A 77-YR-OLD woman was admitted to our intensive care unit after uncomplicated on-pump coronary artery bypass grafting. The anesthesiologist reported that direct laryngoscopy was impossible. Use of a videolaryngoscope (GlideScope®; Verathon Medical, IJsselstein, Netherlands) improved the laryngeal visibility. After repeated attempts, a standard endotracheal tube with an inner diameter of 7.5 mm was successfully inserted using the recommended Rigid Stylet® (Verathon Medical, IJsselstein, Netherlands). Slight intraoral bleeding after intubation was explained by nasogastric tube placement under dual antiplatelet and heparin therapy. No further problems were reported on admission.

During preparation for extubation, large oral and pharyngeal blood clots had to be removed, and an atypical tube position was noted. The tube perforated the palatoglossal arch and the right tonsil, as indicated by the arrow in the figure. Conventional extubation was considered too dangerous because of recent intubation problems and potential rebleeding from the perforation. The consultant otolaryngologist cut through the tissue-band, released the tube, and closed the mucosal wound surgically. The patient was eventually extubated without complications on the first postoperative day.

There are several reports on pharyngeal injuries after intubation using videolaryngoscopy. Mechanisms of injury include a blind-spot in the oropharynx, where the videolaryngoscope can hide the tube during advancement and concentration may be focused on the videolaryngoscopy monitor before the stylet-reinforced tube becomes visible on the screen. Therefore tube advancement should be directly visualized from the mouth until the tube has entered the hypopharynx, and thorough oral and pharyngeal inspection for airway trauma should be considered after difficult airway management.
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