Ropivacaine-induced Cardiac Arrest after Peripheral Nerve Block: Successful Resuscitation


CASE REPORTS

A 66-yr-old woman (height, 150 cm; weight, 45 kg; American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status II) was scheduled for right hallux valgus surgery with the patient receiving regional anesthesia. The patient had a single kidney, but her medical history disclosed no renal dysfunction. She presented with no cardiac, neurologic, or pulmonary alterations. Her unique treatment was hormone replacement therapy. She had already undergone left hallux valgus surgical treatment 3 months ago in the same institution. Although regional anesthesia had failed for the first procedure and general anesthesia had to be used, the patient agreed that regional anesthesia be tried again. Hydroxyzine failed for the first procedure and general anesthesia had to be used, the patient had no awareness of the incident, had no sequelae, and was informed.

This article is accompanied by an Editorial View. Please see:

Discussion

Ropivacaine is an amino amide local anesthetic that differs from bupivacaine by the substitution of a propyl group for a butyl group on the nitrogen of the piperidine group. This substitution, which is associated with a lower lipid solubility and commercial release as a pure S-enantiomer, confers to ropivacaine a neurologic and cardiac toxicity inferior to that of an equal dose of bupivacaine. 8,9 Greater safety is expected with use of large doses. In different studies, extremely high ropivacaine plasma concentrations after regional anesthesia (from 2 to 5.6 mg/l) were obtained without neurologic and cardiac toxicity. 4,5 Several accidents after ropivacaine use have, however, been reported. Table 1 summarizes previously reported cases in which total ropivacaine venous plasma concentrations were ob-
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Table 1. Previously Reported Cases of Severe Neurologic or Cardiac Adverse Effects Induced by Ropivacaine after Regional Anesthesia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regional Anesthetic Technique, Reference No.</th>
<th>Dose of Ropivacaine Injected (mg)</th>
<th>Side Effect(s)</th>
<th>Plasma Concentration (mg/l)*</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Proposed Mechanism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Epidural anesthesia10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Convulsions, sinus tachycardia, intraventricular conduction defect</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Intravascular injection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epidural anesthesia11</td>
<td>279 mg/5 h (150 mg in 3 bolus last 30 min)</td>
<td>Convulsions</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Overdose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interscalene brachial plexus block12</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>Convulsions</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Overdose, 6.15 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interscalene brachial plexus block13</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Mental confusion, convulsions, sinus tachycardia, arterial hypertension</td>
<td>6.0, 5.4, 4.6, 4.0</td>
<td>40, 60, 80, 98</td>
<td>Overdose, 6 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachial plexus block14</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Mental confusion convulsions</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Intravascular injection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachial plexus block15</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Convulsions</td>
<td>3.3, 1.6, 1.2, 1.0</td>
<td>15, 45, 75, 155</td>
<td>Intravascular injection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midhumeral brachial plexus block16</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Convulsions</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Overdose, 4.28 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midhumeral brachial plexus block17</td>
<td>262.5</td>
<td>Mental confusion, convulsions, sinus tachycardia</td>
<td>5.22, 3.79</td>
<td>0, 15</td>
<td>Overdose, 5.36 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sciatic nerve block6</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>Convulsions, severe bradycardia, QRS enlargement complex</td>
<td>3.6, 1.6</td>
<td>7, 12</td>
<td>Intravascular injection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sciatic nerve block + 3-in-1 nerve block18</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>Convulsions, sinus tachycardia</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Intravascular injection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Total Ropivacaine plasma concentrations (venous samples).

These accidents were the consequence of direct intravascular injections (short onset) or secondary plasma absorption of an overdose (delayed clinical event). In the current case, cardiac arrest occurred. The absence of a neurologic or cardiac history, the time profile, and the symptoms observed suggest a ropivacaine-induced toxic accident. The total dose administered (i.e., 6.7 mg/kg) was definitely excessive. Although it is difficult to recommend a safe maximal dose because of interindividual variability, it is reasonable to accept a maximum ropivacaine dose of 3 mg/kg for an upper limb block and 4 mg/kg for a lower limb block. The total ropivacaine plasma concentration measured 10 min after the toxic event was 1.88 mg/l and appeared to be low compared with those in the available literature (Table 1). This may suggest a particular sensitivity of the patient to local anesthetic toxicity, as has already been observed in ropivacaine toxicity studies. Several healthy volunteers tolerated only low doses, and initial neurologic signs occurred at plasma concentrations (venous samples) between 0.5 and 1 mg/l; one patient tolerated a plasma concentration of 3.2 mg/l. Alternatively, the apparently low plasma concentration of ropivacaine might be related to the long interval between injection and cardiac arrest, allowing time for redistribution from blood to tissue and binding to plasma proteins.

The incidence of ropivacaine-induced cardiovascular events has been described as 6.1 cases per 1,000,000 patients, compared with 8 cases of ropivacaine-induced neurologic convulsions per 1,000,000 patients. In previous reported cases, cardiac complications during ropivacaine toxic accidents always followed severe neurologic signs (confusion and convulsions). In our case, however, only oculogyric movements were observed, possibly because the patient had received midazolam before the regional block. In healthy volunteers, ropivacaine can impair conduction and myocardial contraction, but these events occur at plasma concentrations much higher than with bupivacaine, suggesting better tolerance and thus confirming findings of animal experiments. Moreover, these effects are more rapidly reversible. During the only serious cardiac accident reported so far, ropivacaine was responsible for severe intraventricular and atrioventricular conduction defects, which led to severe bradycardia; the total ropivacaine plasma concentration was 3.6 mg/l (free concentration, 0.69 mg/l) 7 min after the accident, and the authors estimated the plasma concentration to be around 7.5 mg/l during the accident. A similar sequence of event mechanisms occurred in our patient and was associated with depression of myocardial contractility and cardiac arrest. As in the case reported by Ruetsch et al., resuscitation was immediate and successful. No ventricular arrhythmias were observed. Although cardiopulmonary resuscitation was certainly facilitated by early treatment, the intrinsic lower cardiotoxicity (as compared with bupivacaine) certainly explains the outcome. Moreover, the treatment was not only successful but also very simple, contrasting the rash of bupivacaine-associated cardiac arrest that is often very difficult to treat because it may require cardiopulmonary bypass.

We report a case of cardiac arrest induced by ropivacaine overdose. Cardiorespiratory resuscitation was successful and easy to perform, substantiating previous data.
showing that ropivacaine is less cardiotoxic than bupivacaine.
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Cardiac Arrest after Injection of Ropivacaine for Posterior Lumbar Plexus Blockade

Olivier Huet, M.D.,* Luc J. Eyrolle, M.D.,† Jean X. Mazoit, Ph.D., M.D.,‡ Yves M. Ozier, M.D.§

LUMBAR plexus blockade is used for intraoperative and postoperative analgesia. Its performance is sometimes difficult, and the procedure carries the risk of vascular, dural, or epidural puncture.1,2 These concerns, particularly the risk of intravascular injection, has recently led many to use ropivacaine. This choice is based both on its long duration of action and because it is believed to be less cardiotoxic than bupivacaine.3 To date, there have been very few reports of severe cardiac or central neurologic complications after the administration of ropivacaine.1–6 However, we now report a case of cardiac arrest that occurred after accidental intravenous injection of ropivacaine for posterior lumbar plexus blockade.

Case Report

A 66-yr-old man (American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status II, 100 kg, 171 cm) was scheduled for elective hip arthroplasty. Significant medical history included coronary disease with two previous myocardial infarctions (in 1988 and 1999) with coronary angioplasty in 1999. Medication included aspirin (stopped 8 days before and replaced by flurbiprofen), metoprolol, perindopril, and simvastatin. Hematologic studies prior to surgery were all normal. His electrocardiogram showed a normal sinus rhythm with a heart rate of 70 beats/min.

The patient received hydroxyzine 50 mg orally 1 h before surgery. After placement of standard monitors (electrocardiogram, pulse oximetry, and automatic cuffed arterial blood pressure), peripheral venous...
access was established and 5 μg of sufentanil was administered. Using the Winnie technique, the patient was placed in the right lateral decubitus Latibuc position and cutaneous marks were made. After sterile preparation, the skin was infiltrated with 2% lidocaine (8 mg). A short (100-mm long, 21-gauge) bevel needle (Stimuplex A; B. Braun Melsungen AG, Melsungen, Germany) with an injection line and connection to a neurostimulator was inserted percutaneously to the skin. A quadriceps response was elicited at 0.68 mA (0.1-ms pulse width) with a peripheral nerve stimulator. The depth of the needle was 100 mm. There was no spontaneous blood return and no blood could be aspirated. After aspiration, 25 ml of ropivacaine 0.75% (187.5 mg) was injected over 2 min in 5-ml increments, with intermittent attempts at withdrawal. Verbal contact was maintained during the injection, and no early sign of systemic toxicity occurred. However, 2 min after completion of the injection, the patient suddenly became unresponsive and showed tonic-clonic generalized seizures. He received 10 l/min oxygen via facemask, and 30 mg diazepam was injected. Because of the seizures, it was impossible to note any initial change on the electrocardiogram. One minute after the beginning of the seizures, movement stopped and asystole was apparent on the monitor. Tracheal intubation was performed and cardiopulmonary resuscitation was started. A bolus of 1 mg epinephrine was injected intravenously and was repeated once after 3 min. Cardiac activity was restored within 5 min with subsequent hemodynamic stability. The electrocardiogram showed a bradycardia with heart rate at 42 beats/min and widening of the electrocardiographic wave complex. The electrocardiogram was restored progressively in 10 min to normality, and the patient was transferred to the recovery room. At 1 h after ropivacaine injection, an arterial blood sample revealed metabolic acidosis with pH at 7.10. Lactate concentration in plasma was 11.7 U/ml, but PaO2 and PaCO2 were normal. Troponin I was less than 0.2 U, and both liver and muscle enzymes were normal. There was no evidence of a lumbar apophysis, which is part of the technique, was not achieved. Nevertheless, nerve stimulation suggested adequate needle location, and lack of spontaneous blood reflux and the negative aspiration test led us to proceed with the injection. In retrospect, we should have used the same precautions as during epidural anesthesia (test dose, slow and divided dose injection).

The severe adverse reaction observed, and the measured ropivacaine plasma concentrations, suggest that at least a large part of 187.5-mg ropivacaine dose was accidentally intravascularly injected. However, the very high “time-zero” concentration of ropivacaine (17.44 μg/ml) extrapolated from our pharmacologic model must be cautiously interpreted. The model is only an estimate and is based on three blood samples, and we do not suggest that all other pharmacologic parameters were completely defined during the few minutes after cardiac arrest and during cardiopulmonary resuscitation (e.g., volume distribution, clearance). However, the first measured plasma concentration performed 5 min after completion of the injection (5.61 mg/l) is clearly over the range of the experimental human threshold for central nervous system and cardiac toxicity. When given as an intravenous infusion in volunteers, Scott et al.8 showed a threshold for the appearance of convulsions at a ropivacaine plasma concentration of 1–2 mg/l. In a similar study, Knudsen et al.9 noted a threshold at 2.2 mg/l (0.5–3.2 mg/l).

Ropivacaine is an amino amide local anesthetic that has shown less neurologic and cardiac toxicity compared with bupivacaine. Knudsen et al.9 compared the incidence of central nervous system symptoms and changes in echography and electrophysiology during intravenous infusion of ropivacaine or bupivacaine in healthy volunteers. They showed that the maximum tolerated dose was higher for ropivacaine than for bupivacaine. Similarly, Reiz et al.10 showed in pigs that the electrophysiologic toxicity ratio was lower for ropivacaine than for bupivacaine. Although the mechanism of cardiotoxicity is more complex than originally presumed, more recent studies agree with a significant advantage of ropivacaine.11–15

However, we stress that most of the clinical studies comparing nervous and cardiac toxicity of ropivacaine and bupivacaine have not been performed with equipotent doses. Actually, the doses used in clinical practice to ensure effective regional anesthesia are higher for ropivacaine than for bupivacaine. Nevertheless, in the current case, although massive plasma concentration was accidentally injected, cardiopulmonary resuscitation was quite easy and was rapidly successful without after-effects, because efficient cardiac activity was obtained after 5 min of cardiac compressions and 2 mg of epinephrine.

Cardiac resuscitation is always difficult to evaluate as a clinical model, but experimental literature reveals a lower incidence of unsuccessful cardiopulmonary resus-

Discussion

Lumbar plexus blockade is widely used for analgesia in elective hip arthroplasty. However, patient morphology can make this technique more difficult. Our patient’s excess weight (body mass index, 34.1 kg/m2) made blockade difficult. Surface landmarks were difficult to determine, and the 100-mm-long needle might have been inadequate. Finally, the bone contact with costiform apophysis, which is part of the technique, was not
citation after ropivacaine than bupivacaine. In a rat model, Ohmura et al.\textsuperscript{14} emphasized that ropivacaine-induced cardiac arrest seems to be more susceptible to treatment than that induced by bupivacaine or levobupivacaine. Groban et al.\textsuperscript{15} reported less epinephrine-induced ventricular fibrillation in ropivacaine-intoxicated dogs than in dogs given bupivacaine. Moreover, the plasma concentrations at collapse were larger for ropivacaine 19.8 $\mu$g/ml (10–39 $\mu$g/ml) compared with bupivacaine 5.7 $\mu$g/ml (5–18 $\mu$g/ml).

Only a few clinical cases of severe dysrhythmias occurring after ropivacaine injection have been previously reported.\textsuperscript{6} Because of its apparently low incidence of cardiac toxicity, ropivacaine is considered to be relatively safe and is widely used. However, ropivacaine is not totally nontoxic, and care should be taken when injecting any local anesthetic agent, whatever the site of administration (epidural or peripheral nerve).\textsuperscript{7}
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The mean BIS value at MAC 1.3 for halothane was significantly higher than that of sevo\textsuperscript{fl}flu\textsuperscript{fl}urane at equi-potent end-tidal anesthetic concentrations during surgical anesthesia.\textsuperscript{8} We chose 1.3 MAC as a clinical endpoint to compare halothane and sevoflurane to achieve adequate depth of anesthesia during airway manipulations and surgical stimulation. By contrast, the fact that BIS values at eye opening during emergence were identical for both halothane and sevoflurane supports the use of BIS for monitoring hypnosis during halothane anesthesia. Because halothane is widely used in pediatric anesthesia practice, these data may also have significant implications for patient safety in children. BIS monitoring seems to be a promising method for gauging depth of anesthesia in children,\textsuperscript{9} and the BIS-sevoflurane dose response appears to be similar to that seen in adults.\textsuperscript{10} Our data, however, suggest that BIS values during halothane anesthesia are likely to be higher than those seen during surgical halothane anesthesia are significantly higher than those seen with other volatile anesthetics, intraoperative BIS values do not accurately reflect the depth of halothane anesthesia and may lead to inadvertent overdosage if vital signs are not closely monitored. We believe our findings are the result of the differences in electroencephalogram signature of halothane compared to that of sevoflurane.\textsuperscript{7} Constant \textit{et al}. found that clinical concentrations of halothane produce relatively fast electroencephalogram rhythms, whereas sevoflurane produces progressively slower electroencephalogram activity with relatively few fast rhythms.\textsuperscript{7} Because the algorithm for deriving BIS remains proprietary, the impact of halothane anesthesia on BIS values could not have been predicted without clinically derived data. Indeed, the BIS value at MAC\textsubscript{1.3} for the halothane group is comparable to values observed during light anesthesia with sevoflurane.\textsuperscript{8}

The separation between the halothane and sevoflurane values as well as the dose-independent relationship between BIS values and end-tidal anesthetic concentrations. During emergence, the mean BIS value at eye opening was the same for both halothane and sevoflurane.

Figure 1 shows the observed mean BIS values at various end-tidal halothane and sevoflurane concentrations during surgical anesthesia. There was a nearly dose-independent relationship for both agents. Most BIS values for sevoflurane were clustered between 25 and 60; no BIS value was below 38 for halothane and some values were above 70 at MAC > 1.0.

\begin{table}
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Time Point} & \textbf{Halothane} & \textbf{Sevoflurane} \\
\hline
Baseline & 96 ± 1.2 & 97 ± 1.7 \\
MAC\textsubscript{1.3} & 57 ± 4.7 & 32 ± 5.9* \\
Eye opening & 87 ± 6.2 & 84 ± 6.3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Observed BIS Values at Data Collection Points}
\end{table}

Values are mean ± SD.

* \( P < 0.001 \) comparing halothane and sevoflurane BIS at MAC\textsubscript{1.3}. Baseline BIS is prior to induction of anesthesia; MAC\textsubscript{1.3} is during a 10-min period of minimal surgical stimulation.

BIS = bispectral index; MAC = minimum alveolar concentration; SD = standard deviation.

Categorical data are presented as raw values, and intergroup statistical contrasts were made using the Pearson chi-square test. Demographic data are summarized as mean ± 1 SD, and intergroup comparisons were made with the Student \( t \) test for independent observations. Outcome data are summarized as mean ± 1 SD, and intergroup statistical comparisons were made with a repeated measures analysis of variance. When the F ratio was significant at the 0.05 level, the Tukey honestly significant difference \textit{post hoc} test was used to distinguish intergroup differences. Statistical analyses were performed using Statistica software (version 6.0, StatSoft, Tulsa, OK).

All patients completed the protocol, although one did not complete the awakening portion of the study because of propofol administration at the end of surgery. The two study groups were comparable with respect to demographic data and hemodynamic parameters. The overall mean ages (± SD) for halothane and sevoflurane were 58 ± 15 and 54 ± 14, respectively; similarly, the overall weights were 73 ± 18 and 75 ± 27, respectively. Mean heart rates at MAC\textsubscript{1.3} were 72 ± 7 and 71 ± 11 for halothane and sevoflurane, respectively. Similarly, blood pressure readings at MAC\textsubscript{1.3} were 76 ± 11 and 74 ± 11, respectively. The mean BIS value at MAC\textsubscript{1.3} for halothane was significantly higher than that of sevoflurane at equi-potent end-tidal anesthetic concentrations (table 1). Given the differences in BIS at MAC\textsubscript{1.3}, an unexpected finding was that during emergence, the mean BIS value at eye opening was the same for both halothane and sevoflurane.

Discussion

Halothane is a commonly used anesthetic agent in American pediatric anesthesia practice, and it is still used in over 30 countries worldwide. Because BIS values during surgical halothane anesthesia are significantly higher than those seen with other volatile anesthetics, intraoperative BIS values do not accurately reflect the depth of halothane anesthesia and may lead to inadvertent overdosage if vital signs are not closely monitored. We believe our findings are the result of the differences in electroencephalogram signature of halothane compared to that of sevoflurane.\textsuperscript{7} Constant \textit{et al}. found that clinical concentrations of halothane produce relatively fast electroencephalogram rhythms, whereas sevoflurane produces progressively slower electroencephalogram activity with relatively few fast rhythms.\textsuperscript{7} Because the algorithm for deriving BIS remains proprietary, the impact of halothane anesthesia on BIS values could not have been predicted without clinically derived data. Indeed, the BIS value at MAC\textsubscript{1.3} for the halothane group is comparable to values observed during light anesthesia with sevoflurane.\textsuperscript{8} We chose 1.3 MAC as a clinical endpoint to compare halothane and sevoflurane to achieve adequate depth of anesthesia during airway manipulations and surgical stimulation. By contrast, the fact that BIS values at eye opening during emergence were identical for both halothane and sevoflurane supports the use of BIS for monitoring hypnosis during halothane anesthesia.

Because halothane is widely used in pediatric anesthesia practice, these data may also have significant implications for patient safety in children. BIS monitoring seems to be a promising method for gauging depth of anesthesia in children,\textsuperscript{9} and the BIS-sevoflurane dose response appears to be similar to that seen in adults.\textsuperscript{10} Our data, however, suggest that BIS values during halothane anesthesia are likely to be higher than those seen during surgical halothane anesthesia.
with sevoflurane and may lead an unsuspecting clinician to administer excessive halothane in an attempt to achieve an “adequate” BIS value. Because halothane overdosage is an ongoing source of concern in pediatric anesthesia, understanding how halothane affects the BIS in this population seems warranted.

In summary, we have found that BIS values during surgical levels of halothane anesthesia are significantly higher than those found at equi-potent concentrations of sevoflurane. Caution is warranted when BIS monitoring is used during halothane anesthesia, because unexpectedly high BIS values might give the impression of inadequate anesthetic depth and result in inadvertent overdosage.
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Severe Airway Obstruction during Arthroscopic Shoulder Surgery

Stephan Blumenthal, M.D., Marco Nadig, M.D., Christian Gerber, M.D.,† Alain Borgeat, M.D.,‡

THE use of arthroscopic techniques has provided a major advance in the diagnosis and treatment of shoulder injuries. However, shoulder arthroscopy is not a technique void of complications. We describe a patient who presented with severe airway obstruction caused by tracheal compression due to extraarticular arthroscopy fluid accumulation during arthroscopic shoulder surgery. An interscalene block provided anesthesia.

Case Report

A 55-yr old man with a history of a painful rotator cuff rupture and American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status II was scheduled for left shoulder arthroscopy. Except for obesity (body mass index, 31), preoperative physical examination and laboratory findings were normal. After premedication with 7.5 mg midazolam, an interscalene catheter (Polymedic® 22 gauge; Te Me Na, Bondi, France) was placed according to the modified lateral approach. The procedure was uneventful, and 50 ml ropivacaine, 0.5% (250 mg), was administered through the catheter, with a surgical block achieved within 20 min. After 25 min, the patient was placed in the right lateral decubitus with the left shoulder up. Monitoring included pulse oximetry, electrocardiography, and noninvasive blood pressure determination. Supplemental analgesia (for pain in the right hip) was achieved with a remifentanil-controlled infusion (target concentration, 2.0 ng/ml). The patient remained awake with a respiratory rate of 14 breaths/min. After insertion of the arthroscopic, the subacromial space was inflated by infusion with lactated Ringer’s solution, and his blood pressure was maintained between 80 and 100 mmHg.

The surgical procedure was uneventful during the first 110 min. Suddenly, the patient started to complain about breathing difficulties and a feeling of pressure on the throat. Within minutes, the patient became restless and developed severe dyspnea; the drapes were quickly removed, and the patient was placed in a supine position. Massive swelling of the left side of the chest and of the anterior portion of the neck extending to the face was noted. Oxygen saturation decreased quickly below 50%, and the patient became bradycardiac (heart rate, 38 beats/min). Emergency intubation was unsuccessful because the laryngeal structures could not be identified. Prednisone (200 mg) was given intravenously, and an incision was made by the surgeon at the level of the cricothyroid membrane to perform a tracheotomy. Immediately after the skin incision, manual ventilation became possible, and oxygen saturation increased to 80% by relief of pressure on the trachea through mere distension of the tissue. The heart rate returned to normal. The tracheotomy procedure was stopped, and a second attempt at orotracheal intubation was now successful despite massive swelling of the tissue surrounding the airways. The patient’s blood pressure remained stable. The incisions at the neck and shoulder were closed, and the patient was transferred to the intensive care unit, where he remained intubated for another 24 h. The patient was extubated the next day with no problems, and no sequelae were observed.
Discussion

We report another case of a severe airway problem during arthroscopic shoulder surgery performed during interscalene brachial plexus blockade and sedation. To our knowledge, only two cases have been reported before with extrarticular spread of arthroscopic irrigation fluid compromising the airway. The extrarticular leakage of fluid is a well-recognized complication of shoulder arthroscopy; extravasation into the deltoid muscle and the chest frequently occurs, but usually it is without clinically significant symptoms and most often is reabsorbed within 12 h. Risk factors for an extended loss of irrigation fluid into soft tissue are increased pump pressure, obesity, duration of arthroscopic procedure, and arthroscopy within the subacromial space, because this space is not enclosed within a capsule.

The worldwide use of regional anesthetic techniques is increasing; in parallel, an increasing number of patients are sedated during placement of the block and during surgery. Brown et al. demonstrated that the interscalene block compared with general anesthesia for shoulder arthroscopy was associated postoperatively with fewer side effects, shorter hospital stay, and higher patient satisfaction. In this context, sedation is likely to be increasingly used. This case emphasizes the need for sedation that must be predictable, rapidly reversible, and easily monitored. As shown here, the patient’s ability to communicate with the anesthetist and to complain about discomfort and airway problems during shoulder arthroscopy are crucial to a well-managed sedation. Direct communication with the patient permits early recognition of complications, such as any difficulty in swallowing or throat sensation, which are ominous signs of airway swelling.
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Steven L. Orebaugh, M.D.*

ARTHROSCOPIC shoulder surgery is an accepted technique for managing rotator cuff tears, recurrent joint instability, and subacromial pathology. Shoulder arthroscopy has several important advantages over open techniques, including less postoperative pain and more rapid rehabilitation. Regional anesthesia for arthroscopic shoulder surgery is increasingly used. Advantages include less overall anesthetic drugs received by the patient, reduced postoperative analgesic requirements, lower rates of postoperative nausea, and reduced admission rates.

Rarely, arthroscopic shoulder surgery under regional anesthesia produces complications that compromise the patient’s airway. This report describes a case of life-threatening airway edema due to extracapsular irrigation fluid collection during a prolonged arthroscopic repair.

Case Report

A 49-yr-old, healthy man presented for right shoulder arthroscopic surgery, subacromial decompression, and rotator cuff repair. He was receiving no medications and had no drug allergies. On physical examination, the patient was 70 inches tall, weighed 82 kg, and had clear lungs and regular heart sounds. His oral examination revealed a Mallampati class 2 airway, with a normal cervical range of motion. In the preoperative holding area, the patient received 2 mg intravenous midazolam and 100 µg of fentanyl for sedation. The right side of his neck was prepared in sterile fashion. With the aid of a nerve stimulator (Stimuplex, B. Braun, Bethlehem, PA), a 25-mm, 24-gauge, insulated needle was used to elicit stimulation of the deltoid muscle, with a current of 0.4 mA. Then, 40 ml of levobupivacaine, 0.5%, with epinephrine 1:300,000, was injected, and brachial plexus block was evident within 10 min. The patient was placed in the “beach-chair” position in the operating room, monitors were attached, and sedation was initiated with a
Propofol infusion of 100 \( \mu g \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot min^{-1} \). The patient was unconscious but was able to be aroused and continued to breathe supplemental oxygen spontaneously; end-tidal carbon dioxide was monitored via a catheter placed inside the oxygen mask.

Arthroscopy proceeded uneventfully for several hours. Joint irrigation was accomplished with lactated Ringer’s solution with diluted epinephrine, using an infusion pump with pressures varying between 50 cm \( H_2O \) and 150 cm \( H_2O \). The patient’s arterial oxygen saturation (\( SO_2 \)) remained in the 97–98% range. However, approximately 3 h after the procedure was begun, the \( SO_2 \) began to decrease, and audible stridor occurred. End-tidal carbon dioxide tension levels decreased from 15–20 mmHg to unobtainable levels. The surgeon was notified that severe neck and chest edema had caused respiratory arrest and that intubation would be necessary. Drapes were removed, revealing extensive swelling across the entire chest and neck as well as facial edema. The right side of the chest was woody in texture because of the extreme edema, and the overlying skin appeared mottled. Neck mobility was compromised, and laryngeal landmarks were not recognizable visibly or by palpation.

The \( SO_2 \) rapidly decreased to 67%, cyanosis supervened, and there was no evidence of effective spontaneous ventilation. Positive pressure ventilation by facemask was impossible. The patient resisted attempts to open the mouth, and general anesthesia was induced with a bolus of 120 mg of propofol and 120 mg of succinylcholine. Direct laryngoscopy revealed marked airway edema, with bulging pharyngeal tissues that made recognition of the glottis impossible.

On failure of direct laryngoscopy, a Laryngeal Mask AirwayTM (LMA UniqueTM, LMA of North America, Los Angeles, CA) was inserted into the pharynx and ventilation was initiated. The patient’s chest was noted to rise with manual ventilation, and the \( SO_2 \) rapidly climbed to 95%, with detectable levels of end-tidal carbon dioxide. However, the \( SO_2 \) began to deteriorate once again, necessitating a more secure airway. A fiberscope was passed into the LMA UniqueTM, and the aperture of the airway was recognized but was much reduced in size by the surrounding edema. The tip of the scope was passed through the laryngeal inlet, and a 6.0-mm endotracheal tube (ETT) was passed successfully.

Preparations were made to change the tube to one with greater length than the 6.0-mm ETT, which is restricted to a very short segment in the trachea by the LMA UniqueTM. A tube-exchange device (Cook Critical Care, Bloomington, IN) was inserted into the pharynx and ventilation was initiated. The patient’s chest was noted to rise with manual ventilation, and the \( SO_2 \) rapidly climbed to 95%, with detectable levels of end-tidal carbon dioxide. However, the \( SO_2 \) began to deteriorate once again, necessitating a more secure airway. A fiberscope was passed into the LMA UniqueTM, and the aperture of the airway was recognized but was much reduced in size by the surrounding edema. The tip of the scope was passed through the laryngeal inlet, and a 6.0-mm endotracheal tube (ETT) was passed successfully.

Discussion

This report describes an episode of severe airway edema occurring as a complication of shoulder arthroscopic surgery. Complications related to shoulder arthroscopy are not infrequent. Small et al.\(^8\) describes an incidence of complications of 5.3%. Bigliani et al.\(^9\) characterized the frequency of complications as 1–3.2%, depending on the nature of the procedure. Complications described include extravasation of fluid, traction neuropathies, intraoperative hemorrhage, infections, cartilage injury, and reflex sympathetic dystrophy.

However, respiratory compromise related to shoulder arthroscopy procedures is rare. Causes include air embolism,\(^1\) pneumothorax,\(^6\) mediastinal air,\(^7\) tracheal compression,\(^5\) and complete airway obstruction from edema.\(^1\) Borgeat et al.\(^3\) reported a case of airway compromise in a patient who underwent interscalene block for an arthroscopic procedure on the left shoulder. Infusion of normal saline into the shoulder was instituted at a pressure of 80 mmHg, and then increased after 2 hr, at which time the surgeon noted a leak of fluid from the joint. The patient then complained of neck pressure, shortness of breath, and chest tightness. Wheezing and marked left-sided chest and neck edema were evident on examination. The patient, who was in the lateral decubitus position, was placed supine with her head elevated. She did not develop hypoxemia, and she recovered with no further intervention.

Complete airway obstruction during arthroscopic shoulder surgery occurred in a case described by Hynson et al.\(^4\) After interscalene block, the patient was placed in the left lateral decubitus position and was lightly sedated. An arthroscopy pump was used to irrigate the joint with lactated Ringer’s solution. After 1 h and 45 min, the patient became dyspneic and was unable to phonate. Severe edema of the operative side of the chest and neck were observed. Attempted ventilation by facemask failed, and the patient was intubated. Because of massive pharyngeal edema, a 7.0-mm ETT could not be passed, and a 6.0-mm tube was inserted instead. By the next day, the edema had significantly improved, and the patient’s trachea was extubated.

In both of the cases described, a degree of alertness allowed the patient to complain of neck pressure or dyspnea.\(^4\)\(^5\) Deeper degrees of sedation, such as in the present case, do not allow for such early verbal warnings that might avert the necessity of emergent ventilation or intubation.

Berjano et al.\(^10\) reported a series of complications in arthroscopic shoulder surgery in which he noted four cases of severe edema involving the cervical region, out of a total of 141 cases performed. Surgery in these cases was performed in the lateral decubitus position. Of these four, one required reintubation after surgery and admission to the intensive care unit.
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Factors that may underlie the accumulation of extra-articular edema include the use of an irrigation pump for infusion of fluid into the joint, especially at high pressures,5,9,11 and the duration of surgery.4 The overall volume of irrigation fluid used is likely to contribute as well. Fluid escape is most common during arthroscopic acromioplasty, because the subacromial space is not encapsulated.12 In addition, fluid may escape through the insertion portals of the surgical instruments.11 Hynson et al.4 noted that patients’ neck circumferences increased up to 5 cm after even routine shoulder arthroscopies.

In sum, this is the first reported case of airway obstruction from fluid extravasation during shoulder arthroscopy in the beach-chair position. Airway management was complicated by marked edema, and LMA UniqueTM insertion followed by fiberoptic intubation was life saving. Measures that should be observed to prevent this potentially fatal complication include maintaining a light degree of sedation, restricting the duration of the procedure, and minimizing the arthroscopy pump pressures and amount of fluid used for joint irrigation. In addition, hourly evaluation of the degree of neck swelling can forewarn the occurrence of severe edema and allow cessation of the procedure and tracheal intubation if necessary.
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