THE caudal block, a frequently applied anesthetic technique in children, has a low incidence of complications. Severe infections of the epidural space and associated structures rarely occur and have only been described when using continuous epidural catheterization. We report a case of sacral osteomyelitis after single-shot epidural anesthesia via the caudal approach.

Case Report

A 6-yr-old, 130-cm, 20-kg healthy boy (American Society of Anesthesiologists classification I), without preexisting systemic or regional infection, had general anesthesia and a caudal block for circumcision on an outpatient basis. After application of the standard monitoring (pulse oximetry, 5-lead ECG, and noninvasive blood pressure measurement), general anesthesia was induced by inhalational technique (sevoflurane 3 minimum alveolar concentration in 40% N2O with oxygen) and an intravenous access was established. After skin disinfection of the puncture area using a solution of octenidin hydrochloride (i.e., sterile gloves, facemask, and operation cap) at a 45-degree angle without lancing the skin. Bony structures were not grazed. Next, 20 ml of 0.125% Bupivacaine with epinephrine 1:200,000 was injected, providing effective intra- and postoperative analgesia. The anesthetic and surgical procedures were uncomplicated, and the early postoperative period was uneventful.

However, on the third postoperative day, the child presented with immobilizing back pain. He was in good clinical condition and was afebrile. A tender spot in the area of the caudal puncture without signs of local inflammation was detected. Sensibility and motor function of the legs were preserved. Leukocyte count and C-reactive protein level were normal. Blood cultures or local tissue-samples were not taken. Antibiotic therapy was continued for another 30 days, and the patient showed complete recovery. The back pain disappeared 7 days after the second MRI, and a follow-up MRI showed no signs of infection in the sacral area.

Discussion

Local inflammation of the skin and the subcutaneous tissue after an epidural puncture or catheter insertion is a frequent event with spontaneous resolution. An incidence of up to 11% has been reported. In contrast, serious infections are exceptional. The development of an osteomyelitis, especially after single-shot caudal block, has not been reported previously. Spinal epidural abscess, the most frequent form of serious infection after epidural puncture, has a reported incidence of 0.2 to 1.2 per 10,000 in hospitalized children. The occurrence of this complication is equally frequent after short-term catheterization and single epidural injection. Moreover, a similar incidence of spontaneous epidural abscess has been reported.

Epidural contamination of the subcutis may be the most probable origin of the serious infection in the current case. However, the hematologic spread of bacteria is the common etiologic factor in a spontaneous epidural infection, but the child presented with no history or sign of local or systemic infection. Epidural injection and catheterization inherently carry a risk for bacterial colonization. Recent studies found a 20–35% colonization of all epidural catheters in children by various strains of bacteria after a period of 3 days. Gram-positive colonization was similar for caudal and lumbar catheters, whereas Gram-negative strains were found with a higher incidence on caudal catheters. Furthermore, the epidural space may be contaminated by skin flora, even after correct disinfection. Neither a solution of 0.5% Chlorhexidine nor povidone iodine may be able to completely eradicate bacterial skin flora. Octenidin hydrochloride is registered for skin disinfection but may also lack efficacy in bacterial eradication. In addition, bacteria may accumulate in the hair follicles of the skin and then be transported to the deeper tissue by a needle track, which could be prevented by lancing the skin.

Early diagnosis of infections of the sacral region after epidural puncture is difficult. The patient may by asymptomatic or may present with minor symptoms: One case with hip pain as the main symptom was reported. In the current case, exclusive back pain led to the diagno-
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sis. Unfortunately, however, the infection is frequently not detected until the development of significant neurologic complications. \(^5\) Gadolinium-enhanced MRI is considered to be the definitive standard in the diagnosis of spinal epidural abscess. \(^12,13\) However, in our case an early MRI showed relatively minor soft-tissue infection and indirect signs of a beginning osteomyelitis, whereas the diagnosis of osteomyelitis could only be confirmed later.

To avoid subsequent surgical intervention, \(^12\) an early empiric antibiotic therapy was begun after clinical indications of an infection. The choice of antibiotics must be directed primarily against Gram-positive organisms, especially \textit{Staphylococcus aureus}, streptococci, and enterococci, the leading pathogens for tissue infection after epidural puncture. \(^14\) Furthermore, antibiotics must provide low toxicity to enable treatment over several weeks, and they should easily penetrate bone tissue. \(^15\) Amoxicillin-clavulanic acid met the demands in the current case, particularly because susceptibility of the Gram-positive bacteria strains to this drug is still very high in our hospital. If clinical symptoms fail to resolve with therapy, the presence of methicillin-resistant organisms must be considered \(^16,17\) and the responsible bacteria should be identified by culture and Gram stain. In the present case, rapid clinical improvement of the patient’s complaints warranted continuation of empiric treatment, hence avoiding further intervention in the sacral region for bacterial analysis with general anesthesia in this child.

In summary, we report a case of sacral osteomyelitis after single-shot epidural anesthesia \textit{via} the caudal approach under aseptic conditions in a child. Back pain was the predominant clinical sign of infection, whereas the initial MRI did not show the early osteomyelitis. Empiric antibiotic therapy resulted in complete recovery.
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Fig. 1. The initial horizontal T2-weighted magnetic resonance image (A) and the sagittal T1-weighted image with gadolinium (B) demonstrated a phlegmonous inflammation of the subcutis (black arrowheads) and an edema at the anteroposterior periosteum of the lower sacrum (S5) and the coccygeal bone as indirect sign of a beginning osteomyelitis (white arrowheads).

Fig. 2. A follow-up T1-weighted magnetic resonance image with gadolinium confirmed the diagnosis of osteomyelitis of the lower sacral segment S5 (white arrowhead).
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LACTIC acidosis occurring in association with β-2 agonist treatments such as salbutamol,1,2 ritodrine,3 metaproterenol,4 and albuterol5 has been reported, and its mechanism remains poorly understood. Lactic acidosis in the setting of severe asthma has been attributed to the production of lactate by overworked respiratory muscles.5 However, the increased work of breathing seems an unlikely factor in patients who are anesthetized, paralyzed, and mechanically ventilated, and this phenomenon has not been previously reported in anesthetized patients. We report a case of severe lactic acidosis in a patient undergoing thoracoscopic sympathectomy under general anesthesia who received repeated albuterol nebulizer treatments for bronchospasm.

Case Report

A 39-yr-old white woman was scheduled for thoracoscopic sympathectomy at the University of Florida, Shands Hospital, to alleviate reflex sympathetic dystrophy. The patient had a history of mild asthma for which she was never intubated or hospitalized in the past. Her home medications included loratadine (Claritin-D®; Schering-Plough, Kenilworth, NJ), fluticasone (Flonase®; Glaxo Smith Kline, Philadelphia, PA), amitriptyline (Elavil®; AstraZeneca, Wilmington, DE), and albuterol-metered-dose inhalers that she used one to two times per week. The patient was allergic to sulfa drugs, mexiletine, and benzoin. Previous operations, all without complications, included cholecystectomy at the University of Florida, Shands Hospital, to alleviate biliary tract symptoms and to rule out further biliary tract abscess. ANESTHESIOLOGY 1996; 85:1276–82
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Albuterol-induced Lactic Acidosis

Edwin B. Liem, M.D.,* Stephen C. Mnookin, M.D.,† Michael E. Mahla, M.D.‡

Preoperative medications included an albuterol nebulizer treatment (2.5 mg) and intravenous midazolam (2 mg). The patient was then taken to the operating room; initial vital signs were heart rate of 100 beats per minute, blood pressure 145/75 mmHg, and arterial oxygen saturation of 100% on room air. The patient was then preoxygenated for 2 min, and general anesthesia was induced with 350 mg sodium thiopental, 10 mg pancuronium, and 50 µg sufentanil. Anesthesia was maintained with a sufentanil infusion and isoflurane. The patient was easily mask-ventilated. Tracheal intubation was attempted with a 39, and then a 37, French double-lumen endotracheal tube, but this was unsuccessful because of the patient’s small mouth opening. Thus, we placed a 7.0-mm single-lumen endotracheal tube with a bronchial blocker (Univent, Fuji Systems Corporation, Tokyo, Japan).

The patient then developed severe bronchospasm, which was confirmed with auscultation and by observation of slow-rising expiratory phases on the capnography waveform. Initial tidal volumes were approximately 800 ml, mechanical ventilation rate was 12 breaths per minute, and peak inspiratory pressure readings were approximately 55 cm H2O. Repeated albuterol nebulizer treatments were given for 45 min. Subsequently, the peak inspiratory pressure decreased to 30 cm H2O, and the bronchospasm resolved. During this first episode of bronchospasm, the patient’s mean arterial blood pressure remained at 70 mmHg or higher; furthermore, her arterial oxygen saturation remained 95% or higher except for a few brief periods of desaturation. The lowest recorded arterial oxygen saturation of 90% occurred during the initial onset of the bronchospasm. An arterial catheter was placed in the left radial artery, and the patient was placed in the left lateral position. On positioning, correct placement of the endotracheal tube was verified via fiberoptic bronchoscopy. Albuterol treatments were continued for 30 min, and then the right lung was deflated for surgical access.

Fifteen minutes after one lung ventilation was initiated, with the patient receiving 100% fraction of inspired oxygen, arterial blood gases were pH 7.24, PCO2 45 mmHg, PO2 102 mmHg, HCO3− 18.3 mmol/l, base excess −8.5 mmol/l, and lactate 5.1 mmol/l. Fifteen minutes later, arterial blood gases were pH 7.22, PCO2 46 mmHg, PO2 105 mmHg, HCO3− 18.2 mmol/l, base excess −8.9 mmol/l, and lactate 5.9 mmol/l. The patient’s heart rate was 100–110 beats per minute, and her mean arterial blood pressure was stable and remained near 70 mmHg throughout the rest of the procedure. Her core body temperature was maintained between 35.5° and 36.0°C. During the procedure, there were no signs of ischemia on the electrocardiogram. Furthermore, the patient had good peripheral pulses and was hemodynamically stable, with a total blood loss of 200 ml and a urine output of 500 ml. Total intravenous fluids given during surgery were 4,000 ml normal saline.

At the conclusion of surgery, just before emergence, the patient had bronchospasm again and repeated albuterol treatments were given. She was eventually extubated and was sent to the postanesthesia recovery unit in no apparent distress.
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With the patient receiving a 24 nasal canula, her initial vital signs in the postanesthesia recovery unit were heart rate 117 beats per minute, arterial pressure 123/70 mmHg, respiration rate 13 breaths per minute, and arterial oxygen saturation 97%. The patient was given another albuterol nebulizer treatment in the postanesthesia recovery unit, and 1 h later her arterial blood gases were pH 7.14, PaCO₂ 42 mmHg, PaO₂ 86 mmHg, HCO₃⁻ 13.8 mM, base excess +14.1 mM, lactate 7.5 mM, Na⁺ 139 mM, K⁺ 3.4 mM, Cl⁻ 109 mM, blood urea nitrogen 5.2 mM, creatinine 53 µM, glucose 11.4 mM, and hematocrit 0.30. Her anion gap was 19.6 mM. The patient was in no distress, and her respiratory rate was 15 breaths per minute. She was taken to the surgical intensive care unit, where her lactate levels were followed, but no more albuterol treatments were administered. Her lactate level peaked at 7.8 mM. Sodium bicarbonate was administered, and 12 h later her lactate level was 1.8 mM. The patient was discharged in good health on postoperative day 2.

Discussion

Lactic acidosis can occur in two different clinically distinguishable categories. The first (type A) occurs when oxygen delivery to the tissues is compromised. The second (type B) occurs when either lactate production is increased or lactate removal is decreased without obvious oxygen delivery problems. In a study by Phillips et al., healthy healthy volunteers without respiratory distress who were given intravenous infusions of either salbutamol or rimiterol had dose-related increases in lactic acid levels. In the absence of any clinical signs of circulatory shock or severe hypoxia in the current patient, we hypothesize that she had type B lactic acidosis.

β-2 Receptor activation produces excess glycogenolysis and lipolysis. Increased glycogenolysis eventually leads to increased concentrations of pyruvate. Pyruvate is converted to acetyl CoA, which enters the citric acid cycle. If pyruvate does not enter this aerobic pathway, it is converted to lactate instead, thereby potentially causing lactic acidosis. In addition, an increased lipolysis also increases acetyl CoA concentration through a different pathway. An increased acetyl CoA concentration potentially further inhibits pyruvate oxidation to acetyl CoA and leads to excess pyruvate. Finally, β-2 receptor stimulation will also inhibit the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex, and this might even further limit the rate that pyruvate is oxidized to acetyl CoA.

We believe that the lactic acidosis in the current patient was caused by the repeated use of albuterol. We hypothesize that the cause was excess β-2 stimulation through the mechanisms described above, and that one should be aware of the possibility that severe lactic acidosis might develop, even in patients who are anesthetized and mechanically ventilated, with repeated and prolonged use of β-2 agonist drugs.
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RECALL during general anesthesia is a relatively rare but disturbing event that has generated increasing interest in both medical and popular literature. Part of this interest is attributable to the introduction of monitors that may assist in determining the level of consciousness during anesthesia. 1–3 Although not marketed as an “awareness monitor,” there has been speculation that using the Bispectral Index® monitor (BIS®, Aspect Medical Systems, Natick, MA) might reduce the possibility of recall. 2,3 This reliance on bispectral index (BIS) data can be supported by reports indicating that if the BIS® is used correctly and scores are kept below 60, recall should be rare. 4 We report the case of a patient whose anesthetic plan was in part based on BIS data, who had intraoperative BIS scores only occasionally above 60, and who had recall of intraoperative events.

Case Report

The patient was a 70-yr-old man who had been diagnosed with renal cell cancer and was scheduled for a nephrectomy. His past medical history was significant for non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus, peripheral vascular disease, atherosclerotic coronary artery disease, myocardial infarction and congestive heart failure (ejection fraction estimated at 20%), hypertension, obstructive lung disease, chronic renal insufficiency, atrial fibrillation, colon cancer, and hyperlipidemia. Past surgical history included coronary artery bypass grafting, multiple intra-inguinal vascular bypass grafting procedures, and sigmoid colectomy. Review of systems, physical examination, and diagnostic workup were consistent with the disease states noted above. Medical and cardiology consultants thought that the patient had been optimally prepared for surgery. Anesthetic options were discussed with the patient and his family, who agreed to the patient’s receiving general anesthesia with arterial and pulmonary artery catheter placement and BIS monitoring.

The patient was brought to the operating room, where, in addition to standard monitoring, a radial artery arterial catheter was placed. A pulmonary artery catheter was also placed. Vital signs and anesthetic gas concentrations were recorded using the “Arkrue” anesthesia recording system (BIS scores were entered manually). The patient had intravenous induction of anesthesia with midazolam (1.5 mg), fentanyl (50 μg), propofol (90 mg), and succinylcholine (80 mg). Anesthesia was maintained with isoflurane (inspiratory concentration between 0.1–0.4%), remifentanil infusion (0.01 to 0.15 μg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹), and vecuronium (a bolus of 4 mg after intubation followed by an infusion totaling 3.5 mg over 3 h). After induction, a BIS® monitor (model A 2000) was placed and showed good signal quality throughout. BIS scores were between 40 to 60 throughout the operation, except for three instances. On the first occasion, the BIS score was 70 and the systolic blood pressure was in the 70–80-mmHg range. The patient was treated with a single phenylephrine bolus, with return of blood pressure and BIS score to desired levels within 5 min. On the second occasion, the BIS score increased to 70 and the patient was given morphine (10 mg) with return to levels below 60 within 10 min. There was a third period of 15 min when the BIS score was noted to be 65 (systolic blood pressure in the 100–85 range) and the patient moved. He was treated with midazolam (0.5 mg) and an increase in isoflurane concentration, with return of the BIS score to 40 and no further movement. At the end of surgery, when the BIS score was in the 80–90+ range, the endotracheal tube was removed and he was transferred to the intensive care unit.

Several hours after surgery, the patient informed his nurse that he had recall of intraoperative events. We interviewed the patient the day of and the day after surgery, and he stated that he had “felt everything but was not able to move... heard conversation.” He had no clear memory of specific events but stated that he remembered the entire procedure. He noted that it was a “bad experience.” Careful and repeated questioning revealed no similar problems after general or regional anesthetics in the past. We told the patient that we believed him and apologized for these events. The patient was offered a mental health consult to deal with the stress associated with recall, which he refused. The remainder of the patient’s postoperative course was uneventful. He has not been bothered by signs and symptoms of an acute stress reaction or posttraumatic stress disorder.

Discussion

In an update of cases reported to Aspect Medical, the incidence of recall when using a BIS® monitor was less than 1 per 40,000. 4 When awareness occurred with BIS monitoring in place, it was usually associated with BIS values above 60, more frequently during cardiac surgery, and in younger (< 60 yr old), predominantly female (60%) patients. 5 Although this may be the overall pattern, there are reports of recall (BIS 47), 6 failure to lose consciousness (BIS 43), 7 and awareness reactions during induction (BIS 50–60), 6 with BIS values clinically appropriate for general anesthesia.

When evaluating this patient before surgery, we had estimated that he was at low risk for recall because of the scheduled surgery and his previous anesthetic history. These previous anesthetics had been largely inhalation agents (and/or regional anesthetics), as opposed to the more balanced technique used in this instance. The
change in the planned anesthetic in this case was attributable to what we believed to be a worsening of the patient’s cardiac status. Also, BIS was not available for any of these patient’s previous operations, and we were more comfortable altering the previously successful anesthetic plan with the knowledge that we would have additional information concerning the patient’s level of consciousness to assist in drug dosing. Our goal was to limit this patient’s medication exposure during surgery while achieving BIS values in the acceptable range of 40–60. Specifically, we were aiming for the higher end of this range, hoping to maintain hemodynamic stability and account for this patient’s individual anesthetic needs while limiting the possibility of recall of intraoperative events.

This patient may have been placed at increased risk of recall due to a lack of amnesic premedication and the intraoperative use of opioids and muscle relaxants. However, he did receive midazolam during induction of anesthesia and had a consistent but low inspiratory concentration of isoflurane throughout the surgery. These smaller amounts of medications were based on hemodynamic data and BIS: when the highest blood pressure reading of 130/60 was noted, the BIS score was 40–50. Also, dopamine and phenylephrine infusions were needed intermittently, despite what might be thought to be light anesthesia. Muscle relaxant use may have masked an awake patient, but at least toward the end of surgery (despite a vecuronium infusion) the patient was able to move. This movement was treated not with more muscle relaxants but rather with a dose of midazolam and (increased) isoflurane, and movement ceased. If we had placed the BIS® before induction of anesthesia, we might have seen a lower BIS baseline because of senile dementia. However, we observed a return to (near) normal BIS (90+) before extubation, making an altered consciousness to assist in drug dosing. Our goal was to maintain decorum at all times so that if the patient’s memory (implicit or explicit) is a problem, negative personal information will not be included. Planning must allow enough flexibility for reaction to the variability in surgical stimulation so that anesthetic delivery and requirement can be balanced.
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Cortical Blindness and Neurologic Injury Complicating Cervical Transforaminal Injection for Cervical Radiculopathy

Marion R. McMillan, M.D.,* Cynthia Crumpton, R.N.†

A 54-yr-old man was referred for pain management consultation because of a 14-yr history of neck pain, left cervical radiculopathy, and neuritic left arm pain. He had a 25-pack-year history of cigarette smoking with no known history of vascular disease. Physical examination was remarkable for sensory impairment to cold and light touch without allodynia or hyperalgesia in the left C5 and C6 dermatomes.1,2 Transforaminal cervical epidural injection is technically demanding, and the proximity to vascular and central nervous system structures may increase the risk of complications. Patients with previous cervical spine surgery may be at greater risk for procedural complications because of postsurgical anatomic variations or more advanced pathologic conditions, resulting in the failure of conservative management. Potential complications include nerve root trauma, unintentional dural puncture, unintended spinal anesthesia with respiratory and hemodynamic compromise, vertebral artery injury, and systemic allergic reactions to radiocontrast agents. Transient cortical blindness and brain injury have been infrequently associated with the use of ionic and nonionic radiocontrast agents introduced into the intracranial circulation during angiographic procedures,3–5 and they may also be embolic in origin.6 These complications have not been previously reported in association with cervical transforaminal injections for the management of pain. We present a case of acute cortical blindness and prolonged neurologic compromise complicating cervical transforaminal injection with acute disruption of the blood-brain barrier demonstrated by magnetic resonance imaging. Implications for patient management and prevention of complications are discussed.

Case Report

A 54-yr-old man was referred for pain management consultation because of a 14-yr history of neck pain, left cervical radiculopathy, and neuritic left arm pain. He had a 25-pack-year history of cigarette smoking with no known history of vascular disease. Physical examination was remarkable for sensory impairment to cold and light touch without allodynia or hyperalgesia in the left C5 and C6 dermatomes. Reflex examination documented reduced left biceps and triceps reflexes at 1+, respectively, with the remainder of the reflex and motor examination of the upper extremity demonstrating normal findings. There was distal left cervical spine tenderness, which reproduced neuritic left elbow pain, and the patient complained of left-sided neck pain made worse by right rotation and extension. He had undergone three previous cervical spine operations for circumferential cervical spine stenosis from C4-5 to C7-T1 by magnetic resonance imaging, including decompression from C5 to C6, with hardware fusion at C4-7 4 months before presentation. Postoperative computed tomography with cervical myelography demonstrated mild focal blunting at the left C6 nerve root sleeve deemed to be surgically insignificant. He had responded poorly to opioid analgesics, and spinal injections had not been performed. Because of intractable radicular left arm pain, transforaminal cervical epidural steroid injection at the C5-6 level was planned.

The left neuroforamen at C5-6 was easily visualized in multiple oblique projections, and after local infiltration analgesia, a 22-gauge, 6-cm needle was advanced under fluoroscopy from an anterior/oblique approach to contact the superior dorsal quadrant of the foramen. On the first pass, bright red blood was aspirated near the opening of the foramen, suggesting left vertebral artery puncture. The needle was retracted, cleared of blood, and repositioned, with negative aspiration test results for blood or cerebrospinal fluid. Loss of resistance to 1 ml of injected air was followed by injection of 2 ml of myelogram-compatible iopamidol nonionic radiocontrast to confirm needle entry into the left lateral recess of the epidural space. The epidurogram was judged to be technically unsatisfactory, and within seconds after injection, the patient developed lateral nystagmus. He remained conscious and verbally responsive, and a final attempt to cannulate the C4-5 foramen was aborted because of increasing patient restlessness and agitation. Approximately 45 min after the initial injection of air and radiocontrast and without injection of additional medications, the patient complained of total bilateral blindness, with bedside confirmation of no light perception. Brief bedside neurologic examination demonstrated no focal neurologic abnormalities. Arterial air embolism was suspected, and after consultation with a neurologist, the patient was treated empirically with corticosteroids and intravenous doxycycline. Emergent baseline and gadolinium-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging of the brain was requested. Images acquired 2 h after the initial foraminal injection demonstrated bilateral parenchymal gadolinium enhancement in the occipital lobes and throughout the posterior intracranial circulation, indicating true breakdown of the blood-brain barrier (fig. 1). Unexpectedly, simultaneous diffusion weighted images were normal. The patient remained responsive and alert and was transferred to a tertiary care facility, where he received empiric hyperbaric oxygen treatment within 4 h after the event. During the first 2 h of hyperbaric therapy, he suffered two grand mal seizures, resulting in discontinuation of hyperbaric therapy and transfer to the neurologic acute care unit. Over the next 24 h, he manifested obtundation, confusion, aphasia, swallowing dysfunction, and continued bilateral blindness. A second magnetic resonance scan of the brain on day 4 showed vasogenic and cytotoxic cerebral edema preferentially in the left occipital cortex on T2-weighted images with superimposed abnormalities on diffusion weighted images. Postgadolinium images demonstrated no parenchymal enhancement, and simultaneous magnetic resonance angiography showed patent intracranial arteries. He became more responsive over the next several days, and an ophthalmology consultant documented intact pupillary reflexes, normal funduscopic examination, and globally impaired vision consistent with
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normal neurologic function after days to weeks, but vomiting, and are usually transient with restoration of nictis, oculomotor disturbances, seizures, nausea, and associated with acute cognitive impairment, cranial nerve def-

administration of radiocontrast agents have been associ-

ated with cerebral embolism. Our patient demonstrated acute parenchymal contrast enhancement and normal diffusion weighted images by magnetic resonance on day 1, and no contrast enhancement on day 4 images, when it would usually be expected with cerebral infarction.

It is possible that arterial gas embolism may have caused ischemic disruption of the blood-brain barrier and predisposed the patient to subsequent radiocontrast toxicity. Observed neurologic deficits at 4 weeks may have been related to the persistent effects of air or other cerebral embolism, direct cytotoxic effects of the radiocontrast agent itself, or both.

Greater emphasis should be placed on the potential for cortical blindness and brain injury associated with cer-
vical transforaminal injections, the indications for and clinical outcomes of these procedures in patients with persistent cervical radiculopathy after cervical spine surgery, and the risk of vertebral artery injury associated with the classic anterior/oblique approach. Our experience also leads us to suggest that the use of air to identify the cervical periradicular epidural space should be abandoned because of the risk of cerebral air embolism. We believe that the use of radiocontrast agents may be justified after individual assessment of potential benefits and risk of radiocontrast toxicity. Recently, Vallee et al. reported their results with a lateral periradicular approach for cervical transforaminal injections in 32 pa-
tients with cervical radiculopathy without the use of radiocontrast or loss of resistance maneuvers. We believe that additional research comparing the efficacy, safety, and indications for various cervical transforaminal techniques in defined patient populations is warranted.

Cortical blindness is a rare but recognized complica-
tion of the administration of radiocontrast agents into the intracranial vasculature. It has been seen most often in procedures involving the posterior intracranial circu-

lation and is reported to occur during 0.3–1% of verte-
bral angiograms. Clinically, visual deficits following administration of radiocontrast agents have been associated with acute cognitive impairment, cranial nerve def-

icits, oculomotor disturbances, seizures, nausea, and vomiting, and are usually transient with restoration of normal neurologic function after days to weeks, but they may be permanent. Lantos suggested that the pathognomonic radiographic appearance of direct radiocontrast toxicity is the immediate contrast enhancement of brain parenchyma on computed tomography or magnetic resonance images reflecting true breakdown of the blood-brain barrier.

The primary differential diagnosis in this case includes radiocontrast-induced cerebral injury versus vertebral artery embolism associated with vertebral artery injury or the use of air for epidural localization. The low sen-
sitivity of negative aspiration tests to detect intravascular injection during caudal and lumbar transforaminal epidural injections has been previously reported. Case reports and published reviews of arterial air embolism indicate that clinical symptoms, time course of recovery, potential long-term sequelae are similar to those re-
ported in association with radiocontrast toxicity. In arterial air embolism, hyperbaric oxygen therapy is the treatment of choice, and animal studies also support the use of intravenous lidocaine and doxycycline for the reduction of cerebral injury in experimental cerebral air embolism. Our patient demonstrated acute parenchymal contrast enhancement and normal diffusion weighted images by magnetic resonance on day 1, and no contrast enhancement on day 4 images, when it would usually be expected with cerebral infarction.

It is possible that arterial gas embolism may have caused ischemic disruption of the blood-brain barrier and predisposed the patient to subsequent radiocontrast toxicity. Observed neurologic deficits at 4 weeks may have been related to the persistent effects of air or other cerebral embolism, direct cytotoxic effects of the radiocontrast agent itself, or both.

Greater emphasis should be placed on the potential for cortical blindness and brain injury associated with cer-
vical transforaminal injections, the indications for and clinical outcomes of these procedures in patients with persistent cervical radiculopathy after cervical spine surgery, and the risk of vertebral artery injury associated with the classic anterior/oblique approach. Our experience also leads us to suggest that the use of air to identify the cervical periradicular epidural space should be abandoned because of the risk of cerebral air embolism. We believe that the use of radiocontrast agents may be justified after individual assessment of potential benefits and risk of radiocontrast toxicity. Recently, Vallee et al. reported their results with a lateral periradicular approach for cervical transforaminal injections in 32 pa-
tients with cervical radiculopathy without the use of radiocontrast or loss of resistance maneuvers. We believe that additional research comparing the efficacy, safety, and indications for various cervical transforaminal techniques in defined patient populations is warranted.

Cortical blindness is a rare but recognized complica-
tion of the administration of radiocontrast agents into the intracranial vasculature. It has been seen most often in procedures involving the posterior intracranial circu-

lation and is reported to occur during 0.3–1% of verte-
bral angiograms. Clinically, visual deficits following administration of radiocontrast agents have been associated with acute cognitive impairment, cranial nerve def-

icits, oculomotor disturbances, seizures, nausea, and vomiting, and are usually transient with restoration of normal neurologic function after days to weeks, but they may be permanent. Lantos suggested that the pathognomonic radiographic appearance of direct radiocontrast toxicity is the immediate contrast enhancement of brain parenchyma on computed tomography or magnetic resonance images reflecting true breakdown of the blood-brain barrier.

The primary differential diagnosis in this case includes radiocontrast-induced cerebral injury versus vertebral artery embolism associated with vertebral artery injury or the use of air for epidural localization. The low sen-
sitivity of negative aspiration tests to detect intravascular injection during caudal and lumbar transforaminal epidural injections has been previously reported. Case reports and published reviews of arterial air embolism indicate that clinical symptoms, time course of recovery, potential long-term sequelae are similar to those re-
ported in association with radiocontrast toxicity. In arterial air embolism, hyperbaric oxygen therapy is the treatment of choice, and animal studies also support the use of intravenous lidocaine and doxycycline for the reduction of cerebral injury in experimental cerebral air embolism. Our patient demonstrated acute parenchymal contrast enhancement and normal diffusion weighted images by magnetic resonance on day 1, and no contrast enhancement on day 4 images, when it would usually be expected with cerebral infarction.

It is possible that arterial gas embolism may have caused ischemic disruption of the blood-brain barrier and predisposed the patient to subsequent radiocontrast toxicity. Observed neurologic deficits at 4 weeks may have been related to the persistent effects of air or other cerebral embolism, direct cytotoxic effects of the radiocontrast agent itself, or both.

Greater emphasis should be placed on the potential for cortical blindness and brain injury associated with cer-
vical transforaminal injections, the indications for and clinical outcomes of these procedures in patients with persistent cervical radiculopathy after cervical spine surgery, and the risk of vertebral artery injury associated with the classic anterior/oblique approach. Our experience also leads us to suggest that the use of air to identify the cervical periradicular epidural space should be abandoned because of the risk of cerebral air embolism. We believe that the use of radiocontrast agents may be justified after individual assessment of potential benefits and risk of radiocontrast toxicity. Recently, Vallee et al. reported their results with a lateral periradicular approach for cervical transforaminal injections in 32 pa-
tients with cervical radiculopathy without the use of radiocontrast or loss of resistance maneuvers. We believe that additional research comparing the efficacy, safety, and indications for various cervical transforaminal techniques in defined patient populations is warranted.
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