A priori knowledge of which drug and dosage would be most effective for an individual patient, as well as which drugs might provoke idiosyncratic adverse reactions, would greatly facilitate medical care. The significance of such knowledge is highlighted by a meta-analysis of US hospitals from 1966 to 1996 in which a 6.7% incidence of serious adverse drug reactions was reported \( (i.e., \text{drug reactions associated with prolonged hospital stay, death, or permanent disability but not including adverse reactions caused by drug administration errors or overdose}) \). Adverse drug reactions typically lengthened hospital stays by 1–4 days and increased costs by $2,300–5,600. \( 2 \) Therefore, the ability to predict a patient’s response to a particular drug is of both medical and socioeconomic importance.

Rapid advances in molecular biology have enabled researchers to identify associations between an individual’s genetic profile and drug response. Pharmacogenetics refers to the study of the molecular mechanisms that underlie individual differences in drug metabolism, efficacy, and side effects. The pharmacogenetics of commonly used anesthetic and analgesic agents are reviewed.

PREDICTING a patient’s response to a particular drug has long been a goal of clinicians. A priori knowledge of which drug and dosage would be most effective for an individual patient, as well as which drugs might provoke idiosyncratic adverse reactions, would greatly facilitate medical care. The significance of such knowledge is highlighted by a meta-analysis of US hospitals from 1966 to 1996 in which a 6.7% incidence of serious adverse drug reactions was reported \( (i.e., \text{drug reactions associated with prolonged hospital stay, death, or permanent disability but not including adverse reactions caused by drug administration errors or overdose}) \). Adverse drug reactions typically lengthened hospital stays by 1–4 days and increased costs by $2,300–5,600. \( 2 \) Therefore, the ability to predict a patient’s response to a particular drug is of both medical and socioeconomic importance.

Rapid advances in molecular biology have enabled researchers to identify associations between an individual’s genetic profile and drug response. Pharmacogenetics refers to the study of the molecular mechanisms that underlie individual differences in drug metabolism, efficacy, and side effects. The pharmacogenetics of commonly used anesthetic and analgesic agents are reviewed.

Basic Molecular Concepts

The molecular structure of every protein present in humans is encoded by DNA. DNA consists of four types of nucleotides, each containing a phosphate group, a sugar, and one of four purine or pyrimidine bases: adenine (A), guanine (G), thymine (T), or cytosine (C). DNA exists as a double helix within the cell nucleus, with base pairing of purines (A and G) to pyrimidines (T and C) between the two backbone strands of phosphate and sugar residues. Variation within the sequence of these base pairs comprises the genetic code.

Each DNA strand serves as a template for messenger RNA (mRNA) transcription catalyzed by RNA polymerase within the cell nucleus. A promoter region, typically 25–200 base pairs proximal to the 5’ transcription initiation site, determines which of the two DNA strands will be transcribed into mRNA by orientating RNA polymerase in a specific direction. The amount and timing of DNA transcription is also regulated by binding of various gene regulatory proteins known as transcription factors to the promoter region or to specific DNA sequences distant from the promoter region known as enhancer regions. Promoter and enhancer regions together thus modulate protein production by regulating the amount of mRNA transcribed. After transcription, the mRNA is further modified within the cell nucleus by splicing whereby introns (areas within the gene that do not code for protein) are excised by enzymes called spliceosomes.

The remaining exons (areas of DNA that code for protein) are then joined together. The final mature mRNA is in drug metabolism and response. In contrast, pharmacogenomics refers to the study of the many different genes that determine drug behavior. The purpose of this review is to describe the pharmacogenetics of commonly used anesthetic and analgesic agents (table 1). Note that this review focuses only on drugs directly related to the provision of anesthesia. Individuals interested in the pharmacogenetics of other drugs commonly used in the perioperative or intensive care unit setting \( (e.g., \beta \text{ blockers, antiarrhythmics}) \) are referred to the excellent reviews by Bukaveckas et al. 5 Body and Shernan, 4 and Iohom et al. 5
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**Table 1. Example Genotypes Linked to Individual Differences in the Metabolism, Efficacy, and Side Effects of Commonly Used Anesthetic Agents**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Allele</th>
<th>Effect Associated with Allele*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Halothane, other inhalation anesthetics, isoﬂurane, succinylcholine</td>
<td>CYP2E1</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Hepatitis&lt;sup&gt;30–32&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Succinylcholine, mivacurium</td>
<td>RYR1</td>
<td>≥23 different mutant alleles</td>
<td>Malignant hyperthermia syndrome&lt;sup&gt;17,19–24&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diazepam</td>
<td>Plasma butyrylcholinesterase CYP2C19</td>
<td>“Atypical” allele “Silent” allele G681A</td>
<td>Prolonged muscle relaxation&lt;sup&gt;8,10&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midazolam</td>
<td>CYP3A4</td>
<td>A290G (*1B)</td>
<td>Reduced clearance of systemic (but not oral) midazolam&lt;sup&gt;16&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midazolam</td>
<td>CYP3A5</td>
<td>A22893G (*3)</td>
<td>Reduced clearance of systemic (but not oral) midazolam&lt;sup&gt;14,15&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphine, morphine-6-glucuronide</td>
<td>µ-Opioid receptor</td>
<td>A118G</td>
<td>Reduced nausea, vomiting, sedation, drowsiness&lt;sup&gt;40,41&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphine</td>
<td>Uridine diphosphate glucuronosyl transferase</td>
<td>C-161T and C802T</td>
<td>More rapid glucuronidation&lt;sup&gt;43&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Codeine</td>
<td>CYP2D6</td>
<td>G1846A (*4)</td>
<td>Slower conversion of codeine to morphine; reduction in analgesic effect (but not adverse effects)&lt;sup&gt;46&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tramadol</td>
<td>CYP2D6</td>
<td>G1846A (*4)</td>
<td>Slower conversion to O- and N-demethyltramadol; greater need for rescue analgesics after surgery&lt;sup&gt;49,50&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methadone</td>
<td>CYP2D6</td>
<td>G1846A (*4)</td>
<td>Slower metabolic clearance (although not consistently)&lt;sup&gt;51,52&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celecoxib, naproxen, piroxicam, ibuprofen, flurbiprofen</td>
<td>CYP2C9</td>
<td>A1075C (*3)</td>
<td>Slower metabolism&lt;sup&gt;64–69&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetaminophen</td>
<td>CYP2E1, Tumor necrosis factor β</td>
<td>c2, B2</td>
<td>Accelerated elimination rate&lt;sup&gt;73&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Various NSAIDs</td>
<td>HLA-DRB1</td>
<td>*11</td>
<td>Lower risk of encephalopathy in patients with acetaminophen-induced acute liver failure&lt;sup&gt;74&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
<td> </td>
<td>Higher risk of anaphylactoid reaction&lt;sup&gt;76&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Effects described are those of the variant allele compared with the wild-type allele for each polymorphism.

CYP = cytochrome P450; HLA = human leukocyte antigen; NSAID = nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drug; RYR1 = ryanodine receptor.

transferred to the cell cytoplasm where it undergoes ribosomal translation into the various proteins of the body. Each sequential triplet of mRNA bases is called a codon, with each codon encoding an amino acid.

A gene is a hereditary coding unit composed of a specific DNA sequence occupying a specific position or locus within a chromosome (i.e., a long DNA molecule and its associated proteins). Humans have 23 pairs of chromosomes. All genes have a common structure that includes a 5' untranslated region, exons, introns, and a 3' untranslated region. An allele is any of two or more alternative forms of a gene occupying the same chromosomal locus. The most common type of human genetic or allelic variation is the single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP), a “point mutation” or position at which two alternative nucleotides occur. To date, more than 13 million SNPs have been identified. Allelic variation may also occur secondary to other types of mutations, including the insertion, deletion, translocation, or inversion of DNA segments. The words mutation and polymor-

Although most mutations are “silent” (i.e., they have no effect on the composition or amount of resultant protein produced), allelic variation may significantly alter the phenotype of an organism (i.e., its outward, physical manifestations). For example, allelic variation may significantly affect drug metabolism, efficacy, and side effects (fig. 1). Further, because every individual carries two alleles of most genes (one inherited from each parent), the clinical effects of a mutation may be magnified when the mutation occurs in both alleles (homozygous expression), rather than in a single allele (heterozygous expression). An individual who expresses an abnormal, dysfunctional copy of a drug-metabolizing enzyme on only one chromosome (heterozygote) may have a clinically insignificant reduction in drug clear-
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activity. Therefore, the effects of a mutation may be additive. Some mutations act in a dominant fashion, so that expression of a single abnormal gene copy is sufficient to result in disease. In contrast, other mutations are recessive in that abnormal gene copies on both chromosomes must be expressed for disease to occur.

Cystic fibrosis or sickle cell disease are examples of Mendelian traits, traits for which single or multiple changes in the genetic code induce a profound response in a "yes/no" fashion (i.e., an individual either has or does not have the trait). Mendelian traits or diseases are relatively unaffected by nongenetic or environmental factors (e.g., diet, amount of exercise, carcinogen exposure). In contrast, the variability in postoperative analgesic requirements among individuals is an example of a "complex" trait in which many genetic and environmental factors interact to influence drug metabolism, efficacy, and side effects. Examples of environmental factors that may affect drug pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics include previous drug or alcohol use, recent ingestion of a meal, and the method of drug preparation and administration. Further, the results of genetic testing in patients with Mendelian and complex traits must be considered in the context of mutation variability, gene penetrance, and gene expressivity. Mutation variability (heterogeneity) refers to the fact that many different mutations can cause the same disease or trait and that these mutations can be in the same or different genes. Most genetic tests screen for only the most common mutations. Gene penetrance refers to the probability of getting a disease or expressing a specific trait when a mutation is present. In the situation of incomplete gene penetrance, an individual with a mutation may never manifest the disease. Finally, gene expressivity refers to the range of disease severity for a mutation. Even patients with Mendelian diseases may display considerable heterogeneity with regard to disease severity, suggesting that in essence there are no "simple" diseases and that all diseases are complex.

**Polymorphism Nomenclature**

Gene polymorphisms are labeled using three different nomenclature systems. The first system uses a number to signify the gene locus where a single nucleotide substitution occurs. The letter before the number signifies the nucleotide most commonly found at the gene locus (i.e., the "wild-type" or major allele), whereas the letter after the number represents the nucleotide found in the mutant or minor allele. Therefore, the A118G SNP of the μ-opioid receptor gene codes for the replacement of the nucleotide adenine (A) at base pair 118 with guanine (G). Alternatively, this polymorphism may be written as 118 A/G or 118 A>G. Coding polymorphisms that affect a single gene codon and result in an amino acid switch may also be labeled using the three-letter abbreviations of the exchanged amino acids. Therefore, the Asp70Gly polymorphism of the butyrylcholinesterase gene involves a glycine substitution for aspartate at the 70th amino acid of the protein. A third commonly used polymorphism nomenclature system involves numbering the different alleles (e.g., *1). For example, the CYP2D6*5 allele is the fourth identified variant in the cytochrome P-450 (CYP) enzyme 2D6. This system is the least descriptive but most flexible because it can accommodate single or multiple concordant mutations of any degree of complexity, including insertions or deletions that alter huge sections of the gene. Finally, it should be noted that numerous polymorphisms are still known by confusing, nonstandard historic abbreviations.

**Pharmacogenetic Approaches**

Pharmacogenetic studies can be loosely categorized into two methodologic approaches: linkage studies and gene association studies. Genetic linkage is the phenomenon whereby alleles at loci close together on the same chromosome tend to be inherited together, because it is rare for crossover to occur between the loci during meiosis (i.e., chromosomal halving). A haplotype is a combination of alleles at closely linked loci on a single chromosome that tend to be inherited together. SNPs that are linked together are said to be in linkage disequilibrium because they are not randomly inherited and
therefore have not reached equilibrium within a population. Linkage can be used to map disease genes by typing polymorphic DNA markers to see if their alleles cosegregate with disease among related subjects. If relatives share marker alleles more often than would be expected by chance, this suggests that a susceptibility locus may be linked to the marker. Linkage studies typically examine families with a high prevalence of an anomalous drug response (e.g., a rare adverse reaction), comparing thousands of genes between family members with and without the anomaly. In this manner, the point of chromosomal swapping in the genome most significantly related to the anomaly can be determined. However, the accuracy of this technique is typically limited to a large area within a single chromosome, necessitating further studies to narrow the region to a specific gene. Linkage studies were more commonly used in the past, when the small number of known gene polymorphisms made it necessary to study related individuals to reduce potential interindividual genetic variability.

Rapid advances in molecular biology and the Human Genome Project have led to the identification of millions of novel polymorphisms in recent years. Further, our understanding of the enzymes, receptors, and other elements involved in drug pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics has also greatly improved, enabling identification of novel candidate genes. An increasing number of studies have thus focused on disease expression or the occurrence of adverse clinical outcomes in individuals expressing a specific genotype. Gene association studies are used to determine the influence of human genetic variation on the pathogenesis of disease, variability in disease expression, and response to treatment. SNPs in particular have been shown to be useful as genetic markers for identifying disease genes by association analysis of patients and controls. Most current pharmacogenetic studies therefore use the gene association approach because such analyses rely on fundamental case–control study methodology, are statistically more powerful than linkage studies, and do not require the study of family members.

Although an extremely powerful research tool, the gene association study is not without limitations. First, the primary endpoints of a gene association study must be sufficiently powered to account for genetic admixture within the study population (i.e., the inclusion of patients originating from many distinct genetic backgrounds). This is especially true for complex diseases with significant heterogeneity (i.e., diseases with multiple genetic origins). Association analyses that rely on the assumption that trait-influencing genes are inherited by descent may not identify influential genes, because the association will be divided between multiple loci in the sample of affected individuals. Therefore, negative findings in an association study examining only several hundred patients of high genetic admixture should be interpreted with caution. Second, it is crucial for data interpretation that the appropriate statistical analyses are applied, preferably by a statistician experienced in genetic research. Gene association studies typically involve multiple comparisons of many different continuous and noncontinuous variables within different populations. Special care must therefore be taken to avoid identification of spurious gene associations. Moreover, identification of a positive association between a specific genotype and clinical outcome does not necessarily imply causality. The identified genotype may actually be clinically silent, but linked to one or more other genotypes that individually or collectively form a disease haplotype. Along these lines, several investigators have advocated for building a “haplotype map” of the human genome that will make it easier, faster, and perhaps cheaper to find disease-causing or disease-predisposing genes. Instead of searching through a giant haystack of millions of SNPs, scientists would be searching through bundles of 10,000 to 50,000 bases each. Haplotype mapping may also greatly increase the sensitivity and specificity of predicting how genotypic variation will affect specific clinical outcomes.

Neuromuscular Blocking Agents

The effectiveness of neuromuscular blockers such as succinylcholine and mivacurium is strongly associated with genetic factors. Variations in the gene for plasma butyrylcholinesterase (pseudocholinesterase), the enzyme that hydrolyzes these drugs, have been correlated with dramatic interindividual differences in the duration of drug-induced muscular paralysis. Normally, patients completely recover neuromuscular function within 5–10 min after receiving 1.0–1.5 mg/kg succinylcholine. However, heterozygous (single allele) expression of the butyrylcholinesterase Asp70Gly polymorphism results in production of a less effective form of plasma butyrylcholinesterase. These patients typically take three to eight times longer to recover neuromuscular function after succinylcholine administration. Homozygous expression (both alleles) of the butyrylcholinesterase Asp70Gly polymorphism prolongs the recovery of neuromuscular function even further, resulting in a recovery period up to 60 times longer than that associated with the normal allele. Allelic variation within the butyrylcholinesterase gene has also been shown to significantly prolong mivacurium-induced muscle paralysis.9 Therefore, it is not surprising that patients who require prolonged mechanical ventilation for the treatment of prolonged neuromuscular blockade after mivacurium or succinylcholine administration are more likely to carry atypical butyrylcholinesterase alleles. Avoidance of these drugs may be prudent in patients with a priori knowledge of an abnormal butyrylcholinesterase genotype to reduce recovery time and cost after surgery.
**Benzodiazepines**

Most benzodiazepines are metabolized by hepatic cytochrome P-450 enzymes to more polar metabolites excreted in the bile or urine. The half-life of diazepam in individuals homozygous for the A allele of the cytochrome CYP2C19 G681A polymorphism is four times longer than individuals homozygous for the major (G) allele, presumably because of markedly decreased CYP2C19 metabolic activity.11 Individuals heterozygous for the A allele have diazepam half-lives somewhere between these two extremes.11,12 These genetic differences may manifest clinically as prolonged sedation or unconsciousness after diazepam administration.13 In contrast to diazepam, the clinical response to midazolam thus far has been only modestly associated with genetic factors. Although polymorphisms within the cytochrome CYP3A4 and CYP3A5 genes have been shown to be associated with reduced midazolam clearance, the clinical significance of these polymorphisms is relatively small because of alternative means of excretion and metabolism.14–16

**Inhalation Anesthetics**

To date, the bulk of pharmacogenetic research on inhaled anesthetics has focused on finding the genetic causes of adverse reactions to these drugs, with the best understood example being malignant hyperthermia syndrome (MHS). Triggered by volatile anesthetic inhalation or intravenous succinylcholine administration, MHS is a hypermetabolic disorder of skeletal muscle that is often, but not always, associated with significant increases in body temperature to 43.5°C (110.0°F) or higher. Approximately 1 in 15,000 children and 1 in 50,000 adults are susceptible to MHS. However, the reaction does not always occur in susceptible individuals, so the actual prevalence of MHS may be higher.17 It is intriguing to note the much higher incidence in children than in adults, perhaps indicating that MHS behaves like a complex genetic disease. Pharmacogenetic studies of MHS have found numerous associations with variations in the ryanodine receptor (RYR1) gene, with approximately 50% of cases involving mutations within this gene. In addition, a mutation in the $\alpha_1$ subunit of the voltage-dependent calcium channel has been associated with 1% of North American MHS cases.17,18 However, the true percentage is difficult to determine because at least 23 different RYR1 polymorphisms seem to be associated with MHS.19 Particularly severe cases of MHS tend to occur in individuals with central core disease, a muscular disorder also known to be associated with RYR1 polymorphisms.20,21 The diversity of RYR1 polymorphisms associated with MHS, as well as the fact that many MHS-susceptible individuals have no known RYR1 polymorphisms, makes genetic testing for this disorder impractical at the current time. Further compounding the problem are ethnic variations in the frequency of MHS-related polymorphisms. Studies examining RYR1 polymorphisms have found these polymorphisms in 26, 41, and 70% of American, Italian, and German MHS patients, respectively.22–24 Studies of individuals related to known MHS carriers, but who themselves have not experienced an episode of MHS, typically use the *in vitro* contracture test to determine the presence or absence of the disorder. This test involves extracting muscle cells and exposing them *in vitro* to halothane, caffeine, or other substances which cause muscle cells to contract. Cells extracted from MHS patients typically show a higher-than-normal degree of contraction. Although *in vitro* contracture test results seem to be positively influenced by the presence of MHS-related RYR1 alleles, cells expressing different alleles may respond differently to testing.17,25 This variation increases further when different drugs are used to elicit the response, because muscle cells carrying certain RYR1 alleles respond differently to halothane than they do to caffeine.26 Therefore, the degree of association between a particular RYR1 polymorphism and MHS may vary between studies if different test substances are used.

In contrast to MHS, halothane-induced hepatitis seems to result from an immune response to halothane metabolites processed by the cytochrome enzyme CYP2E1.27,28 Halothane-induced hepatitis occurs in approximately 1 in 10,000 patients.29 Although its tendency to cluster within families suggests that it is hereditary, the genetic mechanisms involved are not entirely clear, because CYP2E1 activity depends as much on body mass, diet, alcohol consumption, and age as it does on any polymorphisms discovered to date.30–32

The large volume of pharmacogenetic research on inhalation anesthetic adverse reactions sharply contrasts with the virtual absence of literature on the genetic influences on inhalation anesthetic effect. The lack of efficacy data is in part due to the very small observed interpersonal variability in inhalation anesthetic effect and our incomplete understanding of the molecular mechanisms by which inhalation anesthetics work. In addition, there are few findings in the animal literature to spur human research on this topic. Responses to inhalation anesthetics known to be associated with genetic mutations in nonmammalian species often occur only with anesthetic concentrations much higher than those used in mammals.33,34 Although mice with a melanocortin-1 receptor mutation were recently shown to have a higher minimum alveolar concentration than control mice not expressing the mutation, almost no other specific efficacy-related polymorphisms have been identified in genetic screening studies using murine or other mammalian models.35,36

Recently, Selzer et al. reported the unexpected neurologic deterioration and death of an infant boy who had been anesthetized twice within a short time with nitrous
A118G and the promotor polymorphism, G-172T. Clinically used opioids. Two common variants of the balt atom of vitamin B12, thereby inhibiting the activity how underlying genetic influences may unexpectedly case report and subsequent DNA evaluation highlight of methionine in the brain and, ultimately death. This thase by nitrous oxide, resulted in an extreme deficiency with partial or complete inactivation of methionine synthase mutations, along methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase deficiencies, an inherited defect in folate metabolism. Analysis of this patient’s DNA revealed a complex combination of mutations in his 5,10-methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase gene, including two common mutations (C677T and A1298C) associated with a reduction in 5,10-methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase activity. Nitrous oxide irreversibly oxidizes the cobalt atom of vitamin B12, thereby inhibiting the activity of the cobalamin-dependent enzyme methionine synthase. Methionine synthase catalyzes the remethylation of 5-methyltetrahydrofolate and homocysteine to tetrahydrofolate and methionine. Methionine, by way of its activated form, Sadenosylmethionine, is the principal substrate for methylation in many biochemical reactions, including assembly of the myelin sheath, methyl substitutions in neurotransmitters, and DNA synthesis in rapidly proliferating tissues. The findings and course of events in this patient suggest that limitations in 5-methyltetrahydrofolate synthesis caused by his complex 5,10-methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase mutations, along with partial or complete inactivation of methionine synthase by nitrous oxide, resulted in an extreme deficiency of methionine in the brain and, ultimately death. This case report and subsequent DNA evaluation highlight how underlying genetic influences may unexpectedly alter anesthetic outcomes.

Opioids

The μ-opioid receptor is the principal site of action for clinically used opioids. Two common variants of the μ-opioid receptor are present in 5–10% of Caucasians; A118G and the promotor polymorphism, G-172T. Although the G118 allele has no apparent relation to patients’ analgesic response to morphine or its active metabolite, morphine-6-glucuronide, preliminary data suggest that the G allele is associated with reduced severity of the adverse effects of the drugs, including nausea, vomiting, pupil dilation, and sedation. Therefore, carriers of the G118 allele may tolerate higher opiate doses than noncarriers do.

Although there seems to be little important genetic variability in the chemical binding of the μ-opioid receptor to agonists, large interindividual differences in post-surgical opioid requirements are frequently observed. The underlying molecular mechanisms of this phenomenon have yet to be fully elucidated, but it has been suggested that these observations may be explained in part by promotor polymorphisms associated with wide variation in receptor numbers rather than function and the fact that many genes and environmental factors are involved in pain perception. In addition, several polymorphisms have been linked to altered opiate metabolism. For example, uridine diphosphate glycosyl transferase is the enzyme responsible for the 3- and 6-glucuronidation of morphine. Surgical patients homozygous for the C-161T and G802T uridine diphosphate glycosyl transferase gene polymorphisms (which are always inherited together) demonstrate more rapid morphine glucuronidation than heterozygous or wild-type patients.

Another enzyme important for opioid metabolism is the liver P-450 cytochrome, CYP2D6. CYP2D6 is involved in the processing of approximately 25% of all currently administered drugs, including the conversion of codeine into its active metabolite morphine, the mechanism of codeine-induced analgesia. CYP2D6 genotypes are highly variable among individuals, not only because there are many different functional and non-functional gene polymorphisms, but also because a “gene duplication” event causes some individuals have more than two copies of the CYP2D6 gene. This results in CYP2D6 production above the “normal” levels seen with the wild-type CYP2D6*1 allele. The prevalence of CYP2D6 gene duplication varies widely by ethnicity, ranging from 0.5% in China to 29% in Ethiopia and averaging 4–5% in the United States. Patients who rapidly convert codeine into morphine usually have at least one wild-type CYP2D6 allele, known as CYP2D6*1. In contrast, poor metabolizers of codeine (8% of whites and higher frequencies in other ethnic groups) tend to express combinations of the *4, *5, and *6 alleles and are virtually immune to the analgesic effects of codeine. Interestingly, the severity of the adverse effects of codeine does not seem to be associated with the CYP2D6 genotype, thus explaining how codeine can impair driving ability even when the driver’s blood does not contain detectable concentrations of morphine.

CYP2D6 genotype is associated with patients’ response to other opiates in addition to codeine. An examination of 33 deceased tramadol users at autopsy showed that the number of functional CYP2D6 alleles expressed was strongly correlated with the ratio of tramadol to O- and N-demethyltramadol blood concentrations, suggesting that the rate of tramadol metabolism is influenced by CYP2D6 polymorphisms. The clinical importance of this finding is highlighted by another study in which abdominal surgical patients with one or more CYP2D6*1 alleles were found to be twice as likely to require additional postoperative analgesics because of increased tramadol metabolism. CYP2D6 genotype is also associated with the rate of methadone metabolism. However, this relation is not consistent because many individuals with functional CYP2D6 alleles are nonetheless poor metabolizers of methadone. This may be because methadone metabolism is dependent on other cytochromes in addition to CYP2D6, especially CYP3A4.
interesting to note that CYP3A4 metabolism is 40% more rapid in females than in males. Such sex differences may help to explain why females have a threefold higher incidence of awareness and awaken more rapidly from total intravenous anesthesia.57

Recently, the catechol O-methyl transferase (COMT) gene was related to the perception of pain.58–61 COMT is an important mediator of catecholamine metabolism and is a modulator of adrenergic and dopaminergic pathways, including those involved in pain transmission. The Val158Met COMT gene polymorphism has been previously shown to be associated with a threefold to fourfold reduction in enzyme activity.59–61 Using a human pain model, Zubiena et al.58 injected the temporomandibular joint with hypertonic saline. The volume of hypertonic saline necessary to reach and maintain a preset level of pain intensity paralleled COMT activity, being lowest in Met homozygotes, intermediate in heterozygotes, and highest in Val homozygotes. Similarly, affective responses to pain were highest in individuals with the lowest COMT activity (Met homozygotes), followed by heterozygotes and Val homozygotes. To track opioid receptor binding, radiolabeled carfentanil was administered and tracked by positron emission tomography. Met homozygotes showed diminished regional μ-opioid system responses to pain (i.e., decreased carfentanil binding) compared with heterozygotes. These data thus demonstrate how allelic variation may alter a drug’s efficacy by altering receptor binding and function. Although the subject is beyond the scope of the present article, we refer readers interested in the effects of genetic variation on chronic opiate addiction to the excellent reviews by LaForge et al.62 and Kreek et al.63

Nonsteroidal Antiinflammatory Drugs

Many nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are metabolized by the cytochrome enzyme CYP2C9. Individuals with the CYP2C9*3 allele metabolize celecoxib, naproxen, piroxicam, ibuprofen, and flurbiprofen more slowly than those without this allele.64–69 Furthermore, this reduction in metabolism tends to be much larger in *3 homozygotes than in heterozygotes. These findings suggest that *3 carriers may experience more clinical benefit or adverse effects from NSAIDs or both. However, no studies have yet confirmed this.

In contrast, in vivo metabolism of diclofenac seems largely unrelated to CYP2C9 genotype.65,70,71 Although the CYP2C9*2 and *3 polymorphisms were once thought to be associated with diclofenac-induced hepatotoxicity, no differences have been found in the ability of liver microsomes to metabolize diclofenac in CYP2C9*2 and *3 heterozygotes and CYP2C9*1 homozygotes.72 Tracy et al.66 have speculated that CYP2C9 may bind to diclofenac differently than it does to other NSAIDs in terms of its orientation or binding site, possibly in ways unaffected by the known polymorphisms of this gene.

Genes other than CYP2C9 may also be associated with NSAID metabolism, but the evidence for these associations is sparse. The results of one study suggest that individuals who are homozygous for the c2 allele (which lacks a DNA segment that limits protein manufacture in the wild-type c1 allele) of the cytochrome CYP2E1 metabolize acetaminophen at twice the rate of heterozygous or wild-type individuals.73 Other data imply that a mutant allele (B2) of the tumor necrosis factor β gene protects against encephalopathy in patients with acetaminophen-induced acute liver failure.74 Finally, there is preliminary evidence that the *11 allele of the gene for the human leukocyte antigen HLA-DRB1, which is involved in the immune response, may be associated with anaphylactoid reactions to various NSAIDs.75 However, more research is necessary to determine the accuracy and clinical relevance of these findings.

Interestingly, no study has yet revealed any association between NSAID activity and cyclooxygenase-2 gene polymorphisms. This is surprising, given that cyclooxygenase-2 inhibition is the mechanism of action for all NSAIDs. It has been suggested that the cyclooxygenase-2 enzyme is so important for maintaining body homeostasis that any polymorphisms that might significantly alter its function have been suppressed by natural selection.76 However, this explanation seems unlikely because the G765C polymorphism of the cyclooxygenase-2 gene was recently shown to be independently associated with a decreased risk of myocardial infarction and stroke.77

Conclusions

For decades, anesthesiologists have been aware of individual differences in response to pharmacologic agents, perhaps more so than medical professionals in any other specialty. Common clinical examples from anesthetic practice include prolonged muscle relaxation in response to succinylcholine, the induction of MHS by volatile anesthetics, and the commonly observed wide variation among individuals for postoperative analgesic requirements. Growing pharmacogenetic data make it evident that these and other drug-related phenomena have an underlying genetic component and that genetic variation may significantly affect drug absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion, and toxicity. Genetic evaluation might shed light on many clinical situations without explanation that are currently “brushed under the carpet,” such as surgical patients who do much better or worse than expected or families with suspicious anesthetic histories (e.g., slow awakening or awareness). Further, as we acquire more knowledge about alleles related to drug activity, we may even be able to identify polymorphisms that primarily affect pharmacokinetic events (same drug dose, different serum concentrations) from those that primarily affect pharma-
codynamic events (same drug dose, same serum concentrations, and different drug effects). The study of pharmacogenomics may eventually lead to genetic “finger-printing” or screening tests of alleles known to significantly affect drug metabolism, efficacy, and side effects. However, the practical applicability of such pharmacogenetic knowledge will depend largely on the cost–benefit ratio associated with performing genetic testing. For example, the medical and economic consequences of halothane-induced MHS are severe. However, if, as mentioned previously, the frequency of MHS susceptibility in adults is 1 in 50,000 and if approximately half of these individuals have mutant alleles of RYR1, MHS-related RYR1 alleles would be expected to be found in 1 in 100,000 patients. Assuming a commercial cost of only $100 per test (note that current commercial costs are actually considerably higher), universal preoperative RYR1 testing would therefore cost $10 million to prevent an MHS episode in one adult surgical patient. However, if the testing were restricted to patients with first-degree relatives known to have had an episode of MHS, 1 in 4 of the tested patients would be found to have an MHS-related mutation, thereby preventing one occurrence of MHS for every $400 spent. Admittedly, this estimate assumes perfect concordance between a positive test for MHS-related RYR1 alleles and actual MHS susceptibility. Nonetheless, genotyping costs are rapidly decreasing, with actual costs being only pennies per allele. High-throughput screening may thus soon become a reality, especially if clinicians also do their part by becoming sophisticated in genetic issues (e.g., the risks of DNA cross-contamination in genotyping, difficulties in high-fidelity heterozygote detection, interpretation of genetic test results), and by demanding that such tests reach the marketplace.

There are additional questions that must be answered before pharmacogenomics becomes a routine part of laboratory medicine. When should genetic testing be performed: before a patient goes on medication or after there is therapeutic failure? How should dosing be adjusted? Should alternative therapy be considered based on a patient’s genetic makeup? Therefore, although pharmacogenomics has the potential to increase drug efficacy, prevent drug toxicity, eliminate costly and ineffective drug alternatives, and decrease physician follow-up visits, there remains a strong need for prospective, sufficiently powered gene association studies conducted in well-defined, highly phenotyped populations. Only then can we begin to critically evaluate the relative importance or clinical significance of various gene associations.
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