ABSTRACT

Background: Neuropathic pain is common and difficult to treat. Recently, a technique was developed to selectively inhibit nociceptive inputs by simultaneously applying two drugs: capsaicin, a transient receptor potential vanilloid receptor-1 channel activator, and QX-314, a lidocaine derivative that intracellularly blocks sodium channels. We used this technique to investigate whether transient receptor potential vanilloid receptor 1-expressing nociceptors contribute to neuropathic pain. Methods: The rat chronic constriction injury model was used to induce neuropathic pain in order to test the analgesic effects of both peripheral (perisciatic) and central (intrathecal) administration of the QX-314/capsaicin combination. The Hargreaves and von Frey tests were used to monitor evoked pain-like behaviors and visual observations were used to rank spontaneous pain-like behaviors. Results: Perisciatic injections of the QX-314/capsaicin combination transiently increased the withdrawal thresholds by approximately 3-fold, for mechanical and thermal stimuli in rats (n = 6/group) with nerve injuries suggesting that peripheral transient receptor potential vanilloid receptor 1-expressing nociceptors contribute to neuropathic pain. In contrast, intrathecal administration of the QX-314/capsaicin combination did not alleviate pain-like behaviors (n = 5/group). Surprisingly, intrathecal QX-314 alone (n = 9) or in combination with capsaicin (n = 8) evoked spontaneous pain-like behaviors. Conclusions: Data from the perisciatic injections suggested that a component of neuropathic pain was mediated by peripheral nociceptive inputs. The role of central nociceptive terminals could not be determined because of the severe side effects of the intrathecal drug combination. We concluded that only peripheral blockade of transient receptor potential vanilloid receptor 1-expressing nociceptive afferents by the QX-314/capsaicin combination was effective at reducing neuropathic allodynia and hyperalgesia.

It is estimated that neuropathic pain affects approximately 18% of the general population in the United States, drastically diminishing their quality of life.¹ It often leads to considerable individual suffering and a financial burden to society.²,³ Neuropathic pain, caused by a primary lesion, dysfunction, or transitory perturbation of the peripheral or central nervous system, is clinically characterized by spontaneous pain and/or amplified pain responses to noxious or nonnoxious stimuli. Despite extensive research, neuropathic pain remains one of the most difficult challenges for physicians. Typically, a pharmacological approach is employed to manage neuropathic pain,⁴ but the medications are often ineffective.⁵–¹⁰ Clearly there is a pressing need for new and effective strategies for managing neuropathic pain.¹¹,¹²

A novel approach was recently described to provide analgesia using local anesthetics without producing the detrimental side effects commonly associated with these drugs.
The technique selectively inhibits a subpopulation of nociceptive neurons with a combination of two drugs: capsaicin, the pungent substance found in chili peppers, and QX-314, a positively charged lidocaine derivative.13 In vitro studies show that the QX-314/capsaicin combination selectively inhibits the activity of transient receptor potential vanilloid receptor 1 (TRPV1)-expressing dorsal root ganglion neurons. Behavioral experiments indicate that peripheral application of this drug combination reduces acute pain in normal rats, increasing the thresholds to mechanical and thermal stimulation without producing the motor deficits that are common for local anesthetics.13–16

The potential of this new approach to treat neuropathic pain is extremely attractive. We thus extended these studies by testing whether TRPV1-expressing nociceptors contributed to neuropathic pain and if the QX-314/capsaicin combination alleviated chronic neuropathic pain. The analgesic effects of the drug combination were examined in a rat model for neuropathic pain that exhibits long-lasting disorders in pain sensation similar to those observed in humans, the sciatic nerve chronic constriction injury model. We tested both the peripheral (perisciatic) and central (intrathecal) effects of the drugs because several studies have shown that both peripheral and central neurons become more excitable after nerve injury.6,17,18 In addition, the expression of the TRPV1 protein increases in injured peripheral nerves, the superficial laminae of the dorsal horn containing the synaptic terminals of the nociceptors, and the spinal interneurons that process nociceptive information.19–23 We hypothesized that the peripheral or central application of the QX-314/capsaicin combination would target QX-314 to the TRPV1-expressing nociceptors, suppress their excitability, and alleviate neuropathic pain without producing the detrimental side effects of local anesthetics that are currently used in clinical practice.

Materials and Methods

The experimental protocols were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Cleveland Clinic. Experiments were performed on approximately 90 male Sprague-Dawley rats (250–300 g) that were purchased from Harlan Laboratories (Indianapolis, IN). In general, the animals were housed in group cages (two or three rats per cage), allowed free access to food and water, and maintained on a 12/12 h light–dark cycle. Rats that exhibited excessive circling or aggressive behaviors were removed from the group cages and housed separately.

Chronic Constriction Injury

The chronic constriction injury (CCI) surgery was a modification of the method described by Bennett and Xie.24 Briefly, rats were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of pentobarbital (40–60 mg/kg body weight) before surgery. The common right sciatic nerve was exposed at the mid-thigh level and isolated from surrounding tissue by blunt dissection. Proximal to the sciatic trifurcation, chromic gut (4-0) was used to tie two to four loose ligatures around the exposed nerve at 1-mm intervals. The incision was closed in layers with 4-0 silk sutures.

The Drug Combination

We used the capsaicin/QX-314 combination to selectively inhibit a subpopulation of nociceptive inputs.13 The specificity of this drug combination is based on the protein expression profile of nociceptors and the chemical properties of QX-314. First, capsaicin activates ion channels (TRPV1) that are expressed predominantly by nociceptors.19,20,25,26 These TRPV1 ion channels are unusual in that they undergo pore dilation and allow the permeation of large cations such as the styryl dye FM1-43, gentamicin, and QX-314 through the open pore.13,27,28 Next, QX-314 is a positively charged derivative of lidocaine in physiologic saline that is not likely to cross cell membranes. Because local anesthetics need access to intracellular domains of the voltage-gated sodium channels in order to inhibit their activity,29,30 QX-314 is a poor inhibitor of sodium channels when applied extracellularly. However, QX-314 is a potent sodium channel inhibitor after intracellular application.31 In theory, when the QX-314/capsaicin combination is applied, capsaicin should open the pore of the TRPV1 channel, facilitating the selective passage of QX-314 into the nociceptive neurons. QX-314 should subsequently inhibit the generation of action potentials blocking the transmission of pain signals from peripheral nociceptors to the central nervous system.13 Indeed, the peripheral application of this drug combination reduces acute pain in normal rats, increasing the thresholds to mechanical and thermal stimulation without producing motor deficits.13–16

Peripheral Nociceptive Blockade

The ability of QX-314, lidocaine, capsaicin, or the combination of QX-314 and capsaicin to selectively block nociceptive pathways was tested on the same rats before and after nerve ligation. Initially, naive rats were placed into plastic restraint cones to facilitate drug injection. Drugs were injected near the sciatic nerve at the mid-thigh level in a volume of 0.1 ml with a tuberculin syringe and a 27-gauge needle. Since the restraint and injections could be stressful to the rats, a second experiment was performed in which the rats were briefly anesthetized with isoflurane before injections. Qualitatively similar results were obtained for both procedures. The isoflurane data were presented in the figures and text. The drugs tested were 0.2% QX-314, 2% lidocaine, 0.2% lidocaine, 0.5 mg/ml capsaicin, and a combination of QX-314 and capsaicin. For the coadministration of QX-314 and capsaicin, QX-314 was normally injected 10 min before capsaicin. Capsaicin, QX-314, and lidocaine hydrochloride were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Stock solutions of lidocaine and QX-314 were prepared in phosphate buffered saline (PBS): 10 mM NaH₂PO₄ and 137 mM NaCl, pH
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time.
The intrathecal catheter implantation were done at the same
vehicle. In some experiments the nerve ligation surgery and
pump was replaced with a new one containing the drugs or
prevent clots from forming in the catheter. Rats were allowed
Initially, the pump was filled with 5% heparin in PBS to
inserted and both incisions were closed with 4-0 silk sutures.
A second incision was made in the middle of the back, ap-
poration, Cupertino, CA) was inserted into the intrathecal
measured using the procedure described by Hargreaves
The nociceptive threshold to thermal stimulation was deter-
pads was stimulated with calibrated filaments. Filaments
applied to the paw surface in ascending order of force
were applied in descending order, beginning
the next lower filament until there was no response. The
threshold was the lowest filament to evoke a withdrawal. The
procedure was repeated three times at 5-min intervals to
avoid sensitization, and withdrawal thresholds were averaged
and recorded.
Central Nociceptive Blockade
Mechanical and thermal sensitivity were tested every other
day after the sciatic nerve ligation. When hyperalgesia and
and alldynia reliably developed, usually 7 days after the surgery,
now pumps were inserted containing the drugs or vehicle.
The drugs administered via intrathecal catheters to test their
antihyperalgesic and antiallodynic effects were 0.2% QX-
and capsaicin. All of the drugs were dissolved in a vehicle
Vehicle contained 12.5% DMSO in PBS (pH 7.4). The intrathecal
corexopic levels after dilution in the cerebrospinal fluid.32 Con-
trol animals were implanted with pumps that contained only
the vehicle without any drugs. Drug effects were observed
over a period of 14 days.
Behavioral Tests
Rats were handled before behavioral testing and surgical pro-
cedures to familiarize them with the environment and to
minimize stress. The behavioral measurements began before
surgery to determine the baseline behavioral responses.
These experiments were done in a quiet room by a trained
observer who was blinded to the drug treatment.
Mechanical Sensitivity
The nociceptive threshold to mechanical stimulation was
determined using a Semmes-Weinstein von Frey Touch Test
Sensory Evaluator (North Coast Medical, Inc., Morgan Hill,
CA), as we have described before.12 Animals were loaded into
plexiglass chambers resting on a 6-mm wire grid. After a
15-min acclimation period, the plantar surface of both foot-
pads was stimulated with calibrated filaments. Filaments
were applied to the paw surface in ascending order of force
(0.4–60 g) until they bent and held there for approximately
3 s or until the rat withdrew its foot. After a foot withdrawal,
the filaments were applied in descending order, beginning
with the next lower filament until there was no response. The
threshold was the lowest filament to evoke a withdrawal. The
procedure was repeated three times at 5-min intervals to
avoid sensitization, and withdrawal thresholds were averaged
and recorded.
Thermal Sensitivity
The nociceptive threshold to thermal stimulation was deter-
moved using the procedure described by Hargreaves et al.33
The Basile plantar test apparatus used to perform these ex-
periments was purchased from Stoelting Company (Wood
Dale, IL). Animals were loaded into Perspex enclosures rest-
ing on a pane of glass. The animal was unrestrained within
the enclosure. After a 15-min acclimation period, a movable
infrared generator was positioned below the plantar surface
of the hind paw and activated. When the animal withdrew its
paw, the infrared generator and a timer measuring the dura-
tion of the stimulus was automatically stopped. The with-
drawal latency was the interval between the activation of the

Implantation of Intrathecal Catheters
Rats were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of
pentobarbital (40–60 mg/kg) before surgery. The rat was
placed in a stereotaxic head holder with the head tilted for-
ward and a midline incision was made above the occipital
crest. The isterna magna was exposed by a blunt dissection of
the surrounding musculature. A 20-gauge needle was used
to open the membrane and the Alzet catheter (Durect Cor-
poration, Cupertino, CA) was inserted into the intrathecal
space. The tip of the catheter was slowly advanced until it
reached the lumbar enlargement. The musculature was
closed with 4-0 silk sutures holding the catheter in position.
A second incision was made in the middle of the back, ap-
proximately 1 cm to the left of the spinal column, to create a
subcutaneous pocket for an ALZET pump (Model 2002,
Durect Corporation). The free end of the catheter was tun-
neled to the pocket and attached to the pump. The pump was
inserted and both incisions were closed with 4-0 silk sutures.
Initially, the pump was filled with 5% heparin in PBS to
prevent clots from forming in the catheter. Rats were allowed
to recover from the surgery for 1 week. After the recovery
period, the rats were briefly anesthetized with pentobarbital,
an incision was made in the skin near the pump, and the old
pump was replaced with a new one containing the drugs or
vehicle. In some experiments the nerve ligation surgery and
the intrathecal catheter implantation were done at the same
time.

7.4). The capsaicin stock was prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide
(DMSO; Sigma-Aldrich). All of the drugs were diluted and
filter sterilized before administration. The final injection so-
ution (vehicle) contained 12.5% DMSO in PBS.
The rats were handled for 10 days before sciatic nerve
injections and behavioral tests in order to familiarize them
with the environment and to minimize stress. Rats were ran-
domly assigned to the mechanical or thermal sensitivity test
groups. Behavioral tests began before the drug injection in
order to determine the baseline and resumed 15 min after the
injection. Testing continued at regular intervals for 6 h. Be-
havioral tests were performed every other day and the side of
injection was alternated to allow the animals to recover from
the injections. Several different drugs or drug combinations
were tested each day, but individual rats received only one
doing or combination of drugs per day.
When the experiments on the nonligated rats were com-
pleted, the right sciatic nerves were ligated to study the effects
of peripheral nociceptive blockade on a neuropathic pain
model. The mechanical and thermal sensitivity were regu-
larly tested until the rats exhibited hyperalgesia. After detect-
ing hyperalgesia, the drug injections and behavioral tests
were performed as described for the naïve rats, except that
only the leg with the nerve ligation was tested. (We did not
alternate the side that was injected.) The interval between
experiments was increased to 3–5 days to allow the animals to
recover.
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heat source and the paw withdrawal. The intensity of the heat stimulus was adjusted to evoke a withdrawal response of 10–20 s in normal animals. A cutoff of 30 s was used to prevent potential tissue damage. The procedure was repeated three times at 5-min intervals to avoid sensitization and withdrawal latencies were averaged and recorded.

Behavioral Observations

Rats were placed into a plexiglass chamber and allowed to acclimate for 15 min before behavioral observations began. The behavior was monitored for a period of 10 min. The behavioral responses were ranked using the following scores: 0, no sign of excitation; 1, restlessness, scratching and biting of the flank or tail, tail flicks, mild circling; 2, mild vocalization with restlessness, scratching and biting of the flank or tail, tail flicks, mild circling; 3, vocalization with spontaneous running and vigorous circling; 4, vigorous vocalization with running, circling, rolling, and jumping. The scores were recorded for every minute of observation and summed at the end of the experiment. The observer was blinded to the experimental treatments.

Histology

After the experiment, the rats were sacrificed using carbon dioxide. A laminectomy was performed to determine the location of catheter tip in all of the animals. The catheter tip was found to be located on or near the dorsum of the lumbar enlargement. The spinal cord segments near the tip of the catheter were removed and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (7.4) overnight at 4°C. Tissue samples were dehydrated through graded alcohols and a xylene substitute, infiltrated and embedded in paraffin, and sectioned at 5 μm using a Leica RM2125 rotary microtome (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). Sections were stained with Harris hematoxylin and eosin on a Leica ST 5020 autostainer (Leica Microsystems), dehydrated, cleared, and coverslipped. Spinal cords were visualized using bright field illumination at ×20 magnification on a Leica DMR microscope (Leica Microsystems). Images were captured using a Retiga Exi Cooled CCD camera and QCapture Pro imaging software from QImaging (Surrey, British Columbia, Canada).

Immunohistochemical Staining of Astrocytes and Microglia

Sections of the spinal cord were further used for immunohistochemical staining of astrocytes and microglia. Tissue samples were dehydrated through graded alcohols and a xylene substitute, infiltrated and embedded in paraffin, and sectioned at 5 μm using a Leica RM2125 rotary microtome (Leica Microsystems). Sections were stained for astrocytes with antitigal fibrillar acidic protein antibody produced in rabbit (G9269; Sigma-Aldrich) and for microglia with rabbit polyclonal ionized calcium-binding adapter-1 antibody, specific for microglia and macrophage, was diluted 1:500, and the rabbit polyclonal glial fibrillary acidic protein antibody, specific for astrocyte and glial cell, was diluted 1:400, and the rabbit polyclonal ionized calcium-binding adapter-1 antibody, specific for microglia and macrophage, was diluted 1:500. Sections of the spinal cord were visualized using bright field illumination with a ×20 dry objective and a ×40 oil immersion objective on a Leica DMR microscope (Leica Microsystems). Images were captured using a Retiga Exi Cooled CCD camera and QCapture Pro imaging software from QImaging.

Statistical Analysis

Values are expressed as means ± SEM. Time course data for both the von Frey and Hargreaves tests were analyzed by two-way ANOVA with repeated measures to detect interactions between drug treatments and time unless otherwise stated. ANOVAs with statistically significant interactions between drug and time ($P < 0.05$) were followed by post hoc comparisons using Bonferroni’s $t$ test when appropriate. The data sets for the peripheral injections are complete. For the intrathecal administration of drugs, a general linear extrapolation was used to compensate for missing observations from an individual animal on a single day. Data for the bar graphs of the peak normalized latency in figures 1 and 2 were compared with the pretreatment baseline for each drug tested using paired Student $t$ tests (two-tailed). Comparisons among the different drugs are performed using the data for the time courses. The data from the behavioral observations was analyzed by Kruskal–Wallis one-way ANOVA on ranks and followed by post hoc comparisons using Dunn’s method. Statistical analysis was performed using SigmaStat software (Systat Software Inc., San Jose, CA). For all statistical tests, $P < 0.05$ was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

The goal of this study was to determine whether selectively blocking TRPV1-expressing nociceptors would alleviate chronic neuropathic pain in a rat model. We tested the effects of the peripheral and central application of the QX-314/capsaicin combination on the withdrawal thresholds for mechanical and thermal stimuli in normal rats and rats with unilateral sciatic nerve ligations.

Peripheral Administration of the QX-314/Capsaicin Combination Increased the Withdrawal Threshold for Mechanical Stimuli in Normal Rats

The peripheral injection of the QX-314/capsaicin combination reliably increased the nociceptive threshold to mechanical stimulation. The withdrawal threshold was determined by applying calibrated Semmes Weinstein von Frey filaments to the plantar surface of the hind footpads. Testing began...
before the drug injection (0 min) and continued at regular intervals for the next 6 h. The drugs tested were 0.2% QX-314, 2% lidocaine, 0.2% lidocaine, 0.5 mg/ml capsicain, the QX-314/capsaicin combination, and a DMSO-containing vehicle. For the coadministration of QX-314 with capsicain, QX-314 was injected 10 min before capsicain. The experiment was performed on two different groups of six animals. In the first experiment, the drugs were injected without anesthetic, and in the second, the rats were briefly anesthetized with isoflurane in order to reduce the stress associated with the restraint and injections. Qualitatively similar results were obtained for both procedures. The isoflurane data were presented in the figures and text.

We found that the withdrawal threshold to mechanical stimulation increased for all of the normal rats in the anesthetized and unanesthetized groups injected with the QX-314/capsaicin combination. The data were normalized to the preinjection baseline and the peak changes for the drugs were averaged and plotted in figure 1A. Only the QX-314/capsaicin combination and the membrane-permeable sodium channel inhibitor lidocaine (2%) produced a statistically significant increase in the threshold to mechanical stimulation in normal rats (paired Student t test, P ≤ 0.01, n = 6 each group). The increase was approximately 6-fold compared with preinjection levels for the drugs. We also observed that...
the increase in the threshold produced by lidocaine was associated with an incomplete motor blockade. Rats injected with 2% lidocaine were observed to drag their paws in the test chambers for approximately 30 min after the injection. The motor deficit was not quantified because it would interfere with the measurements of the nociceptive thresholds. In contrast, motor deficits were not observed in rats injected with the QX-314/capsaicin combination. The DMSO vehicle, QX-314 alone, and 0.2% capsaicin alone had little effect on the normalized threshold for mechanical stimulation (fig. 1A).

The time course for the effects of perisciatic injections of the vehicle, 0.2% QX-314, 0.5 mg/ml capsaicin, or the QX-314/capsaicin combination on the mechanical threshold in normal rats is shown in figure 1B. We found that the QX-314/capsaicin combination was more effective at increasing the mechanical threshold than either drug alone (two-way ANOVA with repeated measures, \( P = 0.001 \) for the interaction between drug and time, \( n = 6 \) each group). Post hoc analysis indicated that the increase in the withdrawal threshold to mechanical stimuli reached statistically significant levels 60 min after injecting the drug combination compared with the vehicle and lasted for approximately 1 h (fig. 1B). By comparison, the peripheral application of QX-314 or capsaicin alone produced a statistically significant decrease in the mechanical threshold compared with the vehicle. It is also important to note that the time to peak and the duration of the drug effects was quite variable for individual animals. Although the withdrawal threshold to mechanical stimuli increased for all of the rats injected with the QX-314/capsaicin combination, the effects reached a maximum at different times. Although the peak occurred within 60 min after the injection for some animals, it took more than 2 h for others. Therefore, of the drugs tested, only the QX-314/capsaicin combination produced an effective analgesia to noxious stimuli without causing motor deficits in normal rats.

The effects of the QX-314/capsaicin combination on the nociceptive threshold to thermal stimulation were less pronounced. A Basile plantar test apparatus was used to heat the plantar surface of the hind paw and measure the latency between the activation of the heat source and the foot withdrawal for normal rats. Only lidocaine (2%) produced a statistically significant increase in the peak normalized latency to thermal stimulation compared with the preinjection baseline (fig. 1C, paired Student \( t \) test, \( P \leq 0.05, n = 6 \)). The increase in the thermal threshold produced by lidocaine was also associated with incomplete motor blockade as described above. In contrast, the peak normalized thermal latency was reduced by the peripheral application of QX-314 alone. The vehicle or capsaicin did not change the thermal latency (fig. 1C). The time course for the effects of perisciatic drug injections on the thermal latency of normal rats is shown in figure 1D. We found that capsaicin reduced the withdrawal latency to thermal stimuli during the first hour after its injection (fig. 1D; two-way ANOVA with repeated measures for the interaction between drug and time, \( P \leq 0.012, n = 6 \)). In addition, post hoc analysis also indicated that 2% lidocaine produced statistically significant increases in the thermal threshold (data not shown). So, similar to previously published reports,\(^ {13} \) the QX-314/capsaicin combination increased the nociceptive threshold to mechanical stimulation without producing a motor deficit in normal rats. Unlike Binshtok et al.,\(^ {13} \) we did not observe a statistically significant change in the thermal threshold after perisciatic injection of the QX-314/capsaicin combination.

**Peripheral Administration of the QX-314/Capsaicin Combination Increased the Withdrawal Threshold for Both Mechanical and Thermal Stimuli in Rats with Sciatic Nerve Ligations**

Next we tested whether the peripheral application of the QX-314/capsaicin combination increased the mechanical and thermal withdrawal thresholds in a rat model of neuropathic pain. The sciatic nerve was constricted with chromic gut ligatures to induce chronic neuropathic pain (CCI model).\(^ {24} \) After recovering from the surgery, the mechanical and thermal thresholds were tested every other day. When hyperalgesia and allodynia developed, we tested the effects of perisciatic nerve drug application on the pain-like behaviors of the neuropathic rats (fig. 2). The peripheral injection of the QX-314/capsaicin combination produced a transient increase in the withdrawal thresholds to mechanical and thermal stimulation in neuropathic rats. We found that the mechanical and thermal withdrawal thresholds increased for all of the neuropathic rats (six anesthetized and six unanesthetized) administered the QX-314/capsaicin combination. Figure 2A shows that, at its peak, the perisciatic injection of the QX-314/capsaicin combination produced an approximately 3-fold increase in the normalized mechanical threshold of rats with sciatic nerve ligations compared with the preinjection baseline, and lidocaine (2%) produced an approximately 2-fold increase (paired Student \( t \) test, \( P \leq 0.01, n = 6 \)). However, as mentioned above, the rats injected with lidocaine (2%) were observed to drag the injected limb. No motor deficits were observed in rats injected with the QX-314/capsaicin combination. Unlike normal rats, we found that the QX-314/capsaicin combination also produced an approximately 2-fold increase in the normalized thermal latency of rats with sciatic nerve ligations compared with the preinjection baseline (fig. 2C, paired Student \( t \) test, \( P \leq 0.01, n = 6 \)). The effects of the QX-314/capsaicin combination on the mechanical and thermal withdrawal thresholds in neuropathic rats appears to be robust because application of the drugs to different regions of the sciatic nerve (proximal, distal, or at the ligation site) produced nearly identical increases in the withdrawal thresholds (data not shown). We also found that the normalized mechanical threshold and thermal latency were reduced by the administration of QX-314 or capsaicin alone for rats with peripheral nerve injuries. Administration of the vehicle had little effect on the normal-
ized thermal latency or the normalized mechanical threshold (figs. 2A and C). The time course for the effects of the QX-314/capsaicin combination on the mechanical threshold and thermal latency of neuropathic rats indicated that the analgesia produced by the drug combination was transient (figs. 2B and D, two-way ANOVA with repeated measures for the interaction between drug and time, \( P \leq 0.001 \) for mechanical, \( n = 6 \); \( P \leq 0.012 \) for thermal, \( n = 6 \)). Post hoc analysis indicates that the effects of the QX-314/capsaicin combination dissipated in less than 3 h when compared with the vehicle. The fluctuation in the time course for the QX-314/capsaicin combination reflects the variation in the time to peak for different animals. Together these results show that the peripheral injections of the QX-314/capsaicin combination and lidocaine alleviated pain-like behaviors in neuropathic rats, whereas application of QX-314 or capsaicin alone intensified these behaviors. Because the QX-314/capsaicin combination and lidocaine are capable of inhibiting signals from peripheral nociceptors, these results suggest that peripheral nociceptors contribute to the mechanical allodynia and thermal hyperalgesia in rats with constriction injuries of the sciatic nerve.

**The Composition of the Vehicle Can Alter the Effectiveness of the QX-314/Capsaicin Combination**

As mentioned above, different results were reported by other labs using the same drug combination dissolved in a different vehicle. Because the composition of the vehicle can alter the availability of drugs in vivo or even have direct effects on the nervous system, we investigated whether different vehicles could alter the effectiveness of the QX-314/capsaicin combination. Capsaicin is insoluble in water and is typically dissolved in a solvent for use in pain studies, such as DMSO, Intralipid\(^{15,16,34–36}\), or an ethanol/detergent mixture.\(^{13,16,34–36}\) Here we focused on the two most common vehicles: DMSO and an ethanol/detergent mixture. Stock solutions of capsaicin were prepared in either DMSO or ethanol. The capsaicin stock in DMSO (capsaicin/DMSO) was diluted with PBS until the injected solution contained 12.5% DMSO. The capsaicin stock in ethanol was diluted with a saline containing the detergent Tween 80 until the final concentrations of the vehicles in the injected solution contained 10% ethanol and 10% Tween 80 (ethanol/Tween). The analgesic effects of the QX-314/capsaicin combination prepared with DMSO-containing vehicle or ethanol/Tween-containing vehicle was compared on rats with chronic constriction injuries (fig 3). We found that, regardless of the vehicle used, the QX-314/capsaicin combination produced a statistically significant increase in the mechanical threshold and thermal latency after the perisomatic injection compared with the vehicle (two-way ANOVA with repeated measures for the interaction between drug and time, \( P \leq 0.001 \) for mechanical and thermal, \( n = 6 \)). The effectiveness of the analgesia did depend on the vehicle used to deliver the drugs. Post hoc analysis indicated that the QX-314/capsaicin combination was more potent at increasing the withdrawal threshold for mechanical stimuli when it was dissolved in the ethanol/Tween-containing vehicle than in the DMSO-containing vehicle (fig 3). The effectiveness of the QX-314/capsaicin combination on thermal stimuli was not affected by the vehicle in rats with nerve ligations.

Based on the known effects of ethanol, it is possible that the ethanol contributed to the observed differences between the two vehicles. Ethanol reduces the excitability of central neurons and can be used as an anesthetic.\(^{37,38}\) The direct application of ethanol to peripheral nerves reduces the amplitude and can even inhibit the conduction of action potentials.\(^{34,39}\) Ethanol may also facilitate the entry of QX-314 into TRPV1-expressing nociceptors because ethanol activates TRPV1 receptors and potentiates their response to capsaicin.\(^{40,41}\) It is also possible the ethanol/Tween saline may facilitate diffusion of the drugs to the appropriate sites on the axons in the sciatic nerve. Therefore, there is a strong possibility that the ethanol/Tween vehicle has a direct effect on the sciatic nerve or that it potentiates the effectiveness of QX-314/capsaicin combination. Consistent with this theory, injection of the ethanol/Tween vehicle intensified the nerve conduction blockade produced by lidocaine or bupivacaine in normal rats.\(^{14}\)

![Fig. 3. Ethanol/Tween-containing vehicle enhances the antinociceptive effects of the QX-314/capsaicin combination in rats with a nerve ligation. Time course shows that withdrawal threshold to mechanical stimuli (A) was increased more by the QX-314/capsaicin combination dissolved in ethanol/Tween-containing vehicle than dimethyl sulfoxide-containing vehicle. The composition of the vehicle had little effect on the withdrawal threshold to thermal stimuli (B). \( \* P < 0.05 \) for ethanol/Tween-containing vehicle compared with the dimethyl sulfoxide-containing vehicle at the same time point. \( N = 6 \). DMSO = dimethyl sulfoxide.](image-url)
Intrathecal Application of QX-314 Sensitizes the Control Paw of CCI Rats to Mechanical Stimuli

Clinically it would be extremely useful if neuraxial administration of the QX-314/capsaicin combination produced safe, effective, and selective analgesia without motor blockade or any other adverse side effects. The opioids that are currently extensively employed in clinical practice for subarachnoid and epidural analgesia are also associated with clinically significant, sometimes fatal, adverse outcomes. Therefore, we examined the effects of central administration of the QX-314/capsaicin combination. Capsaicin-sensitive nociceptive neurons transmit sensory information to interneurons located in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord. The central terminals of these capsaicin-sensitive nociceptors also express functional TRPV1 ion channels and the expression levels of the TRPV1 protein increases after nerve injury in the dorsal horn. We hypothesized that inhibiting the central terminals of the capsaicin-sensitive nociceptors with the QX-314/capsaicin combination would be as effective at reducing neuropathic pain as inhibiting nerve conduction. The drugs were delivered using intrathecal catheters and osmotic pumps to lengthen the duration of drug application, mimicking the clinical scenario of intrathecal pain pump therapy. The pumps were capable of delivering drugs for 2 weeks after the surgery, which was important because chronic neuropathic pain lasts for months or years and the peripheral injections produced effects that only lasted for hours. The drugs were dissolved in the DMSO-containing vehicle because the peripheral injection of the QX-314/capsaicin combination dissolved in the DMSO vehicle produced reliable analgesia in normal and neuropathic rats. In addition, unlike ethanol, DMSO does not have anesthetic effects at the concentrations used in this study.

The central administration of the QX-314/capsaicin combination was less effective than peripheral injection at reducing pain-like behaviors for the ligated leg of neuropathic rats (fig. 4). Surprisingly, both the QX-314/capsaicin combination and QX-314 alone were capable of producing statistically significant decreases in the mechanical and/or thermal thresholds of the control leg contralateral to the ligation, suggesting that they caused pain-like behaviors (fig. 4, two-way ANOVA with repeated measures for the interaction between drug and time, \( P \leq 0.001 \) for mechanical and thermal, \( n = 5 \) or 6). Post hoc analysis indicated that the mechanical threshold and thermal latency decreased for the paws ipsilateral to the ligation after the nerve ligation on day 0, whereas they remained the same or increased slightly for the contralateral paws (fig. 4). Compared with the control (unligated) paw, the decrease in the withdrawal thresholds of the ligated paw reached statistically significant levels 1–5 days after the surgery for mechanical stimuli and 7 days after the surgery for thermal stimuli. The mechanical allodynia and thermal hyperalgesia typically persisted for at least 1 month (data not shown). It is interesting to note that the baseline for the mechanical withdrawal was much higher for this group of animals than we typically observe before any surgeries or drug treatments. However, the mechanical allodynia and thermal hyperalgesia developed normally, so we proceeded with the drug treatment. The QX-314/capsaicin combination or QX-314 were administered by replacing the old pumps with new ones containing the drugs 7 days after the nerve ligation. The pumps were replaced after testing the withdrawal thresholds and confirming that the rats exhibited mechanical allodynia and thermal hyperalgesia.

Unlike the peripheral injections, we found that the central administration of the QX-314/capsaicin combination did not change the mechanical threshold for the ligated leg (fig.
4). Instead, both the QX-314/capsaicin combination and QX-314 alone decreased the mechanical threshold of the control leg contralateral to the ligature (fig. 4). Notice that before the drug application, the withdrawal threshold for mechanical stimuli was lower for the paw ipsilateral to the nerve ligature compared with the contralateral paw. After the administration of the QX-314/capsaicin combination and QX-314 alone, the withdrawal threshold for the control leg decreased until it became indistinguishable from the ligated leg. The QX-314/capsaicin combination had similar effects on the thermal latency. The administration of the QX-314/capsaicin combination had no effect on the thermal latency of the ligated leg, whereas it decreased the latency of the control leg (fig. 4). In contrast to the QX-314/capsaicin combination, QX-314 alone appeared to have an analgesic effect restoring the thermal latency for the paw ipsilateral to the ligature to preligation levels (fig. 4). However, the increase in the thermal latency was also accompanied by severe behavioral side effects, as described below. In some cases the behavior was so severe that the animals could not be safely handled, and they were euthanized. Data collection for QX-314-treated rats was terminated early because the withdrawal thresholds could not be measured for three of the animals after day 14. The vehicle did not have any obvious effects on the withdrawal thresholds for mechanical and thermal stimuli (fig. 4).

All of the animals treated with 0.2% QX-314 alone (6/6) exhibited abnormal behaviors including agitation, vigorous vocalization, running, circling, rolling, and jumping. Rats treated with the QX-314/capsaicin combination also exhibited some agitated behavior, but it was less frequent and less severe. Intrathecal administration of higher concentrations of QX-314 (0.4%) elicited more severe pain-like behaviors that were not ameliorated by capsaicin. These results suggested that either the intrathecal administration of QX-314 directly induced pain-like behaviors or that it amplified the pain produced by the ligation.

**Central Administration of QX-314 Causes a Pain-like Behavior in Normal Rats**

In order to determine if QX-314 directly caused pain, we implanted intrathecal catheters and osmotic pumps in rats without nerve ligations. Pumps were filled with either the vehicle, QX-314, capsaicin, or the QX-314/capsaicin combination, and the behavior of the animals was monitored for the next 2 weeks. In these experiments, no statistically significant differences were detected in the thermal latency between the right and left legs (two-way ANOVA with repeated measures for differences in the means for different sides; \( P = 0.342 \) for vehicle, \( n = 7 \); \( P = 0.043 \) for capsaicin, \( n = 8 \); \( P = 0.739 \) for QX-314, \( n = 8 \); \( P = 0.375 \) for the QX-314/capsaicin combination, \( n = 7 \) ). Post hoc analysis indicates that the withdrawal threshold for mechanical stimulation was different on 2 days when one rat had unusually high thresholds for the right paw. The reason for these high measurements is unknown. Except for this one rat, the similarity in the withdrawal responses for the right and left legs in these experiments suggested that both sides of the spinal cord were similarly affected by the drugs (data not shown).

Interestingly, we found capsaicin, either alone or in the QX-314/capsaicin combination, produced a prolonged increase in the withdrawal threshold to mechanical stimuli compared with the vehicle (two-way ANOVA with repeated measures for the interaction between drug and time, \( P \leq 0.001 \) for mechanical and thermal, \( P \leq 0.001 \), \( n = 7 \) or \( 8 \)). Post hoc analysis revealed that the increase in the mechanical threshold reached a maximum within a few days after the pump was implanted and lasted for approximately 1 week (fig. 5). Intrathecal administration of QX-314 alone had little effect on the mechanical threshold. Data collection for two of the QX-314-treated rats ceased after postsurgery day 7 because the animals could not be safely handled. For this reason, statistical analyses were performed once for all of the groups from the beginning of the experiment to postsurgical day 7, and a second time, without the QX-314 treated group, for full duration of the experiment. None of the drugs produced lasting changes in the withdrawal threshold to thermal stimulation compared with the vehicle (fig. 5).

The behavior of the normal rats implanted with intrathecal catheters and osmotic pumps was also monitored and scored. Rats were acclimated to a behavior chamber and then watched for a 10-min period. We found that most of the rats administered QX-314 alone (12/14) exhibited abnormal behaviors such as restless, vocalization, running, circling, rolling, and jumping (fig. 6A). Only 25% of the rats (3/12) administered the QX-314/capsaicin combination had abnormal behaviors. Rats that received vehicle or capsaicin alone typically explored the chamber for the first few minutes and then spent the rest of the time alternating between bouts of grooming and bouts of inactivity, such as rest or sleep. None of the rats administered vehicle or capsaicin alone vocalized or exhibited circling behaviors (fig. 6A).

The behavior of rats receiving vehicle, QX-314, capsaicin, or the QX-314/capsaicin combination was quantified by ranking the behavior for each minute of the 10-min observation period. The behavioral score was based on a graded scale from 0–4, with 0 indicating no sign of excitation and 4 representing the most severe behavior (see Materials and Methods). The scores for each minute of the observation period were summed at the end of the observation period to generate the cumulative ranks time course shown in figure 6B. We found that the intrathecal administration of QX-314...
alone was associated with a statistically significant increase in agitated behaviors (two-way ANOVA with repeated measures for the interaction between drug and time, $P < 0.05$, $n = 9$). The abnormal behavior began approximately 4 or 5 days after the implantation of the pumps (fig. 6B). Interestingly, the number of rats that had the agitated behavior and the severity of the behavior was lower for the QX-314/capsaicin combination group, suggesting that capsaicin can protect rats from this side effect of QX-314 (fig. 6). For the behavioral time course, severe behavior prevented us from observing the behavior for one rat after the peak on day 6 and a second rat after day 8. Notice that the behavioral scores were still increased compared with controls after removing the two most extreme rats. The QX-314-induced behaviors appeared to be reversible because the agitated behavior ceased by the end of the experiment when the pumps were depleted of drugs (data not shown).

**Effects of QX-314 on Spinal Cord Morphology and Immunohistochemistry**

Local anesthetics can be toxic to central neurons and produce lesions of the spinal cord.4–8 Although our study was designed to use low, and presumably safe, concentrations of QX-314 (0.2%), it is possible that the long-term exposure to QX-314 produced spinal cord lesions that caused the pain-like behaviors. In order to investigate this possibility, we examined spinal cord morphology after finishing the behavioral experiments. First a laminectomy of the lumbar region was performed to determine the location of the tip of the catheter. In all of the animals, the catheter tip was found to be near the dorsal surface of the spinal cord, near the lumbar enlargement. A small segment of the spinal cord near the opening of the catheter was removed, fixed, and pro-

---

**Fig. 5.** Differential changes in the withdrawal thresholds of normal rats were produced by intrathecal QX-314, capsaicin, and the QX-314/capsaicin combination. (A) Capsaicin alone and the QX-314/capsaicin combination produced statistically significant elevation of the withdrawal threshold for mechanical stimuli compared with the vehicle controls. QX-314 did not produce statistically significant changes in the mechanical withdrawal threshold. (B) QX-314, capsaicin alone, and the QX-314/capsaicin combination had little effect on the withdrawal latency to thermal stimuli. *$P < 0.05$ compared with the vehicle at the same time point. For mechanical stimulation: $n = 7$ for vehicle and the QX-314/capsaicin combination, $n = 8$ for QX-314 alone and capsaicin alone. For thermal stimulation: $n = 7$ for the QX-314/capsaicin combination, $n = 8$ for vehicle, QX-314 alone, and capsaicin alone. The break in the line connecting the QX-314 data points indicated that data could not be collected for some of the animals at later test sessions. Cap = capsaicin; QX = QX-314.

**Fig. 6.** Intrathecal QX-314 induced abnormal motor behaviors. (A) Frequency of abnormal agitated behaviors after the intrathecal administration of QX-314, capsaicin, and the QX-314/capsaicin combination. Rats administered QX-314 alone or the QX-314/capsaicin combination were more likely to display agitated behaviors like vocalization, running, circling, and jumping. None of the rats treated with vehicle or capsaicin alone displayed abnormal behaviors. *$P < 0.05$ (Kruskal–Wallis one-way ANOVA on ranks followed by post hoc comparisons using Dunn’s method). (B) Time course of the agitated behaviors after intrathecal administration of the drugs. The rats were observed and the behavioral responses were ranked for a 10-min period. The scores were recorded for every minute of observation and summed at the end of the experiment. Notice that the cumulative behavioral scores were higher for QX-314 ($n = 9$) and the QX-314/capsaicin combination ($n = 7$) groups, whereas they were unchanged for the capsaicin ($n = 8$) and vehicle ($n = 8$) groups. *$P < 0.05$ compared with the baseline before the injection and compared with the vehicle control. The break in the line connecting the QX-314 data points indicated that data could not be collected for some of the animals at later test sessions. Cap = capsaicin; QX = QX-314; Veh = vehicle.
cessed for histology. No obvious lesions were detected in the spinal cord sections from vehicle, QX-314, capsaicin, or the QX-314/capsaicin combination-treated animals (fig. 7). This suggests that the behavioral changes were not caused by overt lesions of the spinal cord.

The potential toxic effects of QX-314 were examined further by immunohistochemical staining for two proteins that are considered sensitive markers of neurotoxicity: Iba1, a cytosolic calcium binding protein expressed in microglia, and glial fibrillary acidic protein, an astrocyte specific marker. The sections used to label microglia and astrocytes were prepared from the same spinal cord samples used to examine the morphology of the dorsal horn. We did not find any obvious differences in Iba1 or glial fibrillary acidic protein expression pattern in the spinal cord dorsal horn between vehicle, QX-314, capsaicin, or the QX-314 combination-treated animals (fig. 8). In addition, there was no evidence of neuroinflammation near the infusion site for the drugs. We counted the number of microglia and astrocytes in the dorsal horn and examined the morphology of the cell bodies and processes. Based on the cell counts and the morphology, we did not detect a statistically significant increase in the activation of microglia or astrocytes in the spinal cord (Kruskal–Wallis one-way ANOVA; $P = 0.117$ for microglia, $n = 4$; $P = 0.913$ for astrocytes, $n = 4$). However, it should be noted that the effects of the drugs on myelination were not examined and a definitive comment about the toxicity of the drugs cannot be made at this time.

Discussion

We aimed to investigate whether selectively silencing TRPV1-expressing nociceptors with the QX-314/capsaicin combination would reduce pain-like behaviors in a rat model for neuropathic pain. Surprisingly, we found differential effects for peripheral versus neuraxial coadministration of QX-314 with capsaicin. Perisciatric nerve application produced an effective analgesia to noxious mechanical stimuli, whereas intrathecal administration of the drug combination caused extreme behaviors that resembled spontaneous pain-like behaviors. In addition, our results suggested that extracellular QX-314 was capable of modulating behavior, in contradiction to the current belief that QX-314 is impermeable to cell membranes and that it has little or no effect when applied extracellularly at low concentrations.13,15,31,49

Nociceptive Inputs and Neuropathic Pain

Typically nociceptors are polymodal in that they are activated by a wide range of noxious stimuli.50,51 Two reasons for the polymodal activation of nociceptors are: individual transducer channels are activated by several different noxious stimuli and individual nociceptors can express multiple nociceptive properties.52,53
transducer channels. For example, the transducer channel TRPV1, expressed by approximately 50% of the nociceptors, is activated by capsaicin, heat, acidity, and lipids. TRPV1-expressing nociceptors can also express TRPV2, TRPV3, TRPV4, and TRPA1. This diversity in transducer channel expression and activation has contributed to the often contradictory roles proposed for TRPV1 channels in acute and neuropathic pain. Although some studies have shown that capsaicin-sensitive nociceptors are activated by both noxious mechanical and thermal stimuli in normal rats, there are several conflicting studies indicating that noxious heat and touch are transduced by different pathways and that the TRPV1-expressing nociceptors respond only to noxious thermal stimuli.

In contrast to previous studies, we found that blocking the TRPV1-expressing neurons in normal animals with perisomatic injection of the QX-314/capsaicin combination increased the withdrawal threshold for mechanical stimulation without changing the threshold for thermal stimulation. This data supports the theory that noxious mechanical and thermal stimuli are transduced by different pathways in normal animals. However, unlike a majority of the previous studies, our data suggest that TRPV1-expressing nociceptors are activated by mechanical stimuli. It is important to note that the method used in this study was different from most other studies. Typically, TRPV1-receptor agonists such as capsaicin or resiniferatoxin were used to desensitize or ablate TRPV1-expressing nociceptors. A disadvantage of this technique is that capsaicin analogs initially activate TRPV1 receptors stimulating action potentials and the release of neurotransmitters from nociceptors with dramatic short- and long-term effects on sensory signaling pathways. Instead, our
approach was designed to minimize nociceptor activation and neurotransmitter release by using a low dose of capsaicin that did not evoke pain-like behaviors. In addition, when administering the drug combination, QX-314 was applied before capsaicin to minimize the activation of TRPV1 receptors and maximize the antinociceptive effects of the drug combination.

This study suggests that the role of TRPV1 receptors in nociception was modulated by CCI to the sciatic nerve. In normal animals, only the sensitivity to mechanical stimuli was changed by perisciatic injection of the QX-314/capsaicin combination. However, in neuropathic animals the drug combination caused statistically significant increases in the withdrawal thresholds for both mechanical and thermal stimulation. These results indicate that both noxious heat and touch are transduced by TRPV1-expressing neurons in neuropathic rats. The desensitization and/or ablation of TRPV1-expressing neurons with the capsaicin analog resiniferatoxin produced similar results in animals with CCIIs. Systemic administration or planter injection of multiple doses of resiniferatoxin reduced withdrawal thresholds for both mechanical and thermal stimulation in neuropathic rats. It has been shown that constriction or transection of the sciatic nerve leads to the ectopic expression of TRPV1 ion channels in nonnociceptive A-fibers and there is also sprouting of the central terminals of A-fibers from deep spinal cord laminae involved in the processing of nonnoxious proprioception to superficial laminae involved in processing noxious nociceptive stimuli. Both the increased expression of the TRPV1 ion channels and the sprouting of nonnociceptive A-fibers could contribute to the increased effectiveness of the QX-314/capsaicin combination in neuropathic rats.

Permeation of QX-314

Our results suggest that QX-314 is capable of modulating behavior through mechanisms that are independent of TRPV1 channel activation. Lidocaine and its derivatives are believed to block the voltage-gated sodium channels by binding to their intracellular domains. Therefore, charged derivatives of lidocaine like QX-314 that are impermeable to cell membrane should theoretically have little or no effect on the neuronal excitability when applied extracellularly. Consistent with this theory, the extracellular application of QX-314 does not inhibit action potentials in cultured dorsal root ganglion neurons or the squid giant axon. However, we have clearly shown that in an intact animal, the extracellular administration of QX-314 caused abnormal behaviors. This result is consistent with the observations that QX-314 alone produced long-lasting local anesthesia at similar concentrations to lidocaine, and reduced the hyperexcitability of dorsal root ganglion neurons in different pain models. Therefore, QX-314 is either capable of acting at extracellular sites or entering cells by mechanisms that are independent of TRPV1 channel activation.

Selectivity of the QX-314/Capsaicin Combination

The selectivity of the QX-314/capsaicin combination depends on how it is administered. For peripheral injections, the predominant effect of the QX-314/capsaicin combination was to inhibit the conduction of action potentials in TRPV1-expressing neurons and selectively block the transmission of nociceptive information to the central nervous system. We did not detect any adverse behaviors after the perisciatic administration of QX-314 alone or the QX-314/capsaicin combination. In contrast, the intrathecal administration of QX-314 severely altered the behavior of the rats. Long-term exposure to QX-314 is not necessary for producing the agitated behaviors because a single bolus injection of QX-314 into the lumbar intrathecal space produces similar behaviors in mice. Interestingly, injection of QX-314 into the rostral ventromedial medulla reversed the tactile and thermal hyperexcitability caused by nerve injury without producing any agitated behaviors. Therefore, the spinal cord neural networks appear to be important for generating the pain-like behaviors caused by QX-314.

The mechanisms underlying the agitated behaviors induced by QX-314 are currently unknown. One possible explanation is that QX-314 is toxic to the spinal cord because bolus intrathecal injections of QX-314 rapidly induce severe signs of irritation and even cause death in mice. The dose of QX-314 used in our study was 200–10,000-fold lower than the bolus injections that produced acute irritation in mice (adjusting for body weight). However, it is possible that the continuous infusion of low doses of QX-314 caused toxic effects with a delayed onset. The time course of the agitated behavior after implanting pumps containing QX-314 was consistent with delayed toxicity. It is possible that the toxic effects of QX-314 were detected indicating that the 0.2% QX-314, we examined the morphology of the dorsal horn near the infusion site using hematoxylin and eosin-stained sections. We further tested for markers indicative of neuroinflammation, such as astrocyte or microglial activation, that have been implicated in the development of acute and chronic pain in animal models. No overt lesions or signs of increased neuroinflammation were detected indicating that the 0.2% QX-314 was not highly toxic inducing general cell death. Nevertheless, it is important to remember that we did not examine myelination, and glial activation was examined only at the end of the experiment. Therefore, a definitive conclusion about the toxicity of QX-314 cannot be made at this time.

It is also possible that the pain-like behaviors induced by QX-314 were caused by an imbalance between the excitatory and inhibitory inputs to spinal interneurons in the pain pathway. In addition to blocking voltage-gated sodium channels, QX-314 can inhibit potassium channels activated by N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors, 81,82 and nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. The neurons in the pain pathway use the gabaergic, glutamatergic, and cholinergic transmitter systems to process sensory
information and the disruption of these systems has been linked to chronic neuropathic pain.\textsuperscript{18,85,86} Furthermore, QX-314 disrupts G protein-coupled receptor signaling.\textsuperscript{87–90} Considering the prevalence of G protein-coupled receptors in nociceptive pathways,\textsuperscript{71–75} it is also possible that the agitated behaviors were caused by the direct effects of QX-314 on G proteins. Alternatively, some lidocaine derivatives activate TRPV1 currents and potentiate the response of dorsal root ganglion neurons to capsaicin and heat.\textsuperscript{94,95} However, extracellular QX-314 does not activate TRPV1 ion channels and partially blocks capsaicin-evoked inward currents instead. It is unlikely that the activation of TRPV1 ion channels contributes to the QX-314-induced behavioral changes. Here we have shown that the peripheral, but not central, application of the QX-314/capsaicin combination selectively inhibits nociceptors in naïve and neuropathic rats. When applied intrathecally, QX-314 alone or the QX-314/capsaicin combination has “nonspecific” effects that cause abnormal pain-like behaviors in normal rats. The severity of these behaviors indicates that QX-314 is unsuitable as a spinal analgesic unless the causes of its side effects can be identified and controlled.
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