Relationship between Aortic-to-radial Arterial Pressure Gradient after Cardiopulmonary Bypass and Changes in Arterial Elasticity
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Background: An aortic-to-radial arterial pressure gradient may develop during and after cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB). The mechanisms of this pressure gradient remain controversial. To clarify the cause of the pressure gradient after CPB, the authors investigated the relationship between the pressure gradient and changes in the pulse wave velocity (PWV) before and after CPB.

Methods: The pressure gradient from the aorta to the radial artery and a change in PWV were measured with a wire (0.37 mm in diameter) tipped with a miniature pressure transducer in 12 patients undergoing cardiac surgery. The pressure distributions and waveforms were measured and recorded with electrocardiograph. The PWV was calculated by measuring the propagation time between the R wave of the electrocardiograph and the rising point of the arterial pressure waveform at 10-cm intervals.

Results: After CPB, 7 of 12 patients demonstrated a marked pressure gradient. In these patients, the pressure distribution showed a gradual decrease toward the periphery without a precipitous step-down in pressure at any one specific anatomic location. The PWV decreased as the intraarterial pressure decreased from the aorta to the radial artery, and the relative arterial elasticity decreased linearly toward the periphery.

Conclusions: The results showed that the decrease in PWV implies a decrease in arterial elasticity, and the decrease in the arterial elasticity correlated with the decrease in intraarterial pressure. These findings demonstrated that a radial artery pressure lower than the aortic pressure after CPB may be due to the decrease in arterial elasticity.

The pressure differences between the aorta and the radial artery are known to sometimes appear after cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) since Stern et al.' first reported this phenomenon. Many investigators have reported an aortic- and/or femoral-to-radial arterial pressure gradient after CPB. Several of them have suggested a decrease in vascular resistance of the upper extremity or the hand. Maruyama et al. suggested that vasodilators may be responsible for the pressure gradient. In contrast, Baba et al. and Nakayama et al. reported that peripheral vasoconstriction or vasospasm was responsible for the pressure gradient. Although Rich et al. and De Hert et al. demonstrated that appearance of the pressure gradient was associated with the initiation of CPB, the etiology of the pressure gradient remains controversial. Although off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting has now become a common procedure, it is still considered important to clarify the pressure gradient after CPB. Many previous investigators speculatively discussed the causes of the pressure gradient based on the results in which they measured the pressure gradient between two or three sites (aorta or femoral artery and radial artery) under limited clinical conditions. It is unclear where the pressure gradient takes place in the region ranging from the aorta to the radial artery in their studies. To clarify the cause of the pressure gradient, it is necessary to examine the distribution of blood pressure rather than the blood pressures at the two sites. In this study, we measured the distribution of the blood pressure and distribution of pulse wave velocity (PWV) from the aorta to the radial artery with a micro-pressure transducer. The PWV gradually increased from the aorta to the peripheral artery. The phenomenon wherein the PWV gets faster because of aging is considered to be a sign of arteriosclerosis. Thus, arterial elasticity or stiffness is closely correlated to the PWV. We suspected that changes in arterial elasticity may have played a role in altering the pressure gradient after CPB. It is impossible to measure the actual arterial elasticity (Young's modulus) in vivo. However, we can indirectly observe the changes in arterial elasticity by measuring PWV from the aorta to the radial artery. We attempted to determine the relationship between the changes in the arterial wall mechanics, which are closely correlated with the pressure distributions, and the pressure gradient.

Materials and Methods

With approval from the Institutional Review Board of Tokai University School of Medicine (Isehara, Japan), we studied 12 patients who underwent elective cardiac surgery. The summary of the experimental design was explained to patients, and informed consent was received from all patients. All patients were premedicated with morphine (5-10 mg) and scopolamine (0.3 mg) intramuscularly approximately 60 min before the induction of anesthesia. Anesthesia consisted of fentanyl (50-100 μg/kg), diazepam (0.2 mg/kg), and vecuronium bromide for muscle relaxation. Administration of nitroglycerin (0.5-2.0 μg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) and diltiazem (1.0 μg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) was initiated in the patients scheduled for
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Dopamine and dobutamine (5–20 μg · kg⁻¹ · min⁻¹ each) were administered to maintain cardiac inotropic and rectal temperature were both recorded at the same time that the aortic-to-radial arterial pressure was measured before and after CPB. The data are expressed as mean ± SD. Intergroup differences for the patient characteristics and intraoperative data (CI, SVRI, hematocrit, rectal temperature) were analyzed with the unpaired t test (two-sided test). The paired t test was used to analyze intragroup differences. P values less than 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

Results

All 12 of the adult patients studied were male, and the mean age was 58 yr (range, 38–72 yr). None of the patients had atrial fibrillation or required intraaortic balloon pumping before or after CPB. We considered patients to have a pressure gradient when the systolic arterial pressure was lower in the radial artery than in the aorta, with the differences from 10 mmHg up at 30 min after CPB. As a result, the patients were divided into two groups; seven patients who showed a pressure gradient (group A) and five patients who did not show a gradient (group B). Table 1 shows the characteristics and intraoperative data for the two groups. There were no intergroup differences in patient age, body weight, BSA, or duration of CPB. CI increased significantly in both groups after CPB. However, there was no significant difference between the groups before and after CPB. SVRI and hematocrit decreased significantly in both groups after CPB; there were no significant intergroup differences. Rectal temperature increased after CPB in both groups. There was no difference between the groups before and after CPB.
Table 1. Demographic and Intraoperative Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A (n = 7)</th>
<th>Group B (n = 5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M/F)</td>
<td>7/0</td>
<td>5/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>59 ± 8.5</td>
<td>57.2 ± 14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>65.1 ± 5.9</td>
<td>61.2 ± 6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA (m²)</td>
<td>1.74 ± 0.13</td>
<td>1.64 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation CABG/valve</td>
<td>5/2</td>
<td>3/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of CPB (min)</td>
<td>150 ± 65</td>
<td>160 ± 54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI (l·min⁻¹·m⁻²)</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After CPB</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.6*</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVRI (dyn·s·cm⁻⁵·m⁻²)</td>
<td>2,425 ± 581</td>
<td>2,205 ± 434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before CPB</td>
<td>1,162 ± 205*</td>
<td>1,453 ± 295*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit (%)</td>
<td>34.7 ± 2.0</td>
<td>34.0 ± 1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before CPB</td>
<td>26.1 ± 1.9*</td>
<td>25.4 ± 2.6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectal temperature (°C)</td>
<td>35.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>35.8 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After CPB</td>
<td>36.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>36.5 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD. * P < 0.05 vs. before cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB).

BSA = body surface area; CABG = coronary artery bypass grafting; CI = cardiac index; Group A = positive-pressure gradient group; Group B = negative-pressure gradient group; SVRI = systemic vascular resistance index.

Table 2 shows the systolic aortic-to-radial artery pressure difference (ΔSAP), the mean aortic-to-radial artery pressure difference (ΔMAP), and the diastolic aortic-to-radial artery pressure difference (ΔDAP). The systolic arterial pressure in the radial artery was 9.3 ± 5.3 mmHg higher than that in the aorta before CPB. However, a slight difference was observed between the radial artery and the aorta regarding the mean and diastolic pressures. After CPB, 7 of the 12 patients had a pressure gradient (58%), and a difference of 27 ± 11 mmHg in the systolic pressure existed between the aorta and the radial artery. In the five patients showing no gradient after CPB, the pressure differences were nearly equal to pre-CPB results.

Figure 2 (top) shows the pressure distribution from the aorta to the radial artery before CPB, and the systolic pressure rose slowly toward the peripheral artery. Figure 2 (bottom) shows the pressure distribution from the aorta to the radial artery in one patient who had a pressure gradient after CPB. A decrease in the systolic pressure was marked. The radial arterial pressure was lower than both the aortic pressure and the brachial arterial pressure, and no localized pressure depression was observed. The other six patients with a pressure gradient had the same distribution pattern.

Figure 3, A shows the changes in the PWV and VD in 12 patients before CPB. The PWV increased linearly from the aorta to the radial artery, thus resulting in a decrease in the VD toward the radial artery. Figure 3, B shows changes in the PWV and the VD in seven patients who

Table 2. Aortic-to-radial Artery Pressure Gradient before and after Cardiopulmonary Bypass

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure Differences from the Aorta to Each Measurement Point</th>
<th>60–60 cm</th>
<th>60–40 cm</th>
<th>60–30 cm</th>
<th>60–20 cm</th>
<th>60–10 cm</th>
<th>60–0 cm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before CPB (n = 12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔSAP</td>
<td>−1.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>−3.2 ± 2.2</td>
<td>−5.1 ± 2.5</td>
<td>−7.4 ± 4.0</td>
<td>−8.9 ± 4.4</td>
<td>−9.3 ± 5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔMAP</td>
<td>−0.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>−0.3 ± 1.4</td>
<td>−0.2 ± 2.4</td>
<td>0.2 ± 2.5</td>
<td>0.1 ± 2.7</td>
<td>0.1 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔDAP</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.2 ± 1.5</td>
<td>−0.1 ± 1.4</td>
<td>0.1 ± 1.9</td>
<td>0.1 ± 1.6</td>
<td>0.1 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After CPB Group A (n = 7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔSAP</td>
<td>0.9 ± 1.1</td>
<td>3.1 ± 2.0</td>
<td>8.4 ± 2.0</td>
<td>15.3 ± 3.0</td>
<td>19.3 ± 2.3</td>
<td>26.7 ± 10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔMAP</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2.7 ± 1.5</td>
<td>5.0 ± 2.5</td>
<td>7.7 ± 6.4</td>
<td>10.7 ± 4.0</td>
<td>14.3 ± 6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔDAP</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.4 ± 1.9</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.6</td>
<td>2.7 ± 2.0</td>
<td>4.0 ± 2.9</td>
<td>5.4 ± 5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After CPB Group B (n = 5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔSAP</td>
<td>−0.6 ± 1.9</td>
<td>−2.0 ± 2.5</td>
<td>−3.6 ± 4.2</td>
<td>−4.8 ± 4.9</td>
<td>−6.4 ± 6.7</td>
<td>−9.4 ± 8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔMAP</td>
<td>−0.4 ± 2.3</td>
<td>−1.4 ± 2.5</td>
<td>−2.4 ± 4.0</td>
<td>−1.6 ± 2.7</td>
<td>−1.6 ± 2.7</td>
<td>−2.0 ± 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔDAP</td>
<td>−0.4 ± 2.3</td>
<td>−1.0 ± 2.0</td>
<td>−0.6 ± 2.5</td>
<td>−0.8 ± 2.5</td>
<td>−1.2 ± 1.3</td>
<td>−0.4 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD. All pressures shown in mmHg. “60 cm” shows that the pressure transducer is approximately in the aorta, and “0 cm” shows that it is in the radial artery; accordingly, “60–0 cm” means the aortic-to-radial artery pressure difference.

CPB = cardiopulmonary bypass; ΔPAP = difference in diastolic arterial blood pressure; Group A = positive-pressure gradient group; Group B = negative-pressure gradient group; ΔMAP = difference in mean arterial blood pressure; ΔSAP = difference in systolic arterial blood pressure.
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had a pressure gradient after CPB. The PWV gradually decreased toward the periphery, thus resulting in an increased VD. In five patients who had no pressure gradient after CPB, the PWV gradually increased toward the periphery (fig. 3, C).

The distribution in the relative arterial elasticity that was calculated from the mean values of the PWV in figure 3, B is illustrated in figure 4, A. Because the PWV was squared and plotted against the elasticity, the relative arterial elasticity in seven patients with the pressure gradient decreased rapidly and linearly toward the periphery. In addition, the differences in the systolic and mean arterial pressure (ΔSAP, ΔMAP) between the aorta and each of the measurement points are plotted in figure 4, A. There was a remarkable decrease in the pressure between the aorta and the radial artery, and these changes were observed to correspond to the changes in relative arterial elasticity.

In the same manner as that demonstrated in figure 4, A, the relative arterial elasticity and the difference in ΔSAP and ΔMAP in the no-pressure-gradient group are illustrated in figure 4, B. The relative arterial elasticity and ΔSAP increased from a central to a peripheral site.

Discussion

Previous investigators dealt with the region between the aorta and the radial artery as an unknown circuit when assuming the aortic pressure to be input and the radial pressure to be output and described reasons why
the mechanism of the unknown circuit changes. The inside of the unknown circuit and the direct mechanism that leads to the pressure gradient were not clearly explained. To clarify this mechanism, it is essential to investigate what actually occurs in the region from the aorta to the radial artery. We examined a continuous distribution of the intraarterial pressure from the aorta to the radial artery before and after CPB in patients with and without a pressure gradient.

It is generally known that the radial systolic arterial pressure is higher than the aortic systolic pressure in awake humans. We had similar findings in 12 patients anesthetized with fentanyl before undergoing CPB, and the measured pressure distribution indicated that the intraaortic pressure gradually increased from the aorta to the radial artery as a peaking phenomenon. In addition, the PWV gradually increased toward the periphery in all 12 patients before CPB. Physiologically, the central arteries are rich in elastic fibers, and the peripheral arteries, which are rich in smooth muscle cells, tend to have a muscular type artery. As a result, the arteries gradually get “firmer” toward the peripheral sides. The PWV gets faster on the peripheral sides, and this reflects the physiologic characteristics of the arteries.

In seven patients with a pressure gradient after CPB, we found that the intraaortic pressure gradually decreased from the aorta to the radial artery. Furthermore, it was noteworthy that the pressure gradient was not localized and the PWV gradually decreased from the aorta to the radial artery. The PWV in the elastic vessels (C0) is expressed by Moens-Korteweg’s equation as C0 = (E h/D)1/2, where E is the elasticity of the vessel wall (the Young modulus), h is the wall thickness of the elastic vessel, D is the diameter of the vessel, ρ is the density of fluid, and D is the diameter of the vessel. According to this equation, a decrease in C0 toward the peripheral artery can be explained as follows. The effects of h/D on changes in C0 are considered to be low because the h/D did not significantly change from the brachial artery to the radial artery.11,12 Even if changes in h/D are present, its effect on C0 must be inconsiderable in the physiologic range. ρ is not affected, either. Changes in C0 are therefore regulated by the Young modulus, namely, changes in the elasticity of the vessel wall. It is considered that the gradual decrease in the PWV from the aorta to the radial artery in the patients with the pressure gradient demonstrates the gradual decrease in the arterial elasticity from the aorta to the radial artery.

Table 2 demonstrates that a pressure gradient of 10 mmHg or more between the aorta and the radial artery was observed in seven patients after CPB. Assuming that the pressure difference between the above two sites appears as the product of a flow rate and arterial resistance, an increase either in the flow rate or in the arterial resistance is thus needed for the occurrence of a pressure gradient of 10 mmHg or more after CPB. Although there was no pressure gradient in the mean arterial pressure before CPB, it was nevertheless elevated to 14 ± 7 mmHg in 7 of the 12 patients after CPB. Under physiologic circulation, it is unreasonable to assume that an increase in the blood flow (or flow rate) can amplify the pressure gradient from approximately 0 mmHg to approximately 10 mmHg after CPB. Baba et al.5 reported that the diameter of the radial artery decreased significantly after CPB, but there was no significant increase in the radial arterial flow (velocity) that can explain this pressure gradient. It is possible that such a decreased diameter in the radial artery increases the peripheral arterial resistance. According to the law of Poiseuille, the resistance of the tube is proportional to 1/ηr4, where η is the viscosity and r is the radius of the tube. The blood viscosity decreases by hemodilution on CPB. If a pressure gradient of 10 mmHg or more had been present with an associated decrease in the blood viscosity to 70% (0.7 η) after the start of CPB, the intrarterial diameter should become one half (0.5 r) or less. However, in the same report,7 we could not find a reduction of the intrarterial diameter to this degree.

The pulsatile flow passing through a small segment of the blood vessel causes an increase in the intrarterial volume, and then the intrarterial pressure (Δp) increases. Because the stress in the vessel wall is equilibrated to Δp, the following equation should be established: Δp = Δv · E · h/(2π · r3), where Δv is an increase in volume due to a pulse, E is the elasticity of the vessel, h is the wall thickness of the vessel, and r is the radius of the vessel. This equation indicates that changes in the intrarterial pressure provoked by the pulsatile flow depend on the elasticity of the vessel wall. The relationship between the pulsatile flow in elastic vessels and the change in the pressure is explained by the following equation: Δp = ρ · u · C0, where Δp is the change in the pressure, ρ is the blood density, u is the flow rate, and C0 is the PWV. The changes in intrarterial pressure evidence the changes in E because C0 is expressed as (E h/D)1/2. It is considered that a gradual decrease in the intrarterial pressure, which is regarded as the pressure gradient after CPB, reflects a decrease in the arterial elasticity from the aorta to the radial artery.

However, in the current study, we could not clarify the etiology of a decrease in arterial elasticity. Some investigators7,8 have demonstrated that the pressure gradient developed early during CPB. The initiation of CPB is thus associated with hemodilution. There seems no doubt that hemodilution reduces the peripheral resistance with a decrease in blood viscosity. It might be expected that the reduced resistance in the peripheral resistant arteries could decrease the radial arterial pressure. Furthermore, it is possible that a decrease in the intrarterial diameter in the region between the axillary artery and the radial artery, which is due to the decreased radial arterial pressure, results in a decrease in the elasticity of the
vessel walls. Pauca et al.\textsuperscript{2,3} demonstrated that a decrease in hand vascular resistance was responsible for the pressure gradient. The decrease in the resistance in the peripheral resistant arteries may become a trigger of the pressure gradient. Their findings also support our theory. Goda et al.\textsuperscript{15} demonstrated that hemodilution plays an important role in the femoral-to-radial artery pressure gradient after CPB. On the other hand, De Hert et al.\textsuperscript{16} found no relationship between the magnitude of the pressure gradient and changes in hematocrit associated with hemodilution.

Baba et al.\textsuperscript{5} reported that the radial artery diameter significantly decreased after the initiation of CPB and suggested that radial artery constriction could be responsible for the pressure gradient. Gravlee et al.\textsuperscript{16} suggested that a decrease in muscular arterial diameter or vasospasm related to catecholamine may contribute to the gradient. We agree with the reduction in the radial artery diameter and consider that such a reduction extends from the axillary artery to the radial artery. However, we believe that the decrease in the arterial diameter does not mean a vasospasm or vasoconstriction due to catecholamine release. The phenomenon seems to be due to hydrostatic changes.

In this study, we found that the intraarterial pressure and the PWV decreased gradually from the aorta to the radial artery in patients with a pressure gradient after CPB. Furthermore, we demonstrated that the decrease in arterial elasticity toward the radial artery may be responsible for the occurrence of a pressure gradient occurring after CPB. However, physical and fluid mechanical experiments are necessary to establish our opinions regarding the relationship between the change in elasticity and internal pressure.
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