optimal depth of its insertion into the DLT. The modified design needs only be produced in the small and medium sizes.

MEDHAT HANNAHALL, M.D., F.F.A.R.C.S.
Assistant Professor
Department of Anesthesia
Georgetown University Medical Center
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Washington, D.C. 20007
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Transesophageal Echocardiography in Pediatric Cardiac Surgery

To the Editor—The recent fine paper by Muhludeen et al.,1 and the accompanying editorial by Weintraub and Sahn2 admirably outline the complexities as well as the strengths and weaknesses of intraoperative transesophageal versus epicardial echocardiography used during surgical repair of congenital heart disease. They rightly point out that transesophageal echocardiography provides accurate assessment of surgical repairs of complex congenital heart defects, with the caveat that assessment of right ventricular outflow tract anatomy and valvular regurgitation is unreliable with currently available pediatric transesophageal echocardiography.

The degree of expertise and technical complexity evident in this report, the multidisciplinary authorship of the paper, and its appearance in an anesthesiology journal all beg the question: is it reasonable to expect that anesthesiologists can realistically do intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography assessments of complex congenital heart disease repairs? Furthermore, who watches the patient during the sometimes prolonged intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography assessment during the period of instability after bypass following a flawed surgical repair?

Intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography assessments that prompt surgical revision of complex congenital repairs during cardiopulmonary bypass should be at least as expert as the original preoperative decision for surgical repair itself. Anything less is unacceptable because the risk of reinstitution of bypass and revision of a complex repair may be substantial, particularly when the original bypass and aortic cross-clamp times have been prolonged. In our own institution, the pediatric cardiac anesthesiology staff, who are also board certified in pediatric radiology, do not feel qualified to make such judgments with the degree of expertise necessary to justify such risks; when such decisions are made, full-time echocardiographers are involved. Given that the American Heart Association recognizes 35 forms of congenital heart defects and that there are a number of variants of each form,3 one must ask: is it reasonable for an anesthesiologist who does not have extensive formal training for and ongoing concentration in echocardiographic diagnosis of congenital heart defects to make such decisions?

While these questions may well be beyond the scope of the study,1 such questions are important and arise because of the publication of such a paper. These questions were not addressed either by the authors1 or by the writers of the accompanying editorial.2 Although similar questions arise in the use of transesophageal echocardiography for assessment of valvular repairs and ventricular function during and after coronary artery bypass graft procedures, in the case of repair of congenital heart disease these issues are considerably more prominent and deserve comment.

PAUL R. HICKEY, M.D.
Associate Professor of Anesthesia
Director, Cardiac Anesthesia Service
Children’s Hospital
300 Longwood Avenue
Boston, Massachusetts 02115
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In Reply—Hickey raises a number of important philosophical issues regarding the anesthesiologists’ involvement in intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography. We affirm Hickey’s contention that extensive formal training and ongoing involvement in echocardiography is necessary for independent evaluation of transesophageal echocardiograms in patients with congenital heart disease. From our study, we learned that with appropriate training, an anesthesiologist can properly evaluate the repair. The learning curve has required 12 months of training in adult and pediatric transesophageal echocardiography and 2 yr of required full-time experience in echocardiography.
of congenital heart disease. In our team, the anesthesiologist is not alone, and if there is a question that further surgical repair is required, then an echocardiographer, also skilled in perioperative monitoring, is called to assist the surgical team.

It may not be possible for every anesthesiologist to achieve this level of training, but a working association can be established between an echocardiographer and anesthesiologist that would allow the needed intraoperative services without such extensive and time-consuming training for the anesthesiologist. Therefore, we recommend that the guidelines proposed by the American Society of Echocardiography be followed. These are

that the anesthesiologist develop a close collaborative relationship with a cardiologist who has expertise in transesophageal echocardiography. A team that provides intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography must include physicians who have developed expertise in diagnostic echocardiography (including transesophageal echocardiography) who are available when needed to maximize the value of transesophageal echocardiography in surgical management decision.¹

To reply to the question, "Who watches the patient during the . . . transesophageal echocardiography assessment?": obviously, if the patient is hemodynamically unstable, transesophageal echocardiography must wait until there is additional help available or until the patient is stable enough for the examination to be performed.

At our institution, the intraoperative transesophageal service evolved such that the anesthesiologist is primarily responsible for performing the intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography, and the pediatric transesophageal service has evolved as an extension of it.
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In Reply—In his letter addressing the paper by Muhuudeen et al.,¹ and our accompanying editorial,² Hickey raises an obvious point that I believe is well appreciated by those in institutions in which a large number of congenital heart disease operations are performed and where transesophageal echocardiography has found its deserved and pivotal role.

We agree completely that expertise involving judgments of surgeons, anesthesiologists, pediatric cardiologists, and experts in performing and interpreting color Doppler echocardiograms needs to be taken into account when decisions are made regarding adequacy or inadequacy of congenital heart disease repairs and the possibility of reinnubbing bypass to revise them. As a simple example, the velocity dependence of most velocity variance color mapping techniques makes driving pressure a fundamental determinant of the jets arising from restrictive orifices, such as those in valvar regurgitation or those across ventricular septal defects, and intraoperative transesophageal echocardiographic findings must be interpreted in light of this interpretation.

In many instances in our own institution, all four teams (surgery, anesthesia, clinical pediatric cardiology, and echocardiographic imaging) collaborate on intraoperative decision-making. As such, we fully agree with Hickey’s comments and are most appreciative that he took the time to send them to the Journal.

David J. Sahn, M.D.
Professor of Pediatrics and Radiology
Robert G. Weintraub, M.B.B.S.
Postdoctoral Research Scholar
Division of Pediatric Cardiology
University of California, San Diego Medical Center
225 Dickinson Street, 8445
San Diego, California 92103-9445
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