Mallory-Weiss Tear Complicating Intraoperative Transesophageal Echocardiography in a Patient Undergoing Aortic Valve Replacement
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During its brief history, the safety of intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) has been attested to by the paucity of reported complications attributed to its use. However, blind positioning and manipulation of the ultrasound probe in the distal esophagus and stomach would seem to pose special risks to the cardiac surgical patient being subjected to the low perfusion pressure and anticoagulation associated with cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB). We present a previously unreported case of a Mallory-Weiss type, distal esophageal tear occurring secondary to TEE monitoring in a patient undergoing aortic valve replacement and coronary artery bypass graft surgery.

CASE REPORT

A 77-year-old woman underwent elective aortic valve replacement and coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) for progressive syncopal episodes and angina. Past medical history was remarkable for hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, glaucoma, benign positional vertigo, and a history of gastritis treated 3-5 yr previously with no evidence of esophagitis, hiatal hernia, or active gastrointestinal disease. She specifically denied symptoms of esophageal reflux or strictures on review of systems. There was no personal or family history of coagulopathy.

Preoperative laboratory studies showed a hemoglobin concentration of 118 g/L, and serum chemistries and plasma coagulation tests were all within normal limits. Chest x-ray revealed only mild cardiomegaly and a tortuous aorta with no evidence of a hiatal hernia or pneumonia. The ECG showed sinus rhythm with a left bundle branch block pattern. Cardiac catheterization demonstrated an aortic valve area of 0.4 cm² with 90% and 50% occlusive lesions of the circumflex and proximal left anterior descending coronary arteries, respectively, and well-preserved ventricular function.

After receiving cimetidine, morphine, and scopolamine premedicants, venous and arterial vascular access was secured and pulmonary artery catheterization was accomplished prior to uncomplicated induction of anesthesia with fenanyl, midazolam, and vecuronium. After tracheal intubation, a Hewlett-Packard Model 21362A esophageal ultrasound transducer probe (5.0 MHz; dimensions, 11 × 14-mm tip and 9.8-mm shaft) was passed into the distal esophagus easily and without apparent incident. The heart and aorta were imaged (using a Hewlett-Packard Model 77020A Ultrasound Imaging System) alternately from the four-chamber and short-axis orientations without difficulty during the prebypass period. The probe was never left or manipulated in a locked position of flexion and resided in a neutral, unlocked position (power off) within the distal esophagus during the 138-min period of CPB.

After aortic valve replacement with a 21-mm Hancock porcine bioprosthesis and two-vascular CABG during the 82-min aortic cross-clamp period, separation from CPB was accomplished with atraumatic sequential pacing, epinephrine, and phenylephrine infusions and an amrinone bolus. Transesophageal echocardiographic imaging of the heart from the esophagus was used to assist with removal of intracardiac air prior to separation from the pump-oxygenator. Echocardiographic evaluation of the aortic valve revealed an adequately functioning prosthesis with a small intraventricular leak and 1+/4+ regurgitation. The probe was then advanced into the stomach, and a short-axis view was obtained to assess ventricular filling and function. No problems were encountered in either manipulating the probe or obtaining high-quality images. In the postbypass period, a coagulopathy ensued requiring transfusion of 6 units of homologous packed red cells (in addition to 1,300 cc of Cell-Saver™ product), fresh-frozen plasma, cryoprecipitate, and platelets for laboratory-documented deficiencies of those components. Sufficient hemostasis to allow chest closure was obtained, and the patient was transferred from the operating room in stable condition.

On arrival in the postcardiac surgical unit, an orogastric tube was placed, and it immediately returned 500 cc of fresh blood. The following morning, after the patient had vomited fresh blood clots, an esophagogastroduodenoscopy was performed using an Olympus XP-10 gastric endoscope, 20% benzocaine topical anesthesia, and intravenous meperidine sedation. Initial endoscopy revealed bright red blood at the gastroesophageal (GE) junction and a large amount of old blood within the stomach. The stomach was lavaged with saline, and repeat examination revealed no active bleeding but a 2-cm linear tear in the mucosa just proximal to the GE junction. A small clot was adherent to the tear. There was no evidence of esophageal stricture, esophagitis, or varices, and the remainder of the examination was normal.

The tear was managed conservatively by removal of the orogastric tube and continuation of H-2 antihistamine therapy, and there was no evidence of further, clinically significant bleeding. An otherwise satisfactory recovery ensued, and the patient was discharged from the intensive care unit on the third postoperative day and from the hospital approximately 1 week later.

DISCUSSION

Intraoperative use of transesophageal echocardiography has enjoyed increasing popularity in cardiac surgery as the technique has been validated for assessment of chamber size and ventricular function, prosthetic cardiac valve function, hypotension following cardiac surgery, and detection of retained intracardiac air. It is used rou-
serves to reinforce the principles of safe practice of intraoperative TEE monitoring: gentle introduction and positioning of a well-lubricated probe, avoidance of manipulation with the tip in a locked position or leaving the tip flexed for a prolonged period of time, and exercise of special caution in patients with known gastrointestinal pathology or coagulopathy. What is perhaps more unusual than the fact that this complication occurred, is that it is not more common, given the previously mentioned special considerations regarding TEE in the patient undergoing CPB.
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This case describes an unusual, although potentially serious, complication of transesophageal echocardiography in a cardiac surgery patient. To the concerns over potential mucosal and muscular damage from sustained TEE probe contact pressure addressed recently by Urbanowicz et al., we would add confirmation that acute visceral trauma may result from introduction and manipulation of the probe in the distal esophagus, even in a patient without pre-existing esophageal pathology. Although we believe that this complication was neither predictable nor avoidable in our patient, the experience