Case Report

A 12-year-old girl related to the first family was referred to our MH investigation unit because of elevated resting creatine kinase levels (700 U/l) and rapid exhaustion after intensive exercise. The suspected MH disposition was confirmed by an MH-susceptible diagnosis in the in vitro halothane/caffeine muscle test. MH had been transmitted from the mother, who was found to be MH-susceptible 2 months later. Interestingly, both grandparents showed normal responses in the halothane/caffeine muscle test (MH-negative diagnosis) and had undergone previous general anesthetics with no clinical complications. A familial genetic screening for MH-related mutations in the RYR1 gene revealed an Arg2435His mutation (G7304 → A) in all MH-susceptible family members, but not in the MH-negative individuals. This substitution is one of the mutations regarded as causative of MH, according to the guidelines of the European MH Group for molecular genetic detection of MH susceptibility. To exclude the possibility of false paternity of the grandfather, we performed haplotyping with chromosome 19 microsatellite markers. The results of this segregation analysis proved that a true relationship between the investigated generations was almost certain (fig. 1).

In the second family, a 15-year-old girl developed a tachycardia of 140 beats/min, 45 min after induction of anesthesia. Carbon dioxide rose to 90 mmHg, followed by a metabolic acidosis (pH 7.21) and a maximal serum creatine kinase elevation of 1655 U/l. General anesthesia for an operation on the spinal column was induced with thiopental, alfentanil, and vecuronium, and was maintained with isoflurane. When MH was suspected to be the possible cause of the symptoms, the isoflurane supply was interrupted and the symptoms rapidly declined. Dantrolene was not administered. The susceptibility to MH was confirmed by the IVCT 3 months later, and the histopathologic examination of a muscle biopsy also revealed the presence of central core disease. A RYR1 Ile2453Thr (T7358 → C) substitution was identified by DNA testing. The patient’s mother, who also showed pathologic responses in the IVCT (MH-susceptible), carried the same Ile2453Thr mutation, but both grandparents showed a diagnostic constellation similar to that of family 1: both were found to be MH-negative in the IVCT, and neither carried the familial mutation.

The response of the muscle specimens from MH-susceptible and MH-negative individuals in the IVCT is shown in table 1, supplemented by sequencing gel traces of normal and mutated RYR1 alleles in figure 2.

Discussion

It is a known phenomenon of the routine MH diagnosis that in a few MH families, the results of the IVCT do not agree with the theoretical autosomal-dominant mode of inheritance of the disorder. This is sometimes a problem for MH investigators, who must interpret such findings accurately while accounting for the patient’s cultural background and personality.

Various reasons must be considered for those constellations in which both parents of an MH-susceptible patient show a normal response in the IVCT. An incorrect biologic paternity appears to be the most likely reason for the nontransmission of the MH disposition from a direct ancestor (frequencies of up to 10% in the general population were found in a study by the University of Virginia Commonwealth at Richmond). However, MH investigators should deal very carefully with any questions of paternity so as to not to get involved in or initiate a family crisis. In the families presented here, the paternity became plausible by the microsatellite marker segregation.

The second theoretical possibility is an incorrect result of the IVCT. However, the in vitro halothane/caffeine muscle
test is a well-established method, elaborated by MH experts to determine an MH disposition preclinically and to ensure the greatest possible safety for the patients. This is reflected in the high sensitivity (99% and 97%, respectively) of the test according to both available protocols.1,4–6

An alternative mode of transmission of the MH disposition, e.g., recessive forms, may be a third reason for MH-normal parents, but so far this has not been described for MH.

Deduced from the results of the segregation of mutations Arg2435His (G7304→A) and Ile2453Thr (T7358→C), both located in the central MH hot spot region of the RYR1 gene7 as well as of the microsatellite markers, it was highly likely that the MH-susceptibility in the presented families was spontaneously caused by a neomutation. The susceptibility was then transmitted in the known autosomal-dominant manner. Other hitherto described de novo mutations in the RYR1 gene have occurred exclusively in families with a sporadic central core disease, and were found only in the C-terminal domain (MH/central core disease region 3).8

Although both identified mutations also appear to correlate with central core disease (see the genetic European MH Group list for the Arg2435His and case report of the Ile2453Thr mutation carrier), there is some functional evidence concerning their possible causative nature for MH.9–11

The molecular genetic findings in these two families demonstrate that sporadic forms of MH are of clinical relevance, and that MH may also develop in the normal population. This must be considered when interpreting unclear IVCT constellations and in forensic matters.

Table 1. Results of the In Vitro Contracture Tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family Mutation (RYR1)/Patient</th>
<th>Threshold Concentration (mM)</th>
<th>Contracture Force (mN) at</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Halothane</td>
<td>Caffeine</td>
<td>0.44 mM Halothane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G7304A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I:1</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>&gt; 4.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I:2</td>
<td>&gt; 0.88</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II:1</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II:2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III:1</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7358C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I:1</td>
<td>&gt; 0.88</td>
<td>&gt; 4.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I:2</td>
<td>&gt; 0.88</td>
<td>&gt; 4.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II:1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II:2</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III:1</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III:2</td>
<td>&gt; 0.88</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Roman numerals indicate familial generation; arabic numerals indicate number of individuals.

MHN = malignant hyperthermia-negative; MHS = malignant hyperthermia-susceptible; RYR1 = skeletal ryanodin receptor gene.
A Bifurcated Tracheal Tube for a Neonate with Tracheoesophageal Fistula

Yoshikazu Miyamoto, M.D., Ph.D.,* Keiko Kinouchi, M.D., Ph.D.,† Akihiro Taniguchi, M.D.,* Seiji Kitamura, M.D., Ph.D.‡

THE goal of airway management in a patient with esophageal atresia (EA) and tracheoesophageal fistula (TEF) is to maintain adequate ventilation without ventilating the fistula, which can lead to ineffective ventilation, gastric distention or rupture, hypotension, or reflux of gastric contents.¹ There have been two major strategies for achieving this goal: proper positioning of a endotracheal tube² or catheter occlusion of the TEF,³ both of which have potential difficulties.

Here we present a novel bifurcated endotracheal tube used to deliver air/oxygen directly to the distal airway without ventilating the fistula, and its application in one patient with a large TEF just above the carina.

Case Report

The patient was male, weighed 2,908 g at 41 weeks gestational age, had difficulty feeding, and was transferred to our hospital at 2 days of age. A diagnosis of EA/TEF was made, and cardiac ultrasonography revealed that he had a large patent ductus arteriosus (PDA), atrial septal defect, and mitral and tricuspid valve regurgitation. Because of the severity of his congenital heart disease, radical operation of the EA/TEF was postponed and an emergent gastroscope was performed using general anesthesia. Intraoperative bronchoscopy revealed that he had a large patent ductus arteriosus (PDA), atrial septal defect, and mitral and tricuspid valve regurgitation. Because of the severity of his congenital heart disease, radical operation of the EA/TEF was postponed and an emergent gastroscope was performed using general anesthesia. Intraoperative bronchoscopy revealed that he had a large patent ductus arteriosus (PDA), atrial septal defect, and mitral and tricuspid valve regurgitation. Because of the severity of his congenital heart disease, radical operation of the EA/TEF was postponed and an emergent gastroscope was performed using general anesthesia. Intraoperative bronchoscopy revealed that he had a large patent ductus arteriosus (PDA), atrial septal defect, and mitral and tricuspid valve regurgitation. Because of the severity of his congenital heart disease, radical operation of the EA/TEF was postponed and an emergent gastroscope was performed using general anesthesia. Intraoperative bronchoscopy revealed that he had a large patent ductus arteriosus (PDA), atrial septal defect, and mitral and tricuspid valve regurgitation. Because of the severity of his congenital heart disease, radical operation of the EA/TEF was postponed and an emergent gastroscope was performed using general anesthesia.

Fig. 1. Fiberoptic view of the patient’s trachea.
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The tube was therefore placed in an ordinary position (above the fistula), and the patient was carefully ventilated with low peak inspiratory pressure in the semi-Fowler position. On completion of surgery, he resumed spontaneous breathing and his trachea was extubated. The next day, the patient exhibited tachypnea, oliguria, and severe metabolic acidosis (pH 7.259, PaCO₂ 12.4 mmHg, base excess −21.3mEq/l). Ultrasonography revealed cardiac insufficiency (right ventricular end diastolic diameter, 17.5 mm; left ventricular end diastolic/systolic diameter, 14.2/11.7 mm; ejection fraction, 40%), deterioration of mitral regurgitation, and increased left-to-right shunting through the large PDA, for which an emergent PDA clipping was indicated. After induction of anesthesia, ventilation was difficult because of a massive air leak through the gastrostomy tube.

In preparation for the patient’s EA/TEF repair, we developed a bifurcated tube to deliver air/oxygen directly to the distal airway without ventilating the fistula. The tip of a Portex® endotracheal tube, 3.0 mm ID (SIMS Portex Inc., Keene, NH), was cut to create a bevel facing the right side (fig. 2a). Then the distal end of the tube, approximately 8 mm in length, was incised longitudinally (fig. 2b). When splayed open, it became a bifurcated tip with two half-lumens (fig. 2c and d). The cut surface of the tube was smoothed with fine sandpaper and tetrahydrofuran, and the bifurcated segments were left almost closed (fig 2b). We then simulated introducing the tube to sit astride the carina using a neonatal tracheal model, which we constructed by suturing two 4.5-mm-ID tracheal tubes together.

The operation was scheduled for day 8. With the approval of our institute’s ethical committee and the informed consent of the patient’s parents, placement of the bifurcated endotracheal tube was attempted after induction of anesthesia. Because the bifurcated tip made it difficult for the tube to pass through the glottis, we held the midpoint of the bifurcated segments of the tube in mosquito forceps so that the bifurcated tip was closed during passage through the glottis. Once the closed tip passed through the glottis, the forceps were removed and the tube was advanced into the trachea. While maintaining ventilation via a swivel connector, a fiberscope (model LF-P; Olympus Optical Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) was inserted into the tube and advanced into the left main bronchus, just proximal to the opening of the left upper lobe bronchus (fig. 3a). The tube was then advanced over the fiberscope until the left half of the bifurcated tip was introduced into the left main bronchus. Because the right main bronchus had a smaller branching angle from the trachea than the left main bronchus, the right half of the divided tip tended to enter the right main bronchus, and the bifurcated segments of the tube were forced to splay to sit astride the carina (fig. 3b).

The fiberscope was then withdrawn to the carinal level and proper placement of the tube was confirmed (fig. 4). Ventilation was ascertained by chest movement, auscultation, intragastric pressure monitoring, and arterial blood gas analysis. The increase in intragastric pressure diminished, and the arterial blood gas proved adequate oxygenation without carbon dioxide retention. A chest radiograph also confirmed proper positioning of the tube (fig. 5). On completion of surgery, the bifurcated tube was exchanged for an ordinary 3.0-mm-ID tube. Postoperative bronchoscopy demonstrated no bleeding except for the slight flush of tracheal mucosa around the carina. The patient was transferred to the ICU and was weaned from the ventilator on the second postoperative day. There were no sequelae related to the use of the bifurcated tube.
Discussion

The most common way to avoid entry of gas into a TEF is to position the endotracheal tube so that the tip is located just proximal to the carina but distal to the fistula. This works well if the fistula is located far enough above the carina. However, because the fistula may be located just above the carina, it may be difficult to strictly maintain this position. A subtle change in the tube position, often caused by surgical manipulation or patient’s movement, could be critical. Therefore, this technique would not be suitable for several days of intensive care for the EA/TEF patient with severe respiratory distress syndrome, pneumonia, or prematurity requiring mechanical ventilation before surgery.

Occlusion of the fistula with a Fogarty embolectomy catheter, either through the trachea (anterograde occlusion) or the gastrostomy site (retrograde occlusion), is another strategy that has been reported by several authors. This method can be effective but may not be feasible in every case. Disadvantages of the method are as follows:

1. There are potential technical difficulties in placing the catheter into the fistula. In anterograde occlusion, manipulation of a Fogarty catheter into the fistula through the trachea under a thin rigid bronchoscope is technically difficult and requires interruption of ventilation during the procedure. Limitation of the size of the rigid bronchoscope could also be a problem. The smallest size available is 4.2 mm OD (DOLF-SELUZLY Pediatric Bronchoscope K10539F; Karl Storz GmbH & Co., Tuttingen, Germany; size 2.5, 3.5 mm ID, 4.2 mm OD, 20 cm in length), which is equal to the OD of a 3.0-mm-ID Portex® endotracheal tube (4.2 mm). Thus, the method is not applicable to patients too small to accept a 3.0-mm-ID endotracheal tube, which is often the case. Retrograde occlusion is technically complicated and necessitates the placement of a gastrostomy, which is no longer routinely performed in patients with no other complicating factors.

2. The catheter could be dislocated to occlude the trachea, either by surgical manipulation or the patient’s movement, making it totally impossible to ventilate.

3. The catheter might damage the esophageal mucosa in contact with the balloon by compromising its circulation.

Compared with the two strategies mentioned, our bifurcated tube has several advantages:

1. It is easy to prepare and easy to place under fiberoptic guidance.

2. It does not require rigid bronchoscopy and is not limited by the size of the patient’s trachea. By using smaller tracheal tubes, our method can be applied to premature neonates.

3. There may be less risk of accidental displacement with the bifurcated tip sitting astride the carina; thus, it may be useful not only for intraoperative management but also for management of those patients requiring mechanical ventilation before surgery.

4. It does not require interruption of ventilation during the procedure.

5. It may help in the event of tracheomalacia, a common complication in EA/TEF patients.

6. It may also be applicable to a laryngotracheoesophageal cleft, in which a much more complicated use of a bifurcated endotracheal tube with each lumen entering two main bronchi has been reported.

On the other hand, our tube does have its disadvantages. First, the half-round tips may flatten or turn over and occlude the bronchi, especially when the tube is inserted too far. If the tube is too small, the two tips might fold backward as the tube passes down the trachea. However, using an adequately sized tube and maintaining the correct position of the tube can avoid these complications.

Second, the bifurcated tube might compromise the ventilation of the right upper lobe, especially when the right upper lobe bronchus is very close to the carina or a tracheal bronchus exists. As the length of the right bronchus (the length of the right main bronchus to the origin of the right upper lobe bronchus) in neonates is reported to be 9.92 ± 1.67 mm (mean ± SD), we consider that the right side of the bifurcation should be shorter than 6.48 mm (mean ± 2 SD value) at the lateral aspect of the tube to avoid occlusion of the right upper lobe bronchus. However, when the bifurcation becomes shorter, placing the bifurcated tip to sit astride the carina and avoiding dislocation of the tube would be more difficult. In the trials using the neonatal tracheal model, we found that the bifurcated tip could not easily be placed to sit astride the carina when the longitudinal incision was shorter than 8 mm. Thus, we decided the incision should be 8 mm long, which resulted in a 5.5-mm distance from the carina to the distal end of the right bifurcated segment of the tube at the lateral aspect of the tube (fig. 2b). Assuming that an abnormal origin of the right bronchus is technically complicated and necessitates the placement of a gastrostomy, which is no longer routinely performed in patients with no other complicating factors.
the right upper lobe bronchus did not exist, there was little risk of occluding the right upper lobe bronchus because the distance was shorter than 6.48 mm.

Finally, in rare cases, the bifurcated tube is ineffective when a TEF exists at the bronchial level.

Another controversial point of managing this case was the associated major congenital heart disease. EA/TEF patients often have complicated congenital heart disease, which can alter the outcome and management of TEF. However, heart disease is not necessarily an impediment to primary repair of the EA/TEF. In our case, the patient’s PDA was so large that circulatory deterioration was anticipated to occur during primary repair, which is performed in the left decubitus position. Therefore, we decided to perform a gastrostomy and to delay the surgical repair of the EA/TEF. As we had anticipated, the patient deteriorated soon and subjected to emergent PDA ligation.
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Anesthetizing the Phantom: Peripheral Nerve Stimulation of a Nonexistent Extremity
Stephen M. Klein, M.D.,* John Eck, M.D.,* Karen Nielsen, M.D.,* Susan M. Steele, M.D.†

SPINAL, epidural, and brachial plexus blocks have been shown to reversibly block phantom limb sensations and pain when performed on amputees. These techniques may also offer a regional anesthetic alternative in amputees with complex coexisting disease. Despite these advantages, performing a peripheral nerve block on a previously amputated limb may be difficult because detection of a motor response to electrical nerve stimulation may not be possible. We present a case of anesthesia using a sciatic nerve block performed with phantom nerve stimulation of a nonexistent extremity. The block produced a numb phantom limb and excellent operative anesthesia.

Case Reports

A 57-yr-old woman (American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status III) was scheduled for drainage of an abscess on the stump of her right below-the-knee amputation. Her medical history was significant for insulin-dependent diabetes, coronary artery disease, peripheral vascular disease, gastroesophageal reflux, and renal insufficiency. She had undergone her initial right below-the-knee amputation 6 yr earlier. Two months after her right below-the-knee amputation, she underwent a left below-the-knee amputation. She had constant bilateral phantom sensations that her feet were still present. The sensations were vivid and consisted of an entire foot on each side. They were not associated with perceived altered length, size, or location. She also had constant phantom pain that she described as sharp, stabbing, and throbbing. On a verbal pain scale (0 = no pain and 10 = the worst pain imaginable) she rated the phantom pain as 6. Physical examination was remarkable for a right amputation 4 inches below the knee with a 5-cm ulcer on the lateral aspect of the stump. Her daily oral medications included 20 mg omeprazole, 100 mg metoprolol, 80 mg furosemide, 325 mg aspirin, 300 mg gabapentin twice daily, and 10 mg cyclobenzaprine three times daily, as well as 4 U each morning and 6 U each evening of subcutaneous neutral protamine Hagedorn insulin.

A femoral and sciatic nerve block was planned. The procedure was explained to the patient, and she was encouraged to report any change in sensation or movement in her phantom extremity during the block placement. Standard monitoring was placed, and the patient was sedated using 100 μg fentanyl and 2 mg midazolam IV. A classic sciatic nerve block as described by Labat was performed using a nerve stimulator connected to a 21-gauge, 100-mm, short-bevel insulated needle (B. Braun Medical, Bethlehem, PA). The nerve stimulator was set at 1.5 mA. The initial insertion of the needle produced a hamstring motor response. The needle was redirected medially and the patient reported movement in her phantom foot. When asked to be more specific about the movement, she first said “It’s just moving;” after a few seconds she said “Its moving like this,” at which point she began to move her phantom foot in a walking gait.
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tapping her index finger in a flexing motion in coordination with the 2-Hz signal of the nerve stimulator. The current was reduced to 0.5 mA, and 20 ml ropivacaine, 0.5%, with 1:400,000 epinephrine was injected. The patient was placed in the supine position and, using a nerve stimulator, a femoral nerve block using mepivacaine 1% with 1:400,000 epinephrine was performed to cover the saphenous nerve distribution. Three minutes after the sciatric block, the patient reported a decrement in her phantom pain to 3 (out of 10). When asked about her extremity 3 min later she said, “It’s just gone. There’s no pain.” When asked the same question 3 min after that she said, “No, I take that back. There’s no pain and my foot is numb.” She reported no changes in her contralateral phantom or pain. The patient subsequently underwent abscess drainage with no postoperative complications. The sensation returned in her phantom foot approximately 24 h after block placement. There was also return of her usual phantom pain at about the same time.

**Discussion**

This case demonstrates the successful use of a nerve stimulator technique to elicit the sensation of movement in a phantom extremity. It further illustrates that the perception of movement can be replicated by a proximal stimulus without the presence of an extremity or peripheral cues 6 yr after an amputation. Although spinal, epidural, and axillary blocks have been previously reported as effective at blocking phantom limbs, to our knowledge this is the first report using a sciatric block to produce these results. Given the prevalence of lower limb amputations and the inherent difficulties in providing lower extremity blocks in this population, this technique, if reproducible, may help facilitate their performance.

Peripheral nerve stimulation has been a useful adjunct when performing regional anesthesia. Conceptually, by preferentially stimulating Aδ nerves with a low current and a 0.1-ms pulse width-square waveform, the needle can be located in close proximity to a peripheral nerve, facilitating success. In an amputee, not having a distal extremity may make this technique less desirable or impossible because of the lack of a definitive endpoint. Having an alternative method for placing a peripheral block such as the one described in this case could be helpful for anesthesia or perioperative pain management.

Our ability to evoke the sensation of movement in a phantom extremity using a stimulus for motor response illustrates a complex process of neural integration beyond simple sensory fiber or motor fiber nerve stimulation. Recent investigations have demonstrated that phantom limb sensations and phantom pain are complex phenomena that may be attributed to neural reorganization at multiple levels, including the spinal cord, brainstem, thalamus, and cortex, as well as factors in the periphery. The role of the spinal nerves and peripheral nerves have been demonstrated by several investigators who have shown that performing a spinal anesthetic, an epidural, or a brachial plexus block can eliminate the sensation of a phantom extremity and temporarily eliminate phantom limb pain, as well as induce phantom sensation and pain. Therefore, it is not unexpected that a sciatric nerve block could produce similar results in the lower extremity.

The exact mechanisms of this complex neural interaction are still unclear. However, this observation suggests that our knowledge about peripheral nerve stimulators for regional anesthesia, although practical, still has many areas that need further investigation. Urmey and Stanton highlighted part of this void and controversy when they demonstrated that it was possible to consistently elicit a paresthesia without simultaneously evoking a motor response while performing interscalene blocks.

Several factors encouraged us to attempt a nerve stimulator technique in this patient. The patient had severe coexisting disease and preexisting limb pain that we believed would benefit from the anesthetic and postoperative analgesic benefits of peripheral nerve blocks. The patient was also especially cooperative, articulate concerning her perceptions, and enthusiastic about the technique. This enabled us to describe the technique and prompt her for information about her phantom. We believe this aspect of patient education in this circumstance was essential for success.
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**Dexmedetomidine and Cardiac Arrest**

*Esperanza Ingersoll-Weng, M.D.,* † Gerard R. Manecke, Jr., M.D., † Patricia A. Thistlethwaite, M.D., Ph.D.‡

Dexmedetomidine is an α-2 receptor agonist often administered to surgical patients because of its sedative, analgesic, and anxiolytic properties. Although severe bradycardia is a known adverse effect, bradycardia leading to asystole in the clinical setting has yet to be reported. We report a case of cardiac arrest in a patient receiving a dexmedetomidine infusion as a supplement to general anesthesia.

**Case Report**

A 52-yr-old 60-kg woman with myasthenia gravis presented for thymectomy and excisional biopsy of a right lung mass via median sternotomy. A preoperative chest computed tomography revealed an anterior mediastinal mass suggestive of thymoma and two small right lower lobe densities. She was otherwise healthy and exercised vigorously 3 to 4 times per week. Her myasthenia, diagnosed 2 months previously, caused mild symptoms, including occasional diplopia and mild upper extremity weakness. These symptoms resolved only partially with the use of pyridostigmine, 120 mg orally three times daily. The patient’s preoperative pulmonary function tests were normal, and her preoperative electrocardiogram exhibited normal sinus rhythm at 60 beats per minute.

On the day of surgery, the patient took her morning pyridostigmine dose. After placement of an IV catheter, she was taken to the operating room, where routine monitors were applied. Initial vital signs were: blood pressure, 150/65; heart rate, 78 beats per minute; and oxygen saturation, 100%. She received midazolam intravenously in 2-mg increments while a thoracic epidural (T6) was placed. The epidural, placed solely for postoperative pain relief, was tested with 3 ml lidocaine, 2%, with 150 μg epinephrine. This test dose resulted in a small thoracic band of anesthesia with no change in heart rate, blood pressure, or motor function. No further medications were administered via the epidural catheter during operative period. At the conclusion of epidural placement, the patient had received a total of 10 μg midazolam and was still fully alert and anxious. Her vital signs at that time were blood pressure, 133/72; heart rate, 75 beats per minute; and oxygen saturation, 100%. After placement of a radial arterial catheter, a loading dose of 1 μg/kg dexmedetomidine was given over 10 min, followed by an infusion at 0.2 μg/kg/h. The patient initially exhibited a transient increase in blood pressure (145/78), and her heart rate decreased to 48 beats per minute.

General anesthesia was induced with 250 μg fentanyl, and 200 mg propofol intravenously. No neuromuscular blockade was used. The patient’s vital signs remained stable through induction and laryngoscopy, with heart rate 46–50 beats per minute. Anesthesia was main-
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**Discussion**

Dexmedetomidine is an α-2 adrenergic receptor agonist with sedative, analgesic, and anxiolytic properties. It has a selectivity for α-2 receptors eightfold greater than clonidine, and it is considered a full agonist at the α-2 adrenergic receptor.1 Dexmedetomidine has been shown to decrease opioid and inhaled anesthetic requirements, making it an attractive adjunct to general anesthesia.2,5 When administered to healthy volunteers, dexmedetomidine causes a dose-dependent decrease in blood pressure and heart rate but does not demonstrate clinically relevant respiratory depression, despite its profound sedative effects.4,5 Its sympatholytic properties, in conjunction with its anesthetic actions, make it an attractive choice for coronary artery surgery6,7 as well as other major operations.7–10 Dexmedetomidine has been observed to display a biphasic arterial blood pressure response, causing transient increase in pressure followed by a sustained decrease. The observed heart rate response seems to be a combination of a baroreflex-mediated reduction in heart rate, coinciding with the transient increase in blood pressure, centrally mediated reduced sympathetic tone, and increased vagal tone.1,4

Severe bradycardia following administration of α-2 agonists is well documented.1,11,12 To our knowledge, however, this is the first reported clinical case of asystole related to the use of dexmedetomidine. A number of factors may have contributed to the development of asystole in this patient. We believe a centrally mediated decrease in sympathetic outflow and increase in parasympathetic outflow resulting from dexmedetomidine, as well as the patient’s autonomic response to abrupt surgical stimulation, were the primary contributors. The bradycardic response observed with dexmedetomidine can be augmented by the concurrent use of other medications with negative chronotropic and/or vagal effects. For example, significant bradycardia was observed in a...
5-week-old infant treated with digoxin during sedation with dexametomidine. In our case, the patient was being treated with pyridostigmine, which increases vagal tone. Pyridostigmine, an anticholinesterase used for the symptomatic treatment of myasthenia gravis, increases the concentration of acetylcholine at muscarinic and nicotinic receptors. The activation of cardiac muscarinic receptors accounts for the drug’s negative chronotropic effect, which is exacerbated by the concomitant administration of other negative chronotropes such as digitalis, calcium channel blockers, and beta blockers. Pyridostigmine is known to antagonize and may also potentiate neuromuscular blockers; however, it has no reported interactions with other often used anesthetic agents. Although it is unclear whether the pyridostigmine had any significant cardiovascular effects on this patient, it may have interacted with dexametomidine in an additive or synergistic fashion.

This patient likely had increased vagal tone at baseline, given her level of physical activity and low resting heart rate. Bloor et al. also noted bradycardia/rhythmia in three patients within minutes of dexametomidine infusion, when the plasma concentration of dexametomidine is presumably high. Their study involved healthy young men with low resting heart rates. These events were associated with increased blood pressure, and Bloor et al. attributed them to reflex-mediated slowing secondary to peripheral hypertensive response. In our patient, the severe bradycardia progressing to asystole coincided temporally with the sternotomy and sternal retraction. This surgical stimulus may have produced a vaso-vagal response, which led to cardiac standstill. Another possibility is that, on sternal retraction, mechanosensory receptors in the heart were stimulated, resulting in the Bezold-Jarisch reflex. This reflex, mediated by vagal efferents and decreased sympathetic vasomotor tone, may cause severe bradycardia and hypotension. The patient was given a modest dose of fentanyl prior to induction of anesthesia. Dexametomidine has been shown to reduce opioid requirements as well as augment the bradycardia that may be observed with their use. Another possible, but unlikely, factor in this case is the epidural. As mentioned previously, the epidural was placed to provide only postoperative pain relief, and no other medications were administered epidurally during surgery. Bradycardia and cardiac arrest are well-documented complications of spinal and epidural anesthesia. However, although the small epidural test dose could have caused a modest amount of sympatholysis, an hour had elapsed between the placement and testing of the epidural and the episode of asystole. This argues against sympatholysis from the epidural playing a significant role in this event.

In summary, we report a case of severe bradycardia progressing to asystole in a patient receiving a dexametomidine infusion to supplement general anesthesia. The prompt and effective treatment of this event resulted in complete recovery with no evidence of cardiovascular or neurologic compromise. Several factors combined to cause asystole in this patient, and caution must be exercised when administering dexametomidine in the presence of other negative chronotropic influences.
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