Effects of Skin Pigmentation on Pulse Oximeter Accuracy at Low Saturation
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Background: It is uncertain whether skin pigmentation affects pulse oximeter accuracy at low HbO2 saturation.

Methods: The accuracy of finger pulse oximeters during stable, plateau levels of arterial oxygen saturation (SaO2) between 60 and 100% were evaluated in 11 subjects with darkly pigmented skin and in 10 with light skin pigmentation. Oximeters tested were the Nellcor N-595 with the OxiMax-A probe (Nellcor Inc., Pleasanton, CA), the Novametrix 513 (Novametrix Inc., Wallingford, CT), and the Nonin Onyx (Nonin Inc., Plymouth, MN). Semisupine subjects breathed air–nitrogen–carbon dioxide mixtures through a mouthpiece. A computer used end-tidal oxygen and carbon dioxide concentrations determined by mass spectrometry to estimate breath-by-breath SaO2, from which an operator adjusted inspired gas to rapidly achieve 2- to 3-min stable plateau desaturations. Comparisons of oxygen saturation measured by pulse oximetry (SpO2) with SaO2 (by Radiometer OSM3) were used in a multivariate model to determine the interrelation between saturation, skin pigmentation, and oximeter bias (SpO2 − SaO2).

Results: At 60–70% SaO2, SpO2 (mean of three oximeters) overestimated SaO2 (bias ± SD) by 3.56 ± 2.45% (n = 29) in darkly pigmented subjects, compared with 0.37 ± 3.20% (n = 58) in lightly pigmented subjects (P < 0.0001). The SD of bias was not greater with dark than with light skin. The dark–light skin difference at 60–70% SaO2 were 2.35% (Nonin), 3.38% (Novametrix), and 4.30% (Nellcor). Skin pigment–related differences were significant with Nonin below 70% SaO2, with Novametrix below 90%, and with Nellcor at all ranges. Pigment-related bias increased approximately in proportion to desaturation.

Conclusions: The three tested pulse oximeters overestimated arterial oxygen saturation during hypoxia in dark-skinned individuals.

PULSE oximetry theoretically can compute arterial hemoglobin oxygen saturation from the ratio of the pulsatile to the total transmitted red light divided by the same ratio for infrared light transilluminating a finger, ear, or other tissue. The derived saturation should be independent of skin pigmentation, and many other variables, such as hemoglobin concentration, nail polish, dirt, and jaundice. Several large controlled studies comparing black and white patients (380 subjects) reported no significant pigment-related errors in pulse oximeters at normal saturation.

However, Severinghaus and Kelleher reviewed data from several investigators who had reported anecdotal errors (+3 to +5%) in black patients. Model simulations of errors due to various pigments were reviewed by Ralston et al. Cote et al. reported that nail polish and ink on skin surface can cause errors, a finding confirmed anecdotally by others from fingerprinting ink. Intravenously injected dyes cause transient errors. Lee et al. found overestimation of saturation, especially at low saturation in pigmented patients (Indian, Malay vs. Chinese). The Technology Subcommittee of the Working Group on Critical Care, Ontario Ministry of Health, reported unacceptable errors in pulse oximetry at low saturation in pigmented subjects. Zeballos and Weisman compared the accuracy of the Hewlett-Packard (Sunnyvale, CA) ear oximeter and the Biox II pulse oximeter (Ohmeda, Andover, MA) in 35 young black men exercising at three different simulated altitudes. At an altitude of 4,000 m, where arterial oxygen saturation (SaO2) ranged from 75 to 84%, the Hewlett-Packard underestimated SaO2 by 4.8 ± 1.6%, whereas the Biox overestimated SaO2 by 9.8 ± 1.8% (n = 22). It was stated that these errors, previously reported in whites, were both exaggerated in blacks.

During our many years of testing pulse oximeter accuracy at oxygen saturations as low as 50%, we have occasionally noted unusually high positive bias, particularly at very low saturation levels, in some but not in other deeply pigmented subjects. This investigation was therefore specifically designed to determine whether errors at low SaO2 correlate with skin color.

All pulse oximeters marketed in the United States are required by the US Food and Drug Administration to have been tested and to be certified as accurate to less than ±3% root mean square error at SaO2 values between 70 and 100%. The great majority of calibration and confirmation tests have been conducted in volunteer subjects with light skin pigmentation. The Food and Drug Administration has recently suggested that studies of pulse oximeter accuracy submitted for Food and Drug Administration device approval include subjects with a range of skin pigmentation, although no quantitative requirement has been distributed. We are aware of no data that support this action. If there is a significant and reproducible positive bias at low saturation in dark-skinned subjects, inclusion of...
dark-skinned subjects will increase test group mean root mean square errors, perhaps enough to cause rejection by the Food and Drug Administration. If a reproducible bias is found at low saturation in dark-skinned subjects in all pulse oximeters, warning labels should be provided to users, possibly with suggested correction factors.

Materials and Methods

This study was approved by the University of California at San Francisco Committee on Human Research, and informed consent was obtained from all subjects. Twenty-one healthy, nonsmoking subjects were studied. None of the subjects had lung disease, obesity, or cardiovascular problems. They ranged in age between 24 and 47 yr. Eleven subject were very darkly pigmented individuals of African-American descent, and the remaining 10 were light-skinned individuals of northern European descent. No Asians or Hispanics were included in the study. The average age of the light-skinned subjects was 28 yr, and that of the dark-skinned subjects was 30 yr.

Subjects were studied semisupine (30° head up) with a nose clip while deliberately hyperventilating air–nitrogen–carbon dioxide mixtures via a mouthpiece from a partial rebreathing circuit with 10- to 20-l/min fresh gas inflow. An indwelling 22-gauge radial artery catheter was placed to facilitate arterial blood sampling for measurements of SaO2. Five oximeters were mounted on each subject: one Nellcor N-595 with the Oximax A finger probe (Nellcor Inc., Pleasanton, CA), two model 513s from Novametrix Inc. (Wallingford, CT), and two Onyx models from Nonin Inc. (Plymouth, MN). The Novametrix and Nonin instrument readings were recorded manually. Oxygen saturation measured by pulse oximetry (SpO2) from the Nellcor oximeters, the end-tidal gases, and estimated SaO2 were recorded by a computer running LabVIEW 6.0 (National Instruments, Austin, TX). Data from the two Novametrix and two Onyx instruments were averaged separately. Probes were not mounted on the thumbs or little fingers. The Nellcor oximeter was tested in all subjects, and the Novametrix and Nonin instruments were tested in nine light- and seven dark-skinned subjects each.

A series of 10–12 stable target SaO2 plateaus between 60 and 100% were sought by an operator who adjusted the inspired air–nitrogen–carbon dioxide mixture breath by breath in response to an analog meter displaying the estimated SaO2 derived from mass spectrometer end-tidal gas analysis.23 Input parameters for the computer oxygen dissociation curve included arterial pH estimated from end-tidal partial pressure of carbon dioxide, base excess adjusted if needed after each arterial sample was analyzed, and alveolar-arterial oxygen difference as needed especially at low SaO2 to attempt to match the predicted with the measured SaO2. At each level, arterial blood was sampled after a plateau of 30–60 s had been achieved, followed by a second sample at the same plateau 30 s later. To insure that each subject had good circulation to the fingers, each hand was wrapped in a warming pad. “Functional” arterial SaO2 (HbO2/(hemoglobin + HbO2)) was determined by multiwavelength oximetry (Radiometer OSM-3, Copenhagen, Denmark). Quality control standards were run each day.

Results

Table 1 presents all of the data. The mean of all 1,067 data points at all SaO2 levels and all three types of oximeters indicated that SpO2 reads approximately 1% higher in dark- than in light-skinned subjects (P < 0.0001). These overall mean bias errors due to pigment were +0.4% with Nonin, +0.6% with Novametrix, and +1.6% with Nellcor.

At lower oxyhemoglobin saturation, greater differences in bias between light- and dark-skinned subjects were apparent. With all three instruments, the effect of skin pigment on bias increased approximately linearly as SaO2 decreased (fig. 1). In the range of 60–70% SaO2, the mean difference in bias between light- and dark-skinned subjects was +1.4% (Nonin), +4.4% (Novametrix), and +4.3% (Nellcor) and 3.2% for all three instruments combined. Dark skin pigment–related bias was statistically significant with Nellcor in all SaO2 decades and with Novametrix between 60 and 80%, but only in the 70–80% range with Nonin. Multivariate models analyzing bias with respect to skin pigment, SaO2 (either as a continuous variable or by decadal range), and oximeters showed statistically significant relations for all of the variables. In figures 2A–C, the data obtained with each instrument are plotted against SaO2, using filled circles for dark-skinned subjects. The slopes of the regression lines differ most between dark and light skin with Nellcor and least with Nonin. Compared with light-skinned subjects, the mean effect of desaturation on bias with dark skin is

Statistical Analysis

Bias is computed as Spo2 minus Sao2 from the reading of each oximeter minus the corresponding blood sample value. Bias is reported as mean ± SD. The relation of bias to Sao2 was analyzed by linear regression. Bias was also analyzed for decadal subgroups of Sao2 (60–70, 70–80, 80–90, and 90–100%) using analysis of variance. Differences between oximeters were analyzed by analysis of variance and the Tukey-Kramer multiple comparison technique. Multivariate models were used to analyze the interrelations of bias with skin pigment, oximeter, and SaO2. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed with JMP 4.0 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).
SKIN COLOR AND PULSE OXIMETER ERROR

Table 1. Bias, $\text{SpO}_2$ minus $\text{SaO}_2$, for Three Oximeters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oximeter</th>
<th>Skin</th>
<th>60–70%</th>
<th>70–80%</th>
<th>80–90%</th>
<th>90–100%</th>
<th>All (50–100%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nonin Onyx</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>2.32 ± 1.84 (27)</td>
<td>0.67 ± 1.13 (76)</td>
<td>−0.59 ± 0.93 (112)*</td>
<td>−0.71 ± 1.08 (103)†</td>
<td>−0.08 ± 1.45 (319)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light</td>
<td>1.91 ± 1.60 (19)</td>
<td>0.41 ± 1.15 (49)</td>
<td>−0.70 ± 0.88 (65)</td>
<td>−0.83 ± 1.29 (66)</td>
<td>−0.21 ± 1.42 (200)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dark</td>
<td>3.29 ± 2.12 (8)</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.96 (27)</td>
<td>−0.44 ± 0.59 (47)</td>
<td>−0.50 ± 0.79 (37)</td>
<td>0.15 ± 1.48 (119)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$ value</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novametrix 513</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>2.22 ± 3.47 (27)</td>
<td>2.66 ± 1.80 (76)†</td>
<td>1.37 ± 1.35 (112)*</td>
<td>0.38 ± 1.02 (103)</td>
<td>1.40 ± 1.94 (319)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light</td>
<td>0.93 ± 3.20 (21)</td>
<td>2.13 ± 1.64 (49)</td>
<td>1.33 ± 1.16 (65)</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.99 (66)</td>
<td>1.15 ± 1.79 (200)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dark</td>
<td>5.29 ± 1.74 (8)</td>
<td>3.63 ± 1.69 (27)</td>
<td>1.42 ± 1.58 (47)</td>
<td>0.28 ± 1.09 (37)</td>
<td>1.83 ± 2.12 (119)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$ value</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nellcor N-595</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>0.07 ± 3.74 (33)†</td>
<td>0.70 ± 2.64 (113)</td>
<td>0.86 ± 1.52 (142)*</td>
<td>0.15 ± 1.28 (140)</td>
<td>0.49 ± 2.18 (429)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light</td>
<td>−1.62 ± 3.42 (20)</td>
<td>−0.34 ± 2.26 (54)</td>
<td>0.24 ± 1.25 (73)</td>
<td>−0.16 ± 0.98 (72)</td>
<td>−0.27 ± 0.25 (200)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dark</td>
<td>2.68 ± 2.58 (13)</td>
<td>1.66 ± 2.62 (59)</td>
<td>1.52 ± 1.51 (69)</td>
<td>0.48 ± 1.48 (68)</td>
<td>1.29 ± 2.03 (209)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$ value</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>1.44 ± 3.32 (87)</td>
<td>1.26 ± 2.24 (265)</td>
<td>0.57 ± 1.53 (366)</td>
<td>−0.04 ± 1.23 (346)</td>
<td>0.59 ± 2.0 (107)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light</td>
<td>0.37 ± 3.20 (58)</td>
<td>0.70 ± 2.03 (152)</td>
<td>0.29 ± 1.38 (203)</td>
<td>−0.18 ± 1.17 (204)</td>
<td>0.21 ± 1.89 (620)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dark</td>
<td>3.56 ± 2.45 (29)</td>
<td>2.01 ± 2.30 (113)</td>
<td>0.93 ± 1.64 (163)</td>
<td>0.17 ± 1.29 (142)</td>
<td>1.13 ± 2.03 (447)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$ value</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean ± SD (n). $P$ values are for differences between light- and dark-skinned individuals in specified range of arterial oxygen saturation ($\text{SaO}_2$).

* All oximeters are significantly different, $P < 0.05$. † Indicated oximeter is statistically different from other two instruments, $P < 0.05$.

NS = not significant; $\Delta \text{SpO}_2$ = oxygen saturation measured by pulse oximetry.

$\Delta \text{SpO}_2 = \bar{\Omega} (100 - \text{SaO}_2)$, where $\bar{\Omega}$ is 0.064 with Nonin, 0.123 with Novametrix, and 0.125 with Nellcor. $\bar{\Omega}$ could be considered as a correction factor for clinical use to correct (reduce) low $\text{SpO}_2$ values in dark-skinned patients. However, the linear equation would overcorrect data at intermediate $\text{SaO}_2$ with Nonin.

The scatter of data increases at low $\text{SaO}_2$ as shown in table 1. The SD averages approximately 1.2 in all instruments at high $\text{SaO}_2$ but increases in the 60–70% range to 2.5 (Nonin), 3.0 (Novametrix), and 3.7 (Nellcor). However, the SD is not greater with dark than light skin at low $\text{SaO}_2$.

We found small but statistically significant differences between oximeters. When data from light- and dark-skinned subjects were combined, the mean bias of Novametrix (1.40 ± 1.94%) was statistically higher than that of Nellcor (0.49 ± 2.18) and Nonin (0.08 ± 1.45%). Small differences were also found within decadal $\text{SaO}_2$ intervals.

Discussion

Pulse oximetry theory predicts that the ratio of the pulsatile to the total transmitted red light divided by the same ratio for infrared light should be dependent only on arterial saturation. In practice, there are many minor deviations from this ideal. Major known variability is caused by anemia, light scattering, venous and tissue pulsation by mechanical force from nearby arteries, pulsatile variations in tissue thickness in the light path other than in the arteries, nail polish, and skin pigment. Therefore, pulse oximeter design is often based on empirically determined correction factors obtained by in vivo comparison of oximeter readings with arterial oxyhemoglobin saturation ($\text{SaO}_2$) of volunteer subjects. In our 18 yr of testing pulse oximeter accuracy, and probably in other testing laboratories, the majority of subjects have been light skinned. Most pulse oximeters have probably been calibrated using light-skinned individuals, with the assumption that skin pigmentation does not matter. The current data show that skin pigmentation introduces a consistent positive bias at low $\text{SaO}_2$ in the Nonin, Novametrix, and Nellcor instruments. We infer that most pulse oximeters show similar pigment-related bias at low saturation. A previous study of pulse oximeter accuracy in dark-skinned individuals only measured bias at room air saturation levels and therefore concluded that oximeter accuracy is independent of skin color.

We chose to study extremes of skin pigment, dark and light, to most easily detect an effect with a small number of subjects. As shown in figures 2A–C, we found a bias ranging from −4 to +8% in dark-skinned subjects and a slightly smaller range in light-skinned subjects. However, as noted above, the SD was not found to be greater in dark-skinned subjects at any $\text{SaO}_2$ range. This implies that the bias error does not arise simply from inadequate signal intensity and confirms the problem as a real pigment-related difference in pulse oximeter optical factors, which deserves attention and possible provision of correction factors, tables, or even built-in user-optimal adjustments. Furthermore, the larger bias in oximeter readings at low saturation did not arise because of data from a few subjects. Scatter plots of data from separate subjects indicate some intersubject variability but no obvious outliers.

Because we tested only three types of oximeters, our results may not apply to pulse oximeters made by other manufacturers. However, all three instruments tested showed increasing positive bias in oximeter readings at low $\text{SaO}_2$ in subjects with dark skin pigmentation. This may be a property common to a wide variety of modern pulse
Fig. 1. Mean bias ± SD for the three oximeters in different ranges of oxyhemoglobin saturation. Bias is calculated as SpO2 (oximeter measured value of oxyhemoglobin saturation) minus SaO2 (oxyhemoglobin saturation measured by a Radiometer OSM-3 multiwavelength oximeter). Light-skinned subjects are indicated by open circles, dark-skinned subjects by closed circles. (A) Nonin Onyx: Bias values for light-skinned and dark-skinned subjects were significantly different only in the oxyhemoglobin saturation range of 70–80% (*P < 0.05). (B) Novametrix 513: Bias values for light-skinned and dark-skinned subjects were significantly different in the oxyhemoglobin saturation ranges of 60–70% and 70–80% (*P < 0.01). (C) Nellcor N-595: Bias values for light-skinned and dark-skinned subjects were significantly different in all oxyhemoglobin saturation ranges (*P < 0.01).

Fig. 2. Bias for the three oximeters is plotted versus oxyhemoglobin saturation, SaO2, measured by a Radiometer OSM-3 multiwavelength oximeter (hemoximeter). Bias is calculated as SpO2 (oximeter measured value of oxyhemoglobin saturation) minus SaO2. Light-skinned subjects are indicated by open circles, dark-skinned subjects by closed circles. (A) Nonin Onyx, (B) Novametrix 513, (C) Nellcor N-595. Linear regressions were performed separately for light-skinned and dark-skinned subjects and are statistically significant (*P < 0.001). Multivariate analysis showed statistically significant effects for both skin pigment and oxyhemoglobin saturation (*P < 0.01).

oximeters, given that the basic technology is similar. In addition, the mean differences in readings between light- and dark-skinned individuals were larger than the differences between the different oximeters. The results of this study call for further investigation of the effect of skin color on oximeter accuracy at low SaO2 in other oximeter types. It is also possible that fingers have a different bias than ears or other monitoring sites.
The magnitude of the oximeter error in all three oximeters due to dark skin pigmentation is relatively small at saturations greater than 80% and probably of no clinical significance. However, in individuals with darkly pigmented skin, bias of up to 8% was observed at lower saturations, which may be quite significant under some circumstances. For example, in congenital heart disease, many patients have stable low saturation values, and accuracy in an outpatient setting or during surgery may be desired. In high-altitude medicine, oximeters are frequently relied on for accurate readings in both research and clinical settings.

In conclusion, dark skin pigmentation results in overestimation of arterial oxygen saturation, especially at low saturation in the three tested pulse oximeters. A notice warning of this effect may currently be the best available action.
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