CORRESPONDENCE

Gregory Allen, M.D., F.R.C.P.C.
Director
North American Malignant Hyperthermia Registry
Malignant Hyperthermia Association of the United States
Sherburne, New York
Associate Professor of Anesthesia
College of Medicine
The Pennsylvania State University
Hershey, Pennsylvania

References

(Accepted for publication May 15, 1998)

Is Lack of Statistical Power Always Evidence of Lack of Effect?

To the Editor—I read with interest the paper by Pittman et al.1 recently published in Anesthesiology. The study in the article shows that rats undergoing 25-min middle cerebral artery occlusion during pentobarbital or propofol anesthesia, in doses sufficient to maintain electroencephalogram burst suppression, have similar neurologic and histologic outcomes. The study is well designed, and I think that this research is extremely important.

As stated in the article by the authors, the neurologic scores between the two groups were not significantly different. However, when neurologic scores are analyzed in detail (their fig. 2), animals treated with pentobarbital seem to have a better neurologic outcome. In fact, seven pentobarbital-treated animals had a neurologic score of 1 (as compared to one propofol-treated animal), and fewer pentobarbital-treated rats had a score of 2 (four versus seven) or a score of 3 (six versus nine). If we pool the results (0 to 1 versus 2 to 3 neurologic score), 10 animals treated with pentobarbital versus 5 animals treated with propofol had a “good” neurologic score (0 to 1, no deficit or left forelimb flexion only), and 10 versus 16 had a more severe hemiparesis (2 to 3 neurologic score).

The authors did not attempt to “force” the results in any direction. I also agree that histologic results (infarct areas) provide a better end point when considering the protective cerebral effects of any drug or treatment, or both. However, although the aforementioned differences are not statistically significant, they should be considered. The authors did not provide any correlation between histology (infarct area) and neurologic deficit. I would speculate that such scores are congruent, with smaller cerebral infarct size correlating with better neurologic outcome. However, if this is the case, I wonder which drug provides a better correlation.
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A Method for Measuring Carbon Dioxide at the Tracheal Stoma

To the Editor.—End-tidal carbon dioxide monitoring is a standard used during general anesthesia and monitored anesthesia care. Nasal cannulas commonly are used to deliver supplemental oxygen and to sample carbon dioxide for the monitoring of respiratory rate and rhythm in patients undergoing sedation for surgical procedures. Monitoring end-tidal carbon dioxide in patients with tracheal stomas may be difficult when using standard tracheal collar oxygen supplementation because no adaptation for the carbon dioxide sample line is readily available. We present a device for the monitoring of carbon dioxide in patients with tracheal stomas who are undergoing operative procedures that necessitate intravenous sedation.

Taking a standard tracheal collar, a 6-inch piece of corrugated oxygen tubing, a BODAI suction safe swively endotracheal suction connector (Sontek Medical, Hingham, MA), and an 8-French pediatric suction catheter, we fabricated a simple device to monitor carbon dioxide at the tracheal stoma (fig. 1). The pediatric suction catheter is placed through the BODAI suction device and threaded into the corrugated tubing until it rests at the skin edge of the tracheal stoma. The end-tidal carbon dioxide sample line is attached
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