Table 1. Labeled ("Approved") Indications of Drugs Frequently Used in Pediatric Anesthesia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Older Children (13–18 yr)</th>
<th>Younger Children (2–12 yr)</th>
<th>Infants (1–23 months)</th>
<th>Neonates (1–30 days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alfentanil</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sufentanil</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fentanyl</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphine</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propofol</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midazolam</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etomidate</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dopamine</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dobutamine</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aminophrine</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albuterol (inhaler)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labetalol</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bupivacaine</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Not approved for children younger than 3 yr of age.
‡ Not approved for children younger than 10 yr of age.

the same proof of drug safety and efficacy as that required for adults. It is ironic that most major laws supporting the FDA's role in regulating drugs through changes in the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act were a direct result of adverse drug events in pediatric patients. As child health advocates, we wonder why the FDA does not mandate appropriate pediatric testing as a routine part of the drug approval process. Although the lawyers and insurance carriers have a significant influence on how medicine is practiced, it is imperative that physicians caring for children do what clinical experience and common sense tell us is the right thing.
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Intraoperative Transcranial Doppler Monitoring

To the Editor—Transcranial Doppler ultrasonography allows continuous noninvasive monitoring of cerebral blood flow velocity and represents an important advance in our ability to monitor intracranial hemodynamics. There are practical limitations to its routine intraoperative application, one of which is the constancy of the angle of insonation, i.e. the angle between the direction of the probe and
CORRESPONDENCE

Fig. 1. The fixation frame viewed from above. The ear inserts and the foam-padded nose rest are used to anchor the frame without interfering with the potential surgical field. The adjustable Doppler transducers are mounted on the side-arms.

the direction of the artery segment being visualized. Many commercial fixation devices are available, all of which are based on a head-band or head-strap design. Although these devices are functional, they preclude use of the monitor in neurosurgical procedures, which is arguably the area where it can be most useful.

Fig. 2. The frame applied to a patient undergoing craniotomy for resection of a frontal-parietal arteriovenous malformation.

We wish to report our experiences with a custom-designed attachment system (in collaboration with DWL Electronics, Sipplingen, Germany) using ear inserts and a foam-padded nose rest to anchor the system, leaving the head unencumbered (figs. 1 and 2). The side-mounted transducers are equipped with swivel locks to fix the position, and the thumb wheel mounted on the nose rest allows alteration of tension to achieve optimal signals. With the exception of neurosurgical procedures using subtemporal incisions, its deployment causes no interference. We have used this system successfully for intraoperative monitoring in 12 cases with good results.
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