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Fig. 1. Drager model 2A anesthesia machine controls.

Anesthesiology
75:168, 1991

Spinal Anesthesia in Infants: Could a L5–S1 Approach Be Safer?

To the Editor—Recently Wright et al. described four cases of high spinal anesthesia in former premature infants. The authors highlighted factors related to the extension of the block, i.e., baricity, position, total dose, volume of cerebrospinal fluid, volume of the anesthetic solution, and the rate of injection. However, we believe that in neonates the level at which dural puncture is performed plays a crucial role in governing the height of the spinal block. In all the infants, lumbar puncture was performed in the L3–L4 interspace.

Wright et al. stated that because the spinal cord and canal in infants are much shorter than in adults, small differences in the extent to which the solution ascends with injection could make a significant difference in the height of the block. We agree and suggest that a low approach, such as below the intercrystal line (which in neonates is mainly L3–S1), may reduce the possibility of high spinal blockade.

In addition, lumbar puncture at L3–L4 increases the risk of damaging the spinal cord, which is said to extend further caudad in small children.
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