidine, and may obscure clonidine’s local effect on systemic absorption. Most previous reports have indicated that epinephrine is effective in the suppression of plasma lidocaine concentrations, possibly through the constriction of epidural vessels. Although epidural clonidine has been reported to produce little change in spinal cord blood flow, the effect of clonidine upon epidural vessels remains unknown. Therefore, we cannot explain the lack of a suppressive effect on plasma lidocaine concentrations with epidural clonidine.

Hypotension during epidural anesthesia is greater after epinephrine–lidocaine solution than after lidocaine alone. An approximately 10–20% decrease in mean BP has been observed during high (around the T5 dermatome)-level epidural analgesia with epinephrine–lidocaine. These values are quite consistent with the current results of group E (18% decrease in mean BP). In groups C-5 and P, the decreases in mean BP were only 5 and 7%, respectively (significantly different compared to group E). However, the reduction of mean BP in group C-10 (10%) was similar to that in group E (P > 0.05). Clonidine decreases BP through central effects, but produces alpha-2-adrenoceptor-mediated vasoconstriction when infused intraarterially in humans. At plasma clonidine concentrations greater than approximately 2–3 ng·ml⁻¹, the peripheral action predominates and a pressor response is observed below this concentration, BP decreases. In addition, it has been reported in sheep that the peak concentration of clonidine is approximately 1 ng·ml⁻¹ after epidural injection of clonidine 300 μg, and epidural clonidine per se has been demonstrated to produce no hypotension in awake sheep. However, in clinical practice, mild decreases in BP have been observed after epidural injection of clonidine for pain control. Since in the presence of lidocaine, alpha-adrenergic mediated vasoconstrictive effects would be potentiated, the smaller degree of reduction in BP may be attributable, at least partly, to higher plasma lidocaine as observed in group C-5. However, it is not clear in the current results whether systemic absorption of clonidine can compensate or augment the hypotension associated with sympathetic denervation by lumbar epidural anesthesia.

Several clinical reports describe severe bradycardia or atrioventricular conduction disturbance during clonidine therapy. Experimentally, epidural clonidine provokes a slowing of HR in anesthetized dogs and in awake sheep. In the current study, six and seven patients of groups C-5 and C-10 developed bradycardia after epidural injection. Because the incidence of bradycardia after epidual clonidine.
dural injection was similar among the four groups, brady-
cardia may result not only from epidural clonidine but
also from cardiac sympathetic blockade by epidural li-
docaine. Although the responses to intravenous atropine
are inconsistent and controversial,11,41,46 our patients re-
sponded well to intravenous atropine. Nevertheless, ep-
dural clonidine should be avoided in patients with sinus
dnode dysfunction or atrioventricular conduction distur-
bances, or in patients who are taking drugs that have neg-
ative chronotropic effects or that slow the atrioventricular
transmission.41-43,47

Although the precise site of its actions remains un-
clear,15 and although the participation of alpha 2-adre-
nergic receptors is controversial1,48 it has been shown that clo-
 nidine has several effects on the central nervous system.
These include marked sedation, prolonged choral hy-
drate sleeping time, decreased conditioned avoidance be-
havior, and lowered body temperature.15 In humans,49
sedation has been reported to occur after intrathecal clo-
 nidine 300 µg. In the current results we observed obvious
sedative effects in patients in the clonidine groups com-
pared to those in the other groups (table 3). A potential
criticism of our study is that sedation was not assessed in
a double-blind fashion. However, observer bias was un-
likely, since we defined sedation score to be 4 only when the
patient complained of feeling sleepy. The mean dose of
epidural clonidine used in the current clinical study was
approximately 1.7 µg·kg⁻¹ in group C-5 and 3.3
µg·kg⁻¹ in group C-10. The former dose is low, whereas
the latter dose is comparable to that producing sedation
in the sheep (approximately 3 µg·kg⁻¹).1 Moreover, this
sedative effect of clonidine may be potentiated by con-
comitant use of lidocaine, since lidocaine alone produces
central nervous depression50 and direct suppression of
spinal cord nociceptive neurons.51 This sedative effect of
lidocaine is obvious when comparing the incidence of se-
dation score 4 (30% vs. 7%) and the plasma lidocaine
concentrations (fig. 1) between groups P and E. However,
the higher sedation score observed in the clonidine groups
can be attributed primarily to the action of clonidine, since
comparable plasma lidocaine concentrations were found in
group P and in the clonidine groups.

Eisenach and Grice4 and Eisenach25 observed hypox-
emia in sheep after epidural (17–25 µg·kg⁻¹) or intra-
venuous (3–15 µg·kg⁻¹) injection of clonidine. Transient
platelet aggregation and pulmonary microembolism
were suggested as possible causes of hypoxemia after cloni-
dine.25 In the current study, however, no significant
change in PaO₂ and PaCO₂ was found 20 min after epidural
injection of clonidine–lidocaine solution in either dose
studied (table 4). A small increase in PaO₂ was noted in
groups P and E (table 4). Although the mechanism of the
improvement of oxygenation was not clear, the addition
of clonidine may have counteracted increases in PaO₂ after
epidural blockade. In addition, it is clinically important
in anesthesia practice to determine whether the respon-
siveness to ephedrine is preserved after an administration
of clonidine, since ephedrine increases BP by stimulating
the release of catecholamine from both alpha- and beta-
adrenergic receptors, and since clonidine is reported to
suppress the plasma norepinephrine appearance in hu-
man.52 However, no significant difference in the re-
sponses to ephedrine was noted among the four groups
(table 5).

In conclusion, the absence of tachycardia, a smaller
decrease in BP, and a pronounced sedative effect were
noted in patients receiving epidural anesthesia when 1:
200,000 or 1:100,000 clonidine was added to lidocaine
solution compared those receiving lidocaine plain or with
1:200,000 epinephrine. Despite these potentially ben-
eficial effects of clonidine added to lidocaine solution, a
higher plasma concentration of lidocaine in patients re-
ceiving clonidine may limit widespread use of clonidine
as an adjunct to epidural anesthesia.
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