Hosking cites the study by Yokoyama et al.12 as evidence that calcium administration enhances myocardial performance. Yokoyama and his colleagues decreased the ionized calcium concentration to less than 50% of the normal control value (far less than the concentrations we measured immediately prior to separation from bypass) before administering calcium salts. Toxic hypocalcemia may lead to impaired myocardial performance amenable to improvement by administration of calcium salts.19 We do not believe that the study by Yokoyama et al. offers evidence that calcium salts may be safely and efficaciously administered to nearly-normocalcemic patients emerging from extracorporeal perfusion. A more recent study from the same group confirms the deleterious effects of calcium after ischemia.14

Hosking asserts that “it seems logical to achieve normocalcemia prior to separation from bypass before administering catecholamines whose mechanism of action involves enhanced intracellular transport of calcium.” We know of no data supporting a reduced efficacy of catecholamines in moderately hypocalcemic patients. Indeed, we have recently observed that moderately hypocalcemic patients respond normally to epinephrine at the time of separation from cardiopulmonary bypass.8 In our studies, we have measured no reduction in the ability of epinephrine to stimulate cyclic AMP production until ionized calcium concentrations decrease to less than 0.5 mM. However, we have measured reductions in the efficacy of inotropic agents following calcium administration in vitro (studies in progress), in whole animals,10,16 and in patients.6

In summary, we stand by our conclusions that calcium salts lack efficacy at increasing cardiac output and that their routine administration be avoided in the reperfused, ischemic heart. Until outcome studies demonstrate that routine calcium administration is a safe practice, we will reserve calcium administration for those patients with specific indications.
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Postintubation Group in Children

To the Editor—Postintubation croup is a commonly cited problem occurring in healthy children after anesthesia. A prospective study by Koka, et al., demonstrated an overall incidence of 1% and identified several factors that were positively correlated with the occurrence of...
postintubation group. In their study, the diagnosis of postintubation group was made in any child who developed hoarseness, stridor, and/or retractions postoperatively. It was not made clear whether any of these children had surgical procedures involving the upper airway, such as laryngoscopy, bronchoscopy, or laryngeal surgery. The authors did, however, note that the incidence of postoperative group was not increased in patients undergoing operations of the head and neck. In addition, at the time of their report, endotracheal tubes were not subject to current standards and may have been cleaned and reused, and, therefore, more irritating to the airway.

In an attempt to further define the incidence and predictive factors contributing to postintubation group in healthy children undergoing anesthesia, we undertook a prospective study between August 1990 and June 1991, collecting data on all children who fulfilled our definition of postintubation group in the postanesthesia care unit. We defined postintubation group as inspiratory stridor associated with retraction of accessory muscles of respiration of at least 50 min duration and severe enough to warrant therapy either with humidified oxygen under a mist tent and/or nebulized racemic epinephrine. We excluded from study any child with preexisting airway disease and any child undergoing procedures involving surgical instrumentation of the upper airway.

Over the 10-month study period, 5,589 patients had endotracheal tubes placed for administration of anesthesia. This represents 63% of all children anesthetized during that period. Of these patients, only 7 children fulfilled our criteria for postintubation group, for an incidence of 0.1%. The ages of these children ranged from 7 months to 9 yr. Four of the 7 children had a prior history of group with a viral illness or after previous intubation. None of the children had severe enough to warrant treatment with nebulized racemic epinephrine, and no day-surgery patient with postintubation group had to remain overnight for observation. Because of the extremely small percentage of children who developed postintubation group, no attempt was made to predict causative factors by comparison with a control group.
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At our institution the general practice is that all children initially have age-appropriate endotracheal tubes placed, calculated as (16 + age)/4 for children over 2 yr of age and at the discretion of the anesthesiologist for patients less than 2 yr of age. If this results in an air leak at or greater than 40 cm H2O, the tube is replaced with the next smallest size.

In summary, our prospective observation of more than 5,000 patients found the incidence of postintubation group to be 0.1%, far less than previously reported. The present use of standardized nonreactive endotracheal tubes and the practice of assuring that an air leak is present around the endotracheal tube may be important factors in minimizing the occurrence of postintubation group.
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Transparent Dressing Is Useful for the Secure Fixation of the Endotracheal Tube

To the Editor.—Accidental tracheal extubation during head and neck surgery in pediatric patients may result when the patient’s head is repositioned or because the disinfectant used as part of the surgical preparation reduces the adhesive strength of the tape used to secure the endotracheal tube. We propose the use of sterile polyurethane transparent dressing. After securing the endotracheal tube with adhesive tape, we also apply transparent dressing (Tegaderm® 1626, 3M Medical Products Division, MN) on the skin (fig. 1). We have successfully used this technique without any complications to secure the reinforced silicone rubber endotracheal tube in more than 1,000 cases, including infants, of head, facial, dental, and neck surgery and neurosurgery. This technique possibly may be applied to even neonates. Finally, we believe that the cost for transparent dressing ($1.79 per one piece of Tegaderm® in the United States) is a small price to pay for the added reassurance that extubation, which could result in life-threatening complications, will not occur during these surgical procedures.

FIG. 1. Fixation of reinforced silicone endotracheal tube by the combination of adhesive tape and transparent dressing (Tegaderm®) in a young child undergoing otologic surgery.