Severe Bronchospasm and Desaturation in a Child Associated with Rapacuronium

Steven S. Kron, M.D.*

THE use of rapacuronium has been associated with occasional episodes of self-limited increased airway pressure with or without mild oxygen \( \text{O}_2 \) desaturation and wheezing. To our knowledge, this is the first report of severe bronchospasm associated with a transient inability to ventilate and marked \( \text{O}_2 \) desaturation after its administration.

Case Report

The patient was a 10-year-old girl who was brought to the operating room to undergo appendectomy. Except for 1 week of abdominal pain and mild nausea but no vomiting, her history was negative. There were no previous anesthetics, environmental or drug allergies, recent upper respiratory infection, or reactive airway disease. No one in her household smoked. She weighed 62 kg and was 138 cm tall. Except for findings related to her surgical problem, her physical examination was unremarkable, and her chest was clear.

While the patient was preoxygenated for 3 min using a 6-l/min flow of \( \text{O}_2 \), the usual monitors were applied. Then, 1 mg midazolam and 50 \( \mu \)g fentanyl were administered intravenously. After an additional 2 min of oxygen administration, a rapid sequence induction was performed using 150 mg propofol, immediately followed by 100 mg intravenous rapacuronium (approximately 1.6 mg/kg). After 50 s, \( \text{O}_2 \) saturation was noted to decrease from 100% to approximately 95%. We elected to administer ventilation by mask for an additional 45 s with \( \text{O}_2 \) and apply cricoid pressure. Her chest rose, but \( \text{O}_2 \) saturation improved only slightly to 96%. A cuffed No. 6.5 endotracheal tube was placed in her trachea with apparent ease, followed by an immediate attempt at manual ventilation. Despite ventilating pressures of up to 50 cm H\(_2\)O, breath sounds, chest movement, endotracheal tube fogging, end-tidal carbon dioxide, or gastric sounds could not be detected. The anesthesia circuit was rechecked quickly and was observed to be patent. While maintaining cricoid pressure, the endotracheal tube was removed and noted to be unobstructed, and an attempt was made at bag and mask ventilation. Unlike with the preintubation mask ventilation, this time, there were no chest movements, breath sounds, or end-tidal carbon dioxide. The patient was reintubated easily, but ventilation remained impossible. Approximately 2 min elapsed since her initial intubation. At this point, she also was noted to have truncal erythema and an \( \text{O}_2 \) saturation of 70%. She was given four doses of 100 \( \mu \)g albuterol aerosol via the endotracheal tube, and ventilation was attempted again with 8% sevoflurane in \( \text{O}_2 \). During the next minute, it became possible to ventilate with small tidal volumes and ventilating pressures between 20 and 50 cm H\(_2\)O. \( \text{O}_2 \) saturations began to increase, and wheezing breath sounds could now be heard. A treatment of 2.5 mg nebulized albuterol was administered via the endotracheal tube, and 50 mg benadryl was administered intravenously. During the ensuing 5 min, manual ventilation became progressively easier with tidal volumes increasing to 350–450 ml at pressures of 15–20 cm H\(_2\)O. The patient’s \( \text{O}_2 \) saturation increased to 100%, breath sounds returned to normal, and the erythema dissipated. During this event, her blood pressure had ranged between 90/60 and 110/50 mmHg, and her pulse had ranged between 90 and 115 beats/min. Anesthesia was continued with 2–4% sevoflurane, 2 l/min \( \text{O}_2 \), and 2 l/min \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \). Rocuronium, 10 mg, was required to assist with relaxation. Surgery proceeded eventfully with the removal of an inflamed retrocecal appendix. Muscle relaxation was reversed with 1 mg neostigmine and 0.2 mg glycopyrrolate. With the patient spontaneously breathing, volumes of 250–400 ml at a respiratory rate of 20 breaths/min, and an equal train-of-four, nitrous oxide was discontinued. We elected to extubate the patient deeply, so after 5 min of 4% sevoflurane in \( \text{O}_2 \), the stomach and oropharynx were thoroughly suctioned, and the endotracheal tube was removed. She continued to breathe 100% \( \text{O}_2 \) spontaneously, awoke, and was transferred to the postanesthesia care unit, where her \( \text{O}_2 \) saturation with room air was 99–100% and her chest was clear. Chest radiography results were negative. She was transferred to the pediatric unit and was discharged on the second postoperative day.

Discussion

This patient had no factors predisposing her to a reactive airway. Of the anesthetics used for induction, midazolam, fentanyl, and propofol usually are not associated with bronchospasm. Increased airway pressure with or without wheezing and \( \text{O}_2 \) desaturation has been reported in clinical studies of rapacuronium. The manufacturer’s package insert reports an incidence of 3.2%, with no data about the severity. Kahwaji et al.1 reported bronchospasm and erythema that developed in an American Society of Anesthesiologists class I patient 30 s after 2 mg/kg rapacuronium and gradually subsided after salbutamol. Mild wheezing developed in a second patient after a dose of 1 mg/kg. These authors suggest that histamine release is responsible. Fleming et al.2 studied 336 patients; half were intubated with 1 mg/kg succinylcholine, and half were intubated with 1.5 mg/kg rapacuronium. Bronchospasm, defined as wheezing, occurred in five of the rapacuronium patients, as opposed to only two of the succinylcholine patients. All but one of these patients had factors predisposing to broncho-
spasm, and six cases were mild and were resolved with minimal or no therapy. One patient in the rapacuronium group had bronchospasm of “moderate severity” that required subsequent doses of β agonist and intravenous steroids.

In a similar study, Sparr et al. found 4 cases of increased airway pressure and 14 cases of bronchospasm among the 168 patients intubated with 1.5 mg/kg rapacuronium. This incidence of 10.7% pulmonary side effects was compared with 4.1% of 167 patients intubated with succinylcholine. One of the rapacuronium patients, who had a previous history of chronic bronchitis, was noted to have had “a serious adverse experience.” After intubation, bronchospasm developed in the patient, and O₂ saturation decreased to 88% before improvement with bronchodilators. An accompanying editorial suggests that histamine or leukotriene release may be responsible but noted that this remains to be proven. Levy et al. described bronchospasm in 7 of 47 patients to whom 1-3 mg/kg rapacuronium was administered but note that in some of these patients there may have been other provoking factors. Interestingly, histamine concentrations were measured in this study and noted to increase to more than 1 ng/ml in five patients, but none of these patients experienced bronchospasm or erythema, suggesting that histamine is not the cause of the pulmonary problem.

In the current patient, although more severe ventilatory dysfunction developed after intubation, O₂ saturation unexpectedly decreased 30 s after rapacuronium administration and before airway instrumentation. The patient had been preoxygenated thoroughly, so it is probable that the initial decrease in O₂ saturation was secondary to the evolving bronchospasm.

In summary, a case of rapacuronium-associated severe bronchospasm and O₂ desaturation in a child with no predisposing factors is presented. Fortunately, the patient responded quickly and well to β-adrenergic therapy and deepening anesthesia and was free of symptoms by the end of treatment.
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How Serious Is the Bronchospasm Induced by Rapacuronium?

Mohamed Naguib, M.B., B.Ch., M.Sc., F.F.A.R.C.S.I., M.D.*

RAPACURONIUM (16-N-allyl, 17-β-propionate analog of vecuronium) is a new rapid-onset, short-acting, nondepolarizing steroidal neuromuscular blocking drug. Concern has been raised with respect to possible respiratory effects of rapacuronium. The following case report describes a patient in whom severe bronchospasm developed after rapacuronium administration.
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CASE REPORTS

A 34-yr-old woman, American Society of Anesthesiologists class I, who weighed 90 kg and was 173.5 cm tall was scheduled to undergo laparoscopic tubal ligation. Her medical history was not significant, except for hepatitis B at the age of 13 yr and a history of depressive disorder. The patient was not taking medication. She was a smoker (10 cigarettes per day). Examination was unremarkable. Routine monitoring was used. The patient was preoxygenated, achieving an oxygen saturation measured by pulse oximetry (SpO₂) of 100%. Anesthesia was induced with 1 mg midazolam, 150 μg fentanyl, and 200 mg propofol, followed immediately by 1.4 mg/kg rapacuronium. Anesthesia was maintained immediately with 4% inspired desflurane in oxygen. One minute after administration of rapacuronium, intubation was achieved easily. Severe bronchospasm (wheezing, increased airway pressure during positive pressure ventilation [peak inspiratory pressure of 45 cm H₂O], and prolonged expiratory phase) occurred just after tracheal intubation. Positive pressure ventilation became exceedingly difficult. Inhalational bronchodilator (albuterol) therapy was administered via an AeroVent Collapsible Holding Chamber (Monaghan Medical Corp., Plattsburg, NY) attached to the inspiratory limb of the anesthetic circuit. SpO₂ decreased to 85% despite the administration of 100% oxygen, and PETCO₂ was 47 mmHg. This lasted for 7 min. The clinical picture gradually improved afterward, and SpO₂ increased slowly to 92%. Approximately 15 min after rapacuronium administration, the wheezing resolved, the peak inspiratory pressure decreased
to 28 cm H2O, and SpO2 increased to 96%. The content of one albuterol nebulizer was administered during this event. Each actuation administers 90 μg albuterol. After this event, anesthesia was maintained with 60% nitrous oxide and desflurane (end-tidal concentration, 2.6–3.0%) in oxygen. Neuromuscular block was maintained with 0.2 mg/kg rocuronium. At the end of surgery, 0.03 mg/kg neostigmine and 0.006 mg/kg glycopyrrolate were administered to the patient for antagonism of residual neuromuscular block. The patient had an uneventful recovery. No further bronchospasm was noted during her postoperative course.

Discussion

Although the overall reported incidence of bronchospasm (in controlled trials) after rapacuronium and succinylcholine were 3.2 and 2.1%, respectively (data from Organon Inc., West Orange, NJ), others\(^1\) noted 18 incidents of such events (10.7%) after rapacuronium compared with 7 cases (4.1%) after succinylcholine. In the latter study, only one patient in the rapacuronium group, who had a history of obstructive airway disease, had severe bronchospasm after intubation.\(^1\) Our patient had severe bronchospasm after rapacuronium despite the fact that she was not asthmatic and that she received propofol for induction. Kahwaji et al.\(^2\) reported two serious adverse effects (tachycardia and bronchospasm) that occurred in a 29-yr-old, 100-kg man with American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status I within 30 s of administration of 2.0 mg/kg rapacuronium.

Rapacuronium may release histamine and produce slight changes in blood pressure and heart rate after administration.\(^3\) However, it seems that the bronchospasm noted with rapacuronium is mediated via mechanisms that do not seem to be related to histamine release.\(^3\) The affinity of neuromuscular blockers for the muscarinic receptor seems to have some influence on neural control of airway caliber. Pancuronium and atracurium (but not vecuronium) were found to enhance the increases in pulmonary resistance induced by vagus nerve stimulation, probably by blocking prejunctional muscarinic receptors (M2) that physiologically inhibit vagally mediated increases in pulmonary resistance.\(^4,5\) Blockage of M3 muscarinic receptors on airway smooth muscle inhibits vagally induced bronchoconstriction.\(^5\) Pancuronium and gallamine had affinities for the M2 muscarinic receptor within the clinical dose range.\(^6\) The affinity of rapacuronium for muscarinic receptors has not been studied. Therefore, there is insufficient evidence to make a definite statement on how rapacuronium induces bronchospasm. It seems prudent, therefore, to avoid using rapacuronium in patients with asthma or airway hyperreactivity.
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Bronchospasm after Rapacuronium in Infants and Children

George H. Meakin, M.D.,* Erik H. Pronske, M.D.,† Jerrold Lerman, M.D.,‡ Rosemary Orr, M.D.,§ Denise Joffe, M.D.,‖ Anne M. Savaree, M.D.,# Anne M. Lynn, M.D.**

IN December 2000, subscribers to the Paediatric Anaesthesia Conference discussion group <PAC@anaes.sickkids.on.ca> were invited to post their experiences with rapacuronium (Raplon; Organon Inc., West Orange, NJ) in children in the USA. Specific side effects or responses to rapacuronium were neither requested nor encouraged. The postings yielded 19 cases of bronchospasm, of which 12 were described as severe, from six respondents. These reports caused such concern that the respondents felt obliged to bring this to the attention of their colleagues. Three of the cases are summarized below.

Case Reports

Case 1

The patient was a 12-yr-old, 64-kg boy with a diagnosis of acute appendicitis. He had a history of mild reactive airways disease that was characterized by occasional use of an albuterol inhaler but no previous hospital stays. His last occurrence of wheezing was 1–2 months before this admission. Chest examination results were unremarkable. In the operating room, a rapid sequence induction consisting of 1 mg intravenous midazolam, 75 µg fentanyl, 200 mg propofol, and 100 mg rapacuronium was performed. Cricoid pressure was applied, and the trachea was intubated by the resident. When squeezing the reservoir bag, the resident reported that the tube was not “in the trachea” and removed it. After reintubation, he remained unable to ventilate the lungs. No expired carbon dioxide was noted on the capnograph. The lungs were ventilated vigorously. At this time, the oxygen saturation percentage was in the low 80s. Epinephrine 50 µg was administered intravenously with continued improvement in chest compliance and oxygen saturation. When the airways had stabilized, surgery proceeded uneventfully. Recovery was uneventful.

Case 2

The patient was a 41/2-yr-old girl who presented to the emergency department with the sudden onset of a prolonged seizure. The seizure had been treated with rectal diazepam. An anesthesiologist was consulted to intubate the trachea electively because of increasing respiratory distress. Medical history included a mild upper respiratory tract infection without fever or anorexia. Chest radiography was unremarkable. Additional history included spina bifida, panhypopituitarism, choanal atresia, developmental delay, and reactive airways disease. Medication history included phenobarbital, hydrocortisone, l-thyroxine, growth hormone, and albuterol p.r.n. There were no known allergies. The child had a fever of 40°C. Hydrocortisone was administered intravenously. Immediately after a single dose of both propofol and rapacuronium, the anesthesiologist noted “severe bronchospasm, dropping oxygen saturations, very difficult to ventilate.” The child then experienced asystole for 30 s, with a return of the pulse after a single dose of epinephrine. Chest radiography after stabilizing the child revealed bilateral pneumothoraces. After treating these, the child was stabilized and recovered.

Case 3

The patient was a 3-week-old, 3.4-kg healthy infant who presented for pyloromyotomy. After the stomach was suctioned, a rapid sequence induction was performed using 10 mg propofol, 7 mg rapacuronium, and 0.050 mg intravenous atropine. The surgery proceeded uneventfully. Recovery was uneventful.

Discussion

A total of 19 cases of bronchospasm after rapacuronium were reported to the discussion group. All of the events occurred in children, whose ages ranged from infancy to adolescence. Four of the children had a confirmed history of reactive airways disease, whereas one did not. In two cases, rapacuronium was used to facili-
tate a rapid sequence induction of anesthesia. In the 12 cases of severe bronchospasm, the onset of symptoms was rapid, with extreme stiffness of the lungs noted immediately after intubation and absence of the end-tidal carbon dioxide trace. In three cases, intubation of the trachea was questioned because the lungs were characteristically stiff to ventilate, and the capnogram trace was absent. However, laryngoscopy confirmed correct placement of the tube. Some of the respondents stated that this was the worst postintubation bronchospasm they had ever encountered, others that it was like trying to “ventilate a brick” or “ventilate cement.”

Reddening of the skin (a possible sign of histamine release) was noted in one patient. The absence of clinical evidence of histamine release was noted specifically in five other patients. In one of these, plasma histamine concentration measured within 5 min of the event was normal. Treatment for the bronchospasm included increasing the level of anesthesia, increasing the administration of albuterol or epinephrine, or both. However, most cases resolved spontaneously after a few minutes, and none lasted more than 10 min. Additional complications that were observed included hemoglobin oxygen desaturation in one child and bilateral pneumothoraces in another.

Although the details from some of the cases are incomplete, together, these experiences suggest an association between administration of rapacuronium and the abrupt development of severe, albeit short-lived and self-limiting bronchospasm in pediatric patients. One author, who contributed 2 of the 19 cases, subsequently reviewed her institutional experience with rapacuronium and identified a total of 8 cases of bronchospasm after rapacuronium for an incidence of 8 in 500 (1.6%). This incidence is consistent with a published incidence of 1.2% in infants and children and 1.1% in adults (although incidences as great as 8.3% and 14.8% have been reported in adults). Some of the anesthetists involved with these cases are now reluctant to use rapacuronium in infants or children with a history of reactive airway disease or in those in whom bronchospasm would be tolerated poorly. Readers should be aware of this potentially serious side effect of rapacuronium and are encouraged to report all adverse events after administration of rapacuronium to the Food and Drug Administration and the manufacturer.
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High-frequency Jet Ventilation in Life-threatening Bilateral Pulmonary Contusion
Bruno Riou, M.D., Ph.D.,* Khaled Zaier, M.D.,† Pierre Kalfon, M.D.,‡ Louis Puybasset, M.D., Ph.D.,‡ Pierre Coriat, M.D.,§ Jean-Jacques Rouby, M.D., Ph.D.*

TRAUMA is the leading cause of death among young people in developed countries. Because up to 80% of trauma deaths occur during the first 24 h after trauma, early resuscitation and rapid assessment of trauma lesions are of paramount importance to improving the prognosis. Among traumatic lesions, pulmonary contusion is frequent but has not been recognized as an independent prognostic factor. In very few cases, pulmonary contusion may lead to severe hypoxia and hypercarbia, which cannot be adequately controlled using conventional mechanical ventilation. Hypoxia and hypercarbia may have deleterious effects, such as enhancement of brain injury and development of circulatory shock. In the most severe cases, aggressive therapeutic methods, such as extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO), have been reported. At our institution, high-frequency jet ventilation (HFJV) has been used routinely for many years for the treatment of severe acute respiratory distress syndrome. We report a series of severe trauma patients with life-threatening pulmonary contusion successfully treated with HFJV when the conventional mechanical ventilation approach failed to provide appropriate gas exchange. The current data suggest that HFJV can be a life-saving technique in severely hypoxemic patients with bilateral pulmonary contusion.
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Table 1. Evolution of the Main Hemodynamic, Ventilatory, and Arterial Blood Gas Parameters in Nine Patients with Life-threatening Pulmonary Contusion during Conventional Mechanical Ventilation and High-frequency Jet Ventilation (HFJV)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Admission</th>
<th>Conventional Ventilation</th>
<th>HFJV</th>
<th>24 h after Admission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arterial pH</td>
<td>7.21 ± 0.13</td>
<td>7.19 ± 0.14</td>
<td>7.31 ± 0.14</td>
<td>7.39 ± 0.08*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paco2 (mmHg)</td>
<td>56 ± 21</td>
<td>60 ± 18</td>
<td>51 ± 18</td>
<td>40 ± 6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paco2 (mmHg)</td>
<td>91 ± 71</td>
<td>73 ± 24</td>
<td>237 ± 26†</td>
<td>185 ± 52†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIO2 (%)</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>96 ± 13</td>
<td>58 ± 17†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO2/FIO2</td>
<td>91 ± 71</td>
<td>73 ± 24</td>
<td>254 ± 101†</td>
<td>322 ± 49†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tidal volume (ml)</td>
<td>563 ± 95</td>
<td>669 ± 151</td>
<td>136 ± 59†</td>
<td>143 ± 45†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventilatory rate (breaths/min)</td>
<td>21 ± 9</td>
<td>16 ± 2</td>
<td>250 ± 51†</td>
<td>233 ± 83†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEP (cm H2O)</td>
<td>4 ± 5</td>
<td>10 ± 3*</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paw (cm H2O)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>11 ± 2†</td>
<td>10 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP (mmHg)</td>
<td>70 ± 23</td>
<td>86 ± 16</td>
<td>85 ± 16</td>
<td>90 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (beats/min)</td>
<td>100 ± 18</td>
<td>114 ± 7</td>
<td>112 ± 19</td>
<td>109 ± 16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Initial data with HFJV were obtained within 1 h after the onset of HFJV. Data are mean ± SD.

* P < 0.05 versus admission. † P < 0.05 versus conventional ventilation.

Patients and Methods

During a 6-yr period (1990–1996), 1,241 severe trauma patients were admitted to our Level 1 Trauma Centre. All trauma patients who received HFJV during the first 24 h after admission were identified and included in the study. One of these patients has been reported previously. The decision to perform HFJV was made by the senior anesthesiologist in charge of the emergency room because of the severity of pulmonary contusion. All patients fulfilled the following criteria: (1) partial pressure of oxygen (Pao2) less than 100 mmHg with an inspired fraction of oxygen (FiO2) of 100%; (2) progressive decrease in Pao2 during the last hours without any trend to stabilization or improvement; (3) failure of further increases in positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) to improve Pao2 or impossibility to increase PEEP because of hemodynamic consequences; (4) bilateral pulmonary contusion. An anesthesiologist and a nurse from the surgical intensive care unit initiated and adjusted HFJV in the emergency room. The decision to implement HFJV during the early period after trauma admission always was related to severe hypoxemia or circulatory shock. We did not include patients in whom HFJV was initiated because of systemic gas embolism related to pulmonary contusion.

The following data were collected: age; sex; trauma lesions; administration of colloids, crystalloids, blood, and catecholamines; duration of stay in intensive care unit; and mortality. The following ventilatory parameters were recorded during conventional mechanical ventilation: ventilatory rate, tidal volume, and PEEP. The following parameters were measured at admission, during conventional mechanical ventilation, just before HFJV, 15–30 min after HFJV, and 24 h after admission: arterial pH, Pao2 and partial pressure of carbon dioxide (Paco2), Pao2/FiO2 ratio, mean arterial pressure measured using an indwelling radial or femoral artery catheter, and heart rate. The following indices were calculated: injury severity score (ISS), probability of survival according to the Trauma and Injury Severity Score (TRISS) methodology, and the Lung Injury Severity Score (LIS).

High-frequency jet ventilation was performed using an AMS 1000 ventilator (Acutronic Medical Systems AG, Hirzel, Switzerland). Rewarming and humidification of gases were provided by a HH-812 Jet humidifier (Acutronic Medical Systems). Additional conventional ventilation (low rate, 4–6 breaths/min; low tidal volume, 75–100 ml) was obtained using a CPU 1 ventilator (Ohmeda, Maurepas, France). Mean airway pressure was monitored continuously with a catheter located in the trachea 10 cm distal to the tip of the injector cannula, as previously reported.

Data are mean ± SD. Comparison of several means was performed using repeated-measures analysis of variance. A P value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Anesthesiology, V 94, No 5, May 2001
Results

Over a 6-yr period, HFJV was used during the first 24 h after admission in nine patients (six male, three female) because of life-threatening hypoxemia related to pulmonary contusion. The incidence of life-threatening pulmonary contusion defined by this criteria was 0.73% (95% confidence interval, 0.26–1.20%). The mean age was 29 ± 15 yr (range, 8–58 yr), the mean ISS was 50 ± 17 (range, 20–75), the mean TRISS was 0.63 ± 0.36 (range, 0.08–0.96), and the mean LIS was 3.4 ± 0.3 (range, 3–4). These scores signify severe multisystem injuries. Associated head trauma was present in seven patients, abdominal trauma was present in four patients, spine trauma was present in four patients, and pelvic trauma in was present in two patients. All patients had severe thoracic trauma with bilateral pulmonary contusion. Chest radiography showed bilateral extensive and diffuse alveolar hyperdensities in all cases. Other traumatic thoracic lesions included hemothorax in three patients, pneumothorax in two patients, and aortic rupture in one patient. All patients underwent transesophageal echocardiography: mean left ventricular ejection fraction was 45 ± 29% (range, 10–71%), myocardial contusion was observed in five patients, and three patients had severe decrease in left ventricular ejection fraction (< 30%). A search for a patent foramen ovale was performed in five patients; all results were negative. During the first 24-h period, fluid resuscitation consisted of 1.8 ± 1.3 l crystalloids, 3.7 ± 1.8 l colloids, 7 ± 8 units packed erythrocytes, 3 ± 3 units fresh frozen plasma, and 4 ± 5 platelet units. Catecholamines were administered in eight patients (epinephrine in three patients, norepinephrine in four patients, dopamine in five patients, and dobutamine in one patient). After HFJV, the dose of catecholamines was decreased in six patients and increased in two patients.

Tracheal intubation was performed in all patients during the early resuscitation phase (delay, 51 ± 68 min after trauma). HFJV was initiated 7 ± 6 h after trauma. Table 1 shows the evolution of the main hemodynamic, ventilatory, and blood gas parameters. Figure 1 depicts the evolution of the Pao2/Fio2 ratio during the first 24 h.

The mean stay in the intensive care unit was 40 ± 38 days. Death occurred in four patients and was always related to severe brain injury. In the five surviving patients, HFJV was maintained for 7 ± 5 days (range, 3–15 days).

Discussion

We report that HFJV dramatically increased Pao2 in a group of trauma patients with life-threatening hypoxemia related to bilateral pulmonary contusion. Despite severe pulmonary contusion, death always occurred because of brain injury and not because of pulmonary contusion, and weaning of HFJV was obtained successfully in all the remaining patients. These results confirm previous reports that suggest that HFJV can be effective as a rescue therapy for refractory acute lung dysfunction.13,14

The current study cannot definitely assess the mechanisms involved in the beneficial effect of HFJV. Because of the emergency and critical conditions, a pulmonary computed tomography scan could not be obtained in most of these patients at the early phase. Nevertheless, thoracic radiography highly suggested that diffuse pulmonary contusion occurred, and thus that alveolar recruitment induced by HFJV was likely the main mechanism responsible for the marked increase in Pao2 observed in our patients. HFJV is known to induce an increase in functional residual capacity by trapping intrapulmonary gases because of incomplete exhalation during the short expiratory time (auto-PEEP effect). Two other mechanisms should be considered. First, closure of a patent foramen ovale may have reduced hypoxemia, as previously reported.15 However, it should be noted that an increase in pulmonary artery pressure (potentially leading to right-to-left intracardiac shunt) usually is not observed at the very early stage of pulmonary contusion and that we failed to find evidence of any patent foramen ovale using transesophageal echocardiography in five of these patients. Second, an improvement in hemodynamic conditions may have contributed to the HFJV-induced increase in Pao2. This last effect can be complex because an increase in cardiac output can decrease Pao2, through capillary recruitment but also can increase Pao2 through an increase in mixed venous oxygen saturation. In patients with septic shock, Fusiardi et al.16 have shown that mean arterial pressure and cardiac output are higher during HFJV than during conventional mechanical ventilation when compared at the same airway pressure and Paco2. However, this hemodynamic improvement was associated with a small deterioration in arterial oxygenation.16

Because the mean PEEP value was not high in our study, one can argue that a marked increase in PEEP might have induced an effect similar to that observed with HFJV. In our patients, such an increase in PEEP could not be applied without marked alteration in hemodynamic conditions. Associated right ventricle contusion is likely to explain that our patients poorly tolerated any further increase in intrathoracic pressure.9 At an identical level of mean airway pressure, HFJV is better hemodynamically tolerated than PEEP in shocked patients.17 It should be pointed out that high PEEP is associated with high peak inspiratory pressure that can be harmful in patients with pulmonary contusion because it increases pulmonary edema,18 causes barotrauma through alveolar rupture, and facilitates pulmonary venous gas embolism.10 It has been demonstrated recently that reducing tidal volume during mechanical ventilation in ARDS decreases mortality.19 High PEEP and low tidal volume induce hypercapnia that is delete-
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rious in patients with head trauma. As shown in table 1, HFJV enabled control of PaCO₂ and PaO₂ in patients with severe life-threatening pulmonary contusion. Moreover, the fluid loading required to overcome the hemodynamic effects of PEEP on venous return also may increase extravascular lung water.

In conclusion, in rare cases of severe bilateral pulmonary contusion refractory to conventional mechanical ventilation, HFJV may be a life-saving procedure. Because of the rarity of these cases, there is a low possibility that a randomized trial could ever be conducted. Therefore, traumologists, intensivists, and anesthesiologists should be aware of this therapeutic possibility and should try HFJV before irreversible consequences of hypoxemia or hypercarbia occur in these severe trauma patients. Moreover, HFJV is probably a more simple procedure than ECMO, which sometimes has been used in such patients but usually is contraindicated in severe head trauma.
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Jet Ventilation for Fiberoptic Bronchoscopy

ACUTE pulmonary or lobar collapse is a troublesome complication after thoracic surgery and may mandate aggressive intervention. Therapeutic fiberoptic bronchoscopy is a standard intervention for the treatment of atelectasis in the intensive care setting.

Jet ventilation, applied
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After tracheal resection, airway management for fiberoptic bronchoscopy in patients with general anesthesia is frequently difficult, particularly in cases in which the resected tracheal segment is long (e.g., 4–5 cm) and the degree of postoperative neck flexion is necessarily extreme. We report a case of intermittent low-frequency jet ventilation, applied via the instrument channel of a flexible fiberoptic bronchoscope (FFB), for the emergency treatment of postoperative atelectasis after tracheal reconstruction.

Case Report

An 18-year-old male underwent cardiopulmonary resuscitation after arrhythmia complicating acute myocarditis. After 3 days of ventilatory support, he was extubated successfully and was discharged subsequently. Six weeks later, he was referred to our university hospital. At the time of presentation, he exhibited respiratory distress with inspiratory and expiratory stridor. Rigid bronchoscopy revealed severe tra-
The optimum technique for airway management was the subject of much deliberation in this case. Extreme forward tilting of the head prevented insertion of a laryngeal mask airway or an endotracheal tube via a traditional intubation technique. In addition, the possibility of direct tracheal suture injury, even with careful insertion of the endotracheal tube, was of great concern. Finally, we opted for the combination of intravenous anesthesia and manual ventilation via face mask as described.

There is very limited information in the literature about the use of the instrument (“suction”) channel of the FFB for jet ventilation. In 1980, Satyanarayana et al. evaluated this technique in animals and in eight adult volunteers scheduled to undergo minor surgery. He observed excellent arterial oxygenation and hypocarbia and reported no complications. Dalens et al. reported a series of 49 pediatric patients with respiratory distress, aged 3 days to 3 yr, who underwent bronchoscopic examination using a similar technique. As in our report, after every distal exploration, the FFB tip was withdrawn above the carina to ensure bilateral pulmonary insufflations, which were then given for 15 s at a respiratory rate of 50 to 60 breaths/min. Gas exchange was acceptable, and no complications were observed.

In the latest report, Baraka applied a similar technique in a 2-yr-old child who was scheduled for bronchoscopy because of atelectasis and pneumonic infiltrates. Unfortunately, the pediatric bronchoscope (3.4 mm OD) could not pass easily through a 4-mm endotracheal tube. He tried to perform the procedure via a size 2 laryngeal mask airway (7.0 mm ID), which was technically feasible, but low lung compliance made mechanical ventilation difficult, and, after a decrease in SpO₂ to 85%, intermittent jet ventilation of oxygen via the instrument channel ensured adequate oxygenation.

These latter two reports imply that the technique can be used safely even in patients with ventilatory compromise. Although they involved children, we believe that the principles involved may be equally applicable in certain situations in the adult.

One of the obvious risks of this approach is the potential for inadvertently causing barotrauma if the tip of the FFB slips beyond the carina and “wedges” in a bronchus. The added advantage of jetting via the instrument channel of the FFB is that the position of the jet source can be directly controlled visually, thus enhancing the safety of the procedure. Excessive hyperinflation must be avoided also, and effective exhalation must be ensured. The FFB OD is 5.8 mm, whereas the narrowest lumen diameter of the normal tracheal segments was measured by computed tomography as 15 mm. Therefore, difficulties with exhalation are more likely to originate at laryngeal or upper airway level and can be minimized by ensuring a clear airway. If gas trapping is still a feature, it is easily
recognized clinically and can be managed simply by intermittent interruption of jet ventilation.

Another risk, albeit theoretical, that should be considered is the possibility of direct damage to the FFB. The instrument channel in this type of FFB is a polytetrafluoroethylene tube and is unlikely to be damaged if it remains patent. In addition, the risk that the channel could be blocked so tightly that it could lead to a rupture is negligible.

Although the indications for jet ventilation via the instrument channel of an FFB may be rare, they are more likely to be applicable in a challenging situation and particularly in the presence of a difficult airway management problem. In this case report, the technique greatly facilitated the therapeutic procedure and enhanced its safety. In addition, it decreased the risk of inadvertent tracheal trauma caused by the need for frequent removal and reinsertion of the FFB when applying face mask ventilation.
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