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In Refpy.—In our previous research, we showed a dissociation between explicit and implicit memory in surgical patients who experienced adequate anesthesia with isoflurane. The purpose of our most recent study was to determine whether a similar dissociation could be observed with other anesthetic regimes. For this purpose, we restricted our analysis to those patients who, like the vast majority of surgical patients, showed no explicit memory whatsoever for any aspect of their surgical experience, including the words presented on the audiotape, as measured by a test of free recall. We were somewhat surprised to find that some of our patients anesthetized with sufentanil/nitrous oxide displayed hints of explicit memory for surgical events; but our protocol, which was designed to provide a replication of our isoflurane study (in which no such hints were apparent), required that these patients be eliminated from statistical analysis. To repeat our findings: with isoflurane, implicit memory was spared to some degree in patients for whom explicit memory was abolished entirely; however, when sufentanil abolished explicit memory, it also abolished implicit memory. This direct comparison, removed from our paper at the request of the Editor to avoid publication repetition, is documented elsewhere.

Unfortunately, we have no way of gauging the accuracy of these particular patients’ “vague, dreamlike” reports. For the most part, they were generic thoughts and images that could pertain to any surgical procedure; perhaps it was inaccurate to refer to them as “recollections,” but they were made in response to a question about memory, so to be conservative, we characterized them as such. (We hasten to add that all of these patients were adequately anesthetized according to standard clinical criteria, none of them reported anything more than a fleeting impression, and none of them found their recollections—if indeed that is what they were—to be disturbing in any way.) As we noted in our paper, we suspect that the occurrence of these apparent recollections was due to our elimination of benzodiazepine premedication—again, following the procedure used in our isoflurane study. Certainly there was nothing remarkable about the patients’ illnesses or the progress of their surgeries. The fact that isoflurane without benzodiazepine abolishes explicit memory reliably, but sufentanil administered under the same circumstances does not always do so, is a finding of some theoretical and practical interest, but further research is required to define it more precisely. In any event, nothing in our research justifies the speculation that “patients remember what is important to them,” as suggested by Doering: presumably the details of surgical procedures are important to all patients who undergo them, regardless of the anesthetic agents employed; yet most patients remember nothing at all.

Randall C. Cork, M.D., Ph.D., F.A.C.A.
Associate Professor
Department of Anesthesiology
University of Arizona
Tucson, Arizona 85724

REFERENCE

(Accepted for publication August 3, 1992.)

Anesthesiology
77:1053–1054, 1992

Partially Paralyzed: A Personal Experience

To the Editor.—I was one of the volunteers partially paralyzed to a T1–T5 ratio of 0.2 with atracurium in the study reported by Sharpe et al.1 During the first phase of this study, I was lying supine. While in that position, I found it difficult to lift my limbs off the bed. All attempts to do so failed in midcourse. Although my tongue was lying limp in my throat, I was not choking. Difficult phonation was not due to inability to breathe but to not being able to lift my lips off my teeth, so that I could only lisp. I could not focus my eyes, but I did not have diplopia.

At the end of the study, I was turned on to my right side. In that position, I could move my arms freely and kick my legs with ease, as long as I confined all movements to the plane of the bed. I could purse my lips again, and my speech cleared. My vision improved also, particularly...
Intractable Cardiac Arrest in Children Given Succinylcholine

To the Editor—Intractable, unexpected cardiac arrest has been reported, especially in children, following induction of anesthesia with halothane and succinylcholine (SCh). In some cases, the child was subsequently shown to have Duchenne’s muscular dystrophy (DMD).1

During the past 12 months, four boys younger than 8 years of age have died in the United States during or following halothane and SCh (Malignant Hyperthermia [MH] Hotline data). Evidence of massive rhabdomyolysis was noted in all, and hyperkalemia and acidosis in most. In one case, the diagnosis of DMD was made based on the absence of dystrophin in the muscle specimen.

In the other cases, necrotizing rhabdomyolysis was noted on muscle specimens obtained at autopsy. Whether these changes are indicative of DMD in all cases is unclear.

This catastrophe is not limited geographically. The German MH Hotline (courtesy of Dr. Uwe Schulte-Sasse of Heilbronn) has accumulated 11 similar cases.

We speculate that six cases of this syndrome of sudden, intractable cardiac arrest would be expected each year in the United States, with an approximate 60% mortality rate.

Typically, the child is apparently normal with no major motor developmental delays but manifests this abnormal response shortly after administration of SCh. Based on data obtained during resuscitation, the arrest is likely due to hyperkalemia, although rhabdomyolysis and acidoses are also striking features. Hyperkalemia is present during resuscitation, limiting its success.

The earliest sign is a serious arrhythmia, such as pronounced bradycardia, that progresses rapidly to asystole or ventricular fibrillation.

When such a syndrome occurs in a child, we suggest immediate therapy for hyperkalemia, including glucose, insulin, bicarbonate, and calcium. Dantrolene is an appropriate secondary drug because it is not acutely toxic and the clinical differentiations from malignant hyperthermia susceptibility have not been clarified. Even in the absence of a direct relationship, dantrolene would not be harmful and might be helpful.

Surviving children should be evaluated for muscular dystrophy. We suggest that muscle specimens be frozen and analyzed for dystrophin levels.

We have notified the Food and Drug Administration of this potential problem and recommend that anesthesiologists carefully consider the indications for use of SCh in young children.

A full report concerning these cases is in preparation.

HENRY ROSENBERG, M.D.
Department of Anesthesiology
Hahnemann University
Broad and Vine Streets
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102-1192

GERALD A. GRONERT, M.D.
Department of Anesthesiology
University of California, Davis
TB 170
Davis, California 95616

REFERENCES


(Accepted for publication August 24, 1992.)