Mutations M287L and Q266I in the Glycine Receptor α1 Subunit Change Sensitivity to Volatile Anesthetics in Oocytes and Neurons, but Not the Minimal Alveolar Concentration in Knockin Mice
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ABSTRACT

Background: Volatile anesthetics (VAs) alter the function of key central nervous system proteins but it is not clear which, if any, of these targets mediates the immobility produced by VAs in the face of noxious stimulation. A leading candidate is the glycine receptor, a ligand-gated ion channel important for spinal physiology. VAs variously enhance such function, and blockade of spinal glycine receptors with strychnine affects the minimal alveolar concentration (an anesthetic EC₅₀) in proportion to the degree of enhancement.

Methods: We produced single amino acid mutations into the glycine receptor α1 subunit that increased (M287L, third transmembrane region) or decreased (Q266I, second transmembrane region) sensitivity to isoflurane in recombinant receptors, and introduced such receptors into mice. The resulting knockin mice presented impaired glycinergic transmission, but heterozygous animals survived to adulthood, and we determined the effect of isoflurane on glycine-evoked responses of brainstem neurons from the knockin mice, and the minimal alveolar concentration for isoflurane and other VAs in the immature and mature knockin mice.

Results: Studies of glycine-evoked currents in brainstem neurons from knockin mice confirmed the changes seen with recombinant receptors. No increases in the minimal alveolar concentration were found in knockin mice, but the minimal alveolar concentration for isoflurane and enfurane (but not halothane) decreased in 2-week-old Q266I mice. This change is opposite to the one expected for a mutation that decreases the sensitivity to volatile anesthetics.

Conclusion: Taken together, these results indicate that glycine receptors containing the α1 subunit are not likely to be crucial for the action of isoflurane and other VAs.

A PRESENT consensus suggests that volatile anesthetics (VAs) bind to proteins to produce their effects, including immobility. ¹ The key question is, “Which proteins?” The list of candidates is short. ¹–³ A top candidate is the glycine receptor (GlyR).

Evidence for the GlyR as a target for VA includes their immobilizing effect, and that other targets must be considered.
and the spinal cord mediates the immobility effect of VA. Moreover, anesthetic concentrations of VAs substantially enhance inhibitory currents through the GlyR, both in homologous systems and neurons. More direct evidence arises from the use of strychnine, a competitive GlyR-specific antagonist, both at the neuronal and whole animal level. The application of halothane to decerebrate rats depressed the spinal cord sensory neuronal activity to noxious stimuli; the concurrent application of strychnine partially reversed the halothane-induced depression. Sevoflurane reduced spontaneous action potential firing of ventral horn interneurons in cultured spinal cord tissue slices; application of strychnine decreased the sevoflurane effect. Intrathecal administration of strychnine increased the minimal alveolar concentration (MAC) of isoflurane. The magnitude of GlyR potentiation expressed in oocytes varies according to the VA used. Intrathecal administration of strychnine to rats anesthetized with halothane, isoflurane, or cyclopropane increased MAC in proportion to the enhancement of GlyRs observed in vitro. Furthermore, intrathecal glycine reduced the isoflurane MAC in rats. These results are compatible with the hypothesis that GlyRs partly mediate the capacity of VAs to produce immobility.

Construction of chimeric glycine-γ-aminobutyric acid type A receptors (GABAA-Rs) allowed the identification of transmembrane amino acids crucial to the VAs' action, namely S267 and A288 in the second and third GlyR transmembrane domains. Mutation of S267 to cysteine and subsequent labeling with thiol-specific reagents blocked the VA potentiation of GlyRs. Furthermore, a crystal structure of a prokaryotic protein closely related to GlyR was obtained in the presence of VAs and showed selective binding of the anesthetics to the regions proposed in the earlier studies of GlyR.

The identification of a mutation in a receptor that renders it insensitive or hypersensitive to modulation by VAs would allow construction of a knockin mouse that could be tested for changes in the sensitivity to VAs. This approach was successful in showing that specific GABAA-Rs are responsible for at least some of the actions of certain injectable anesthetics. In our studies of alcohol action, we found two mutations in the α1 GlyR subunit, one in the second (Q266L) and another in the third transmembrane domain (M287L) that decreased ethanol modulation of GlyR. Mutant mice were constructed in which each of these mutant α1 GlyR subunits replaced the endogenous GlyR subunit (knockin mice). The goal of the present study was to determine if these mutations altered the action of VA on GlyR and if such alterations would affect the immobility produced by VA. This was accomplished by studying glycine responses of recombinant receptors expressed in Xenopus oocytes and of neurons isolated from brainstem of wild-type and knockin mice, and the immobilizing potency (the MAC of anesthetic that abolished movement in response to noxious stimulation in 50% of test subjects) of anesthetics in wild-type and knockin mice.

Materials and Methods
Knockin mouse production and the electrophysiological techniques were described in detail in Borghese et al. We briefly describe them here, and add pertinent details. The experimental work on animals conformed to the guidelines laid out in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, and approval of the corresponding institutional Animal Care Committees was obtained.

Electrophysiology in Xenopus Oocytes
Chloroform was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint Louis, MO), and isoflurane was purchased from Marsam Pharmaceuticals (Cherry Hill, NJ). Isoflurane and chloroform solutions were prepared in buffer immediately before application.

Manually isolated oocytes from Xenopus laevis frogs were injected in the nuclei with complementary DNA encoding wild-type and mutated human α1 GlyR subunits. After 1–5 days, recordings were carried out using the whole cell two-electrode voltage clamp configuration. All drugs were applied by bath-perfusion and solutions were prepared the day of the experiment. To study the chloroform (1 mM) and isoflurane (0.3 mM) modulation of glycine currents, the glycine concentration equivalent to 5% of the maximal glycine-evoked current (EC5) was determined after applying the glycine concentration that produced maximal current (3 mM for wild-type, 10 mM for mutant). Glycine was removed with a washout of 5 min between all applications, except after application of maximal glycine concentrations (15 min). After two applications of EC5 glycine, each of the modulators was preapplied for 1 min and then coapplied with glycine for 30 s. EC5 glycine was applied between coapplication of glycine and modulator. The concentrations of isoflurane and chloroform were chosen as equivalent to 1 x MAC. All experiments shown include data obtained from oocytes taken from at least two different frogs, and oocytes that presented a maximal current more than 20 μA were not included in the data collection.

Knockin Mouse Production
Breeding colonies were established in the Lovinger and Eger laboratories, and mice were produced by heterozygous breeding, resulting in litters with wild-type, heterozygous mutant, and homozygous mutant mice. Homozygous mice for either mutation appear normal at birth, but display tremors and reduced growth by 2 weeks of age with lethality occurring by 2–3 weeks of age for Q266L mice. Thus, studies with mice older than 3 weeks of age were carried out with only heterozygous (vs. wild-type) mice.

Isolation of Neurons and Electrophysiological Recording
Postnatal day 14–21 mice were sacrificed by decapitation during halothane (Sigma-Aldrich) anesthesia and their...
brains were rapidly removed and placed in ice-cold buffer. Brainstem slices were cut using a vibrating blade microtome (Leica VT1200S; Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany), incubated with pronase, and then with thermolysin. The slices were then transferred to a culture dish, and mildly triturated using pipettes to dissociate single neurons.

Membrane currents were recorded in the whole cell configuration at 20—22°C. Cells were held at −60 mV unless otherwise indicated. Data were acquired using pClamp 9.2 software (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). Isoflurane solution was prepared in the extracellular recording solution immediately before beginning experiments each day, and kept in a sealed bottle. Solutions were applied through 3-barrel square glass tubing (Warner Instrument, Hamden, CT) with a tip diameter of approximately 700 μm, using the Warner Fast-Step stepper-motor driven system. The solution exchange time constants were approximately 4 ms for an open pipette tip and 4—12 ms for whole cell recording. The solution in the wells feeding into the solution exchange system was changed after recording from 1 or 2 cells to replenish isoflurane in the line. The drug application system was sealed at all times except when applying isoflurane to a cell, to reduce loss of the volatile anesthetic. In neurons that were recorded for at least 30 min, the isoflurane-induced potentiation did not change over time, indicating limited, if any, loss of the volatile anesthetic from our system.

**MAC Determination**

Animals were held in rooms with 12-h on-off light cycles. The offspring were observed daily for signs of central nervous system irritability, namely tremors. When tremors were observed in a given litter, the capacity of VA to induce immobility in 50% of individual littermates in the face of noxious stimulation (i.e., MAC) was tested within 2—4 days for all mice using techniques described previously.23 Rectal temperatures were monitored and usually maintained between 35.5 and 38.5°C. Anesthetic concentrations were monitored with an infrared analyzer (Datascope, Helsinki, Finland). The initial anesthetic concentration was imposed for a 40-min equilibration period. A low initial target concentration was chosen to ensure movement in response to up to a 1-min application of an alligator clip to the base of the tail. We then increased the anesthetic concentration in steps of 15—20% of the preceding concentration, holding each step for a minimum of 20 to 30 min before again applying the alligator clip. This continued until all mice ceased moving in response to the stimulation. At the end of each step (after application of the tail clip), a sample of gas was taken for anesthetic analysis by gas chromatography. On completion of these studies, snips of tail tips were sent to the Harris laboratory for genotyping. Two groups that were not genotyped (2-week-old Q266L mice tested with enflurane and halothane) were grouped according to the phenotype: tremoring (homozygous) and non-tremoring (wild-type and heterozygous) mice. Mice then were returned to their home cages and in 2 days tested with another anesthetic. The test anesthetics were isoflurane and enflurane for M287L mice, and isoflurane, halothane, and enflurane for Q266L mice. Surviving mice (i.e., wild-type and heterozygous mice) then were allowed to grow to 8—12 weeks of age and were tested for MAC for isoflurane, enflurane, and/or cyclopropane.

The gas chromatograph (Gow-Mac 750 flame ionization detector gas chromatograph; Gow-Mac Instrument Corp., Bridgewater, NJ) was calibrated with secondary standards from tanks containing volatile anesthetic or from concentrations obtained by serial dilution from 100% cyclopropane. MAC for each mouse was calculated as the mean of the concentrations that bracketed movement-nonmovement. The group MAC and standard errors were calculated from these individual values.

**Statistical Analysis**

Prism (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA) was used to conduct the statistical analysis. In all cases, pooled data are presented as mean ± SEM. Differences were assessed with two-tailed Student t test in the oocyte recordings. In the neuronal recordings, we used one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett multiple comparison test, where the objective is to identify groups whose means are significantly different from the mean of a selected “reference group,” in our case, the wild-type mice. We accepted P < 0.05 as significant.

**Results**

We first asked if the M287L or Q266I mutations altered the sensitivity of GlyR to modulation by anesthetics. The application of isoflurane or chloroform produced different effects on the glycine-evoked currents through the mutant receptors expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes (representative tracings in the presence of isoflurane in fig. 1). When applied to the α1(M287L) GlyR, 0.3 mM isoflurane showed an increased potentiation compared with α1 wild-type, whereas the chloroform (1 mM) potentiation was unchanged (fig. 2A). In line with the observed lack of alcohol effects on Q266I,21 isoflurane potentiation was almost abolished in α1(Q266I) GlyR, and chloroform potentiation was severely decreased (fig. 2B).

Previous studies had shown that although the glycine affinity is not modified in neurons from homozygous mice, the maximal glycine current is decreased in homozygous mice.21 We studied the isoflurane effect on submaximal (10 μM) glycine-activated currents in isolated neurons from the knockin mice (fig. 3 shows representative tracings). The GlyR modulation by isoflurane (1 mM) in isolated neurons from knockin mice showed changes that were similar to those observed in *Xenopus* oocytes. In neurons from M287L mice, the isoflurane effect on glycine-evoked currents was increased, and this depended on gene “dosage”: it was greater in neurons from homozygous than in heterozygous mice, and greater in heterozygous than in wild-type mice (figs. 3A and 4). In neurons from Q266L mice, the isoflurane...
effect was decreased according to the presence of the mutation, and essentially absent in homozygous and smaller in heterozygous than in wild-type (figs. 3B and 4B).

Anesthetic sensitivity in vivo was evaluated by determining the MAC values for M287L and Q266I mice of different ages: 14–21 days of age and adult (more than 8 weeks old), in homozygous, heterozygous, and wild-type mice (tables 1 and 2). Cyclopropane was tested as a negative control; it has a small effect on GlyRs expressed in heterologous systems.12 The MAC values for isoflurane and enfurane were unchanged in M287L knockin mice compared with wild-type mice. In adult Q266I heterozygous mice, the MAC values for isoflurane and cyclopropane were not modified compared with wild-type mice. In 2-week-old Q266I mice, tremoring (homozygous) mice showed a decrease in isoflurane and enfurane MAC values compared with non-tremoring (heterozygous and wild-type), whereas halothane showed no differences between these two groups.

Discussion
Defining protein sites of VA action has proven remarkably difficult.1,3 It is useful to consider the criteria that must be satisfied for a protein to be considered a plausible target for VA action. VAs have many similarities to ethanol (small molecules, low potency, multiple potential sites of action), and ethanol might be considered as a VA. Four criteria were proposed for defining a molecular target of alcohol action,24 and they are equally applicable to other VA. In brief, they are: (1) function of the protein must be altered by pharmacolog-
protein. Both GABAA-Rs and GlyRs fulfill several of these criteria. Pharmacological concentrations of most (but not all) VAs enhance the function of these receptors, with the exception of enflurane (17% and 9%, respectively). If the immobility effect were in part mediated by GlyRs, the presence of a mutation in the GlyRs that made them insensitive to a VA would be predicted to increase, not decrease, the concentration in the GlyRs that made them insensitive to a VA.

The striking finding from the present experiments is that introduction of GlyR α1 subunits with either enhanced or decreased modulation by VA into mice has almost no effect on the ability of these agents to produce immobility, and none in the direction expected if the immobility effect was mediated by enhancement of currents mediated by GlyRs. Electrophysiological studies of recombinant receptors as well as neurons from the mutant mice showed that the M287L and Q266I mutations in transmembrane regions of the GlyR consistently altered anesthetic actions, yet the MAC for immobility was not altered for any anesthetic tested, with two exceptions. The 2-week-old homozygous Q266I mice showed a small decrease in the MAC value for isoflurane and enflurane (17% and 9%, respectively). If the MAC for immobility was not altered for any anesthetic tested, with two exceptions. The 2-week-old homozygous Q266I mice showed a small decrease in the MAC value for isoflurane and enflurane (17% and 9%, respectively). If the immobility effect were in part mediated by GlyRs, the presence of a mutation in the GlyRs that made them insensitive to a VA would be predicted to increase, not decrease, the concentration in the GlyRs that made them insensitive to a VA.

Thus far, knockin mice constructed with VA resistant GABAA-Rs have not shown any increase in MAC. This is in contrast to injectable anesthetics such as propofol and etomidate, where a knockin mouse with a GABA<sub>A</sub>-R resistant subunit [β3(N265M)] displayed remarkable resistance to anesthetics with little change in the action of VAs. With the lack of support for GABA<sub>A</sub>-R as a mechanism for the immobility produced by VA, GlyR emerged as one of the “last best hopes” making the construction and study of knockin mice for this receptor a critical step. To accomplish this, we constructed single amino acid mutations in the α1 GlyR subunit that would increase or decrease the actions of VA and constructed knockin mice with each of the mutations. We chose the α1 subunit because there are two kinds of GlyR subunits, α (α1–4) and β. The α2 subunit predominates perinatally, whereas the heteromorphic α1β GlyRs replace them during the first 3 weeks of the postnatal stage, and are the main GlyR present in spinal cord and brainstem in adulthood. The α1β GlyR is difficult to express in Xenopus oocytes, so we decided to use the homomeric α1 GlyR in our heterologous experiments.

The striking finding from the present experiments is that introduction of GlyR α1 subunits with either enhanced or decreased modulation by VA into mice has almost no effect on the ability of these agents to produce immobility, and none in the direction expected if the immobility effect was mediated by enhancement of currents mediated by GlyRs. Electrophysiological studies of recombinant receptors as well as neurons from the mutant mice showed that the M287L and Q266I mutations in transmembrane regions of the GlyR consistently altered anesthetic actions, yet the MAC for immobility was not altered for any anesthetic tested, with two exceptions. The 2-week-old homozygous Q266I mice showed a small decrease in the MAC value for isoflurane and enflurane (17% and 9%, respectively). If the immobility effect were in part mediated by GlyRs, the presence of a mutation in the GlyRs that made them insensitive to a VA would be predicted to increase, not decrease, the concentration in the GlyRs that made them insensitive to a VA.

**Table 1. Minimal Alveolar Concentration in M287L Knockin Mice**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volatile Anesthetic</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>α&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;sub&gt;M/M&lt;/sub&gt; (Wild-type)</th>
<th>α&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;sub&gt;M/L&lt;/sub&gt; (Heterozygous)</th>
<th>α&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;&lt;sub&gt;L/L&lt;/sub&gt; (Homozygous)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Isoflurane</td>
<td>2–4 weeks</td>
<td>1.65 ± 0.07 (5)</td>
<td>1.77 ± 0.02 (4)</td>
<td>1.65 ± 0.06 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adult*</td>
<td>1.54 ± 0.07 (6)</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.06 (10)</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.04 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enflurane</td>
<td>2–4 weeks</td>
<td>2.45 ± 0.07 (5)</td>
<td>2.42 ± 0.08 (8)</td>
<td>2.33 ± 0.03 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>2.28 ± 0.07 (6)</td>
<td>2.38 ± 0.05 (7)</td>
<td>2.33 ± 0.03 (9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are in % atmosphere (average ± SEM, n between parenthesis).

* Mice were 8 weeks old or older.

**Fig. 4.** Isoflurane (1 mM) effect on glycin-evoked (10 μM) currents in isolated neurons from wild-type and knockin mice. (A) Neurons from M287L knockin mice: α<sup>1</sup><sub>M/M</sub>, wild-type; α<sup>1</sup><sub>M/L</sub>, heterozygous; α<sup>1</sup><sub>M</sub>, homozygous. (B) Neurons from Q266I knockin mice: α<sup>1</sup><sub>Q/Q</sub>, wild-type; α<sup>1</sup><sub>Q/I</sub>, heterozygous; α<sup>1</sup><sub>Q</sub>, homozygous. Values are means ± SEM; n = 6 for each group; *P < 0.05, ***P < 0.001 versus corresponding wild-type, one-way ANOVA, Dunnett post hoc test.
transmission, have undergone changes to compensate for that main systems, like the GABAergic and glutamatergic thermore, the data do not support the simple explanation that these changes exactly offset the altered sensitivity of the GlyR is not important for VA immobility. One caveat is that the effects of the mutations we studied were not limited to alterations in VA actions on the receptors, because they also impaired the function of GlyRs. It is possible that reduced glycineric neurotransmission in the mutants could lead to compensatory changes in other neurotransmitters. Indeed, we found that the sedative effects of several drugs that do not affect GlyRs, such as flurazepam and ketamine, were increased in both mutants. In addition, the changes in the loss of righting reflex induced by flurazepam and ketamine were more pronounced in Q266I than in M287L. However, we need to keep in mind that immobility (assessed through MAC) and sedation (assessed through loss of righting reflex) are mediated by different areas in the central nervous system. Furthermore, the data do not support the simple explanation that main systems, like the GABAergic and glutamatergic transmission, as assessed by the acoustic startle reflex and the mortality of homozygous knockin mice, was more marked in Q266I than in M287L. However, we need to keep in mind that immobility (assessed through MAC) and sedation (assessed through loss of righting reflex) are mediated by different areas in the central nervous system. Furthermore, the data do not support the simple explanation that main systems, like the GABAergic and glutamatergic transmission, have undergone changes to compensate for that main systems, like the GABAergic and glutamatergic thermore, the data do not support the simple explanation that these changes exactly offset the decreased glycineric transmission, not the changes in the sensitivity to GlyR. This seems unlikely because both the M287L and Q266I mutations reduce GlyR function, but they do it to a different degree, and there is no evidence for specific compensatory changes in the structures mediating immobility. We conclude that actions of VAs on the α1 GlyR have little or no role in the production of immobility by VAs. As Thomas Henry Huxley said, we face once again “the great tragedy of Science – the slaying of a beautiful hypothesis by an ugly fact.” We conclude that the known molecular targets of VAs are not able to account for the immobilizing actions of VAs, and a search for new targets is required.
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Table 2. Minimal Alveolar Concentration in Q266I Knockin Mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volatile Anesthetic</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>α1 Q/Q (Wild-type)</th>
<th>α1 Q/I (Heterozygous)</th>
<th>α1 I/I (Homozygous)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Isoflurane</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>1.79 ± 0.07 (10)</td>
<td>1.48 ± 0.03 (8)*</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enflurane</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>3.55 ± 0.09 (8)</td>
<td>3.23 ± 0.11 (5)*</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halothane</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>1.93 ± 0.05 (9)</td>
<td>1.86 ± 0.09 (9)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoflurane</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>1.69 ± 0.06 (7)</td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.07 (5)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclopropane</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>18.7 ± 1.1 (7)</td>
<td>16.4 ± 1.0 (5)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are in % atmosphere (average ± SEM, n between parenthesis). Two-week-old mice were grouped according to their phenotype (tremoring mice: homozygous; non-tremoring mice: wild-type or heterozygous). Adult mice (8 weeks old or older) were grouped according to genotype.

* P < 0.05 vs. corresponding control group, Student t test.
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