Shifts in Cell-type Expression Accompany a Diminishing Role of Spinal P38-Mapkinase Activation over Time during Prolonged Postoperative Pain
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ABSTRACT

Background: Surgery often causes prolonged postoperative pain, the mechanisms of which are unknown. The authors investigated the role of p38, a pain-associated mitogen-activated protein kinase, in induction and maintenance of such pain.

Methods: Male rats were subjected to the skin–muscle incision retraction procedure at the saphenous region; the procedure causes ~4 weeks of secondary tactile hyperalgesia in the ipsilateral plantar region, indicating central sensitization. The spinal cord was sectioned from L3 and L4 + L5 vertebral segments and stained for activated p38 (P-p38) at postoperative day 3 (POD 3), just as secondary hyperalgesia develops; at PODs 10–12, the time of maximum hyperalgesia; and at POD 35, after the resolution of hyperalgesia. Some sections were costained for microglia, astrocytes, and neurons. Intrathecal injections of a P-p38 inhibitor were performed at POD 2 or POD 9, and subsequent changes in pain were monitored.

Results: Skin–muscle incision retraction increased the numbers of dorsal horn P38 positive cells in L3 by ~3-fold and in L4 + L5 by ~7-fold from POD 3 to PODs 11–12. This increase was accompanied by a shift from microglia to neurons, resulting in a ~20-fold increase in P-p38–positive neurons in L4–L5 over this time. No P-p38 was detected in astrocytes. A P-p38 inhibitor given at POD 2 prevented development of secondary hypersensitivity, but when given at POD 9 the same dose gave weak relief of pain for less than 3 h.

Conclusions: Spinal P-p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase, activated after incision retraction, is important for the induction of prolonged pain, but despite increased pain near the time of maximum pain, its functional importance for the maintenance of pain is not great.

POSTOPERATIVE pain of various durations can occur after different surgical procedures. Minor plastic surgery causes resting pain for 48–72 h, whereas thoracotomies and herniorrhaphies often result in pain that lasts 6 months or longer.1,2 Prolonged pain slows recovery, causing personal discomfort and social withdrawal.

The reasons for these different pain durations are unknown. Both primary hyperalgesia, at the site of injury, and secondary hyperalgesia, at regions not directly affected by the surgical procedure, contribute to the course of postoperative pain. Secondary hyperalgesia results from “central sensitization” in the spinal cord and brain that accounts for the longer duration of pain that follows peripheral injury. Surgical incision through the skin and muscles of the foot3 or back4 leads to 3–5 days of acute postincisional pain. Animal models show the involvement in the spinal cord of increased gluta-
mammary, α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazole proponate/kainate, and metabotropic glutamate (mGluR5) receptors and of activated p38 (P-p38) mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and chemokine CCL-2 in this acute pain model. Peripheral treatments that cause more prolonged pain, such as nerve injury or inflammation, involve some but not all of these factors (i.e., α-methyl-d-aspartate type glutamate receptors are involved in inflammatory and some nerve-injury pain, as are chemokines other than CCL-2). However, surgical procedures resulting in prolonged postoperative pain have not been examined to identify the factors responsible for the chronic and acute phases.

In the current study, we used the skin–muscle incision retraction (SMIR) model that causes 4–5 weeks of postoperative secondary hyperalgesia and allodynia to examine the role of activated p38 in prolonged postoperative pain. Incision and retraction of the skin and muscle, entrapping the saphenous nerve for 1 hr, results in mechanical hypersensitivity detected on the plantar surface of the ipsilateral paw, far from the site of incision or retraction. Importantly, there are no indications of any peripheral nerve injury in this model. Systemic morphine and gabapentin can reverse the mechano-hyperalgesia caused by SMIR.

The saphenous nerve enters the spinal cord primarily at L3, with a lesser component entering at L4. The L4 spinal segment is predominantly innervated by the sciatic nerve, whereas L5 exclusively receives input from the sciatic nerve, which terminates in the plantar paw, the tested area for tactile hypersensitivity after SMIR. Thus, tests of altered plantar sensitivity after saphenous manipulations reveal coupling between the sciatic and saphenous nerves resulting from neuroplasticity caused by central sensitization.

We hypothesized that the course of mechanohyperalgesia from the SMIR procedure would be paralleled by a change in P-p38 expression in microglia, where it was known to be increased after paw incision. By examining spinal cord sections from the L3 and L4 + L5 segments taken from rats at different postoperative pain stages, we can correlate P-p38 expression with secondary hypersensitivity. Costaining for glia and neurons in the dorsal horn (DH) allows the identification of the cell types expressing P-p38. Intrathecal delivery of an inhibitor of P-p38 near these spinal segments at different pain stages indicates its functional importance in postoperative pain induction and maintenance.

Materials and Methods

Animals

All animal experimentation was approved by the Harvard Medical Area Standing Committee on Animals, Boston, Massachusetts. Male Sprague-Dawley rats were purchased from Charles River Laboratory (Wilmington, MA) and kept in the supervised animal housing facilities with controlled humidity (20–30% relative humidity), room temperature (24°C), a 12-h (6:00 AM–6:00 PM) light–dark cycle, and unlimited access to food and water. Before all behavioral experiments, the animals were handled to familiarize them with the behavioral investigator, experimental environment, and specific experimental procedures for reduction of stress during experiments. At the time of surgery, rats weighed approximately 250–300 g, and after the longest postoperative period, 13 days, they weighed 315–345 g.

SMIR Surgery

Skin–muscle incision retraction surgery was performed as developed by Flatters. Rats (250–300 g) were anesthetized with intraperitoneal sodium pentobarbital (Nembutal; Sigma–Aldrich Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO; 50 mg/ml) at doses of 65–75 mg/kg, laid supine, and the medial side of the left lower limb shaved. The shaved skin was sterilized with β-iodine and then alcohol to enable visualization through the skin of the saphenous vein. A 1.2–1.5-cm skin incision was made approximately 4 mm medial to the saphenous vein to reveal the muscle of the leg. An incision (7–10 mm long) was then made in the superficial muscle layer, approximately 4 mm medial to the saphenous nerve. The superficial muscle was then parted further by spreading it with blunt scissors within the muscle incision site to allow the insertion of a micro-dissecting retractor with four prongs, spaced over an 8-mm distance, each prong being 4 mm deep (Cat. No. 13-1090, Biomedical Research Instruments Inc., Silver Spring, MD). The retractor was inserted into the incision site on the thigh so as to position all prongs underneath the superficial gracilis muscle and above the adductor magnus muscle. The skin and this muscle were then retracted 2 cm, revealing the fascia of the underlying muscles. Covered by a sterile phosphate-buffered-saline–soaked dressing over the open wound, this retraction was maintained for 1 h. The animals were closely monitored during the retraction period; if a rat began to stir or blink its eyes, additional anesthesia was provided by muzzle inhalation of the volatile general anesthetic sevoflurane (Ultane; Abbott Labs, Abbott Park, IL). During this period the animals were completely covered (apart from the head) with a large absorbent bench pad (Cat. No. 56616-031; VWR International, West Chester, PA) to minimize heat loss. After the retractor was removed, muscle and skin wounds were closed with 4–0 Vicryl® (Myco Medical, Cary, NC) and 3–0 silk sutures (Angiotech; Surgical Specialties Corp., Reading PA), respectively. Sham-operated rats underwent the same incisional procedure, with the same general anesthetic, but without the retraction. After recovery from anesthesia, which occurred in 1–2 h, all animals could ambulate normally and rise up on their hind limbs to reach food and water.

Immunocytochemistry

At 3, 11–12, and 35 days after surgery, animals were terminally anesthetized with pentobarbital (100 mg/kg, intraperitoneal) and transeorally perfused with phosphate buffered saline at room temperature, followed by cold paraformaldehyde (4%) in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4, 4°C). The L3,
L4, and L5 spinal cord segments were dissected, postfixed overnight in the same perfusion fixative, and transferred to 20% sucrose-phosphate buffered saline for cryoprotection, and incubated overnight at 4°C.

For the different postoperative days (PODs) and conditions, the numbers of rats used for P-p38 staining alone were as follows: POD 3: SMIR = 6, sham = 5; PODS 11–12: SMIR = 8, sham = 6; POD 35: SMIR = 4, sham = 4; naïve = 4. For each rat, 6–10 sections usually were counted to give an average number for that rat, and these averages were then averaged to give a mean value for that condition.

For the each of the colocalization experiments, with microglia, astrocytes, or neurons, three sections each from four rats were taken at POD 3, and seven sections from a total of four rats were taken at PODS 10–12.

Spinal cord segments were then frozen at −20°C in a cryostat, and transverse free-floating sections were cut to 30-μm thickness and collected in 0.1 M phosphate buffer. After the sections were blocked with 2% normal goat serum containing Triton X-100 (0.3%) for 1 h at room temperature, all were incubated with either a primary rabbit antibody to P-p38, Iba (or occasionally to OX-42, for microglial staining), glial fibrillary acid protein (GFAP; for astrocyte staining), neuron-specific nuclear protein (NeuN; for neuronal staining), or with no primary antibody. (Sections that were incubated in no primary antibody, but the usual titer of secondary antibody, showed no significant staining of individual cells, documenting the specificity of the secondary antibody.) After the sections were washed thoroughly to remove excess primary antibody, they were incubated with secondary antibodies for 1 h at 20–24°C. Sections were washed free of secondary antibody, placed on glass slides, dried, one drop of Vectashield™ (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) was placed over them, and they were covered with glass cover slips. Antibodies, and their respective titers, were as follows: P-p38 primary antibody (Cell Signaling, phospho-p38 MAPK [Thr180/Tyr182 rabbit monoclonal antibody, no. 9215; Thr180/Tyr182 mouse monoclonal antibody, no. 9216]), 1:400. P-p38 secondary antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA), 1:800. CD11b primary (clone OX-42 [AbD monoclonal antibody; Serotec, Raleigh, NC]), 1:250. CD11b secondary antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA), 1:200. Iba-1 primary antibody (Wako Chemicals USA, Richmond, VA), 1:5000; Iba-1 secondary antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Bar Harbor, ME), 1:400. GFAP primary monoclonal antibody (Millipore Bioscience Research Reagents; Millipore Corp., Woburn, MA), 1:4000. GFAP secondary antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA), 1:400. Neuronal-specific nuclear protein primary antibody (Millipore Bioscience Research Reagents), 1:3000. Neuron Nuclear protein secondary antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA), 1:400. For double immunofluorescence, sections were incubated with a mixture of primary antibodies: rabbit polyclonal antibody against phosphorylated p38 (P-p38), mouse monoclonal antibody against P-p38, GFAP, OX-42, or Iba-1 for 36–48 h at 4°C, followed by a mixture of goat antimouse fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated and goat antirabbit indocarbocyanine-conjugated secondary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature. The staining pattern with the rabbit polyclonal antibody against P-p38 was comparable with that obtained with the mouse monoclonal antibody, although a quantitative comparison was not conducted.

Fluorescence was viewed with an Olympus BX50 fluorescence microscope (Olympus America, Inc., Center Valley, PA), and the computer images captured and manipulated by Image-J software (NIH Image, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda MD). Positive cells were identified by bright spots at more than ×5 background intensity, and those in the DH were counted by hand. Cell bodies were selected by counting only “circular” bright areas and avoiding more diffuse or undefined bright spots. Similar instrument and software settings, adjusted slightly to give virtually equal cell luminosity, were applied to determine the number of P-p38–positive cells in the DH (lamina I–III) of L3 and L4 + L5 segments. These latter segments were merged for analysis because we lacked a clear demarcation between them during sectioning.

### Behavioral Testing of Mechanical Sensitivity

All animals to be tested behaviorally were habituated three times to the testing environment on three sequential days just before testing. Throughout the behavioral testing time courses, the experimenter was blind to the injected agents (P-p38 inhibitor or vehicle solution).

Animals were placed on an increased wire mesh floor and confined underneath individual overturned plastic boxes. Mechanical allodynia or hyperalgesia was assessed using four von Frey filaments (von Frey hair) with bending forces of 4, 6, 10, and 15 g (Touch-Test™ Sensory Evaluators; Stoelting Co., Wood Dale, IL). In ascending order of force, each von Frey hair filament was applied 10 times, to the midplantar-central area of the hind paw encircled by tori (footpads). Withdrawal responses to each of the von Frey hair filaments from both hind paws were counted and recorded. Although significant increases in withdrawal response frequency occurred for tests using the 6-, 10-, and 15-g filaments, only the hyperalgesic response to the 15-g von Frey hair is reported here. The three baseline measurements of mechanical sensitivity were taken on separate days and averaged to provide the preoperative baseline response, denoted by the value graphed on POD 0.

For each time of testing and treatment group, the following numbers of rats were used: Early injection of SB203580 (on POD 2): SB-treated rats, n = 8; vehicle-treated rats, n = 6. Late injection of SB203580 (on POD 9): SB-injected rats, n = 7; vehicle-injected rats, n = 4.
Statistics

Data are presented as means ± SD. For immunocytochemistry, numbers of P-p38–positive cells, averaged for each individual rat (see Materials and Methods) were further averaged to give grand mean ± SD. The density of these cells, counted in lamina I–III of the ipsilateral DH, were compared between SMIR and sham rats using a generalized linear model (PROC GLM), with simultaneous comparisons between treatment groups (SMIR vs. sham), among the three PODs (3 vs. 11 vs. 35), and between spinal segments (L3 vs. L4 + L5). This was followed by pairwise comparisons when allowed, using Tukey multiple comparison procedure (SAS Software, Cary, NC).

Response values from the behavioral experiments were compared between drug (SB203580)-injected and vehicle-injected rats using one-way ANOVA.

For all analyses, the significance minimum is reported for all comparison, which were two-tailed with significance assigned at *P* < 0.05 for pairwise comparisons, and at adjusted values for comparison among larger groups.

Results

**Immunocytochemistry**

Numbers of P-p38–positive Cells Increase with Hyperalgesia. The SMIR procedure results in a tactile hyperalgesia of the ipsilateral hind paw that first develops at PODs 3–4, reaches a maximum at PODs 10–14, and resolves to the preoperative level by POD 35.14 A direct test of the primary incision area is not possible in awake rats, but a sham procedure similar to this one, which included incision but omitted the retraction step, on the rat’s back showed an acute elevation of the primary response over only the first 5–7 days.4 The experiments in this article are directed toward understanding the secondary hypersensitivity that indicates central sensitization after surgical procedures.

Spinal cord sections were taken from rats undergoing SMIR or sham procedures at these respective postoperative time periods and stained for P-p38 using phospho-specific antibodies (fig. 1, A, B, and C). Positively stained cells were apparent in the ipsilateral DH from L3 segments at POD 3 (fig. 1B), primarily in lamina I–III, and were increased over the numbers in the L3 DH taken at POD 3 from naive (fig. 1A) and sham rats (fig. 1C). The L3 spinal segment receives the major input (75%) of the saphenous nerve, with the remaining 25% entering at L4, which also receives afferents from the sciatic nerve.14,18

No staining above the naive level was observed in the contralateral L3 DH (data not shown).

Stained segments taken at the time of maximum pain are shown in figure 1, D, E, and F. P-p38–positive cells were more abundant in the L3 DH from SMIR rats at the time of maximum pain, PODs 11–12 (fig. 1E, table 1). Segments from L3 of sham-treated rats were less densely stained (fig. 1F) but still greater than those from naive rats of the same weight and age (fig. 1, A and D). In addition to the cell bodies, which are distinguished by bright spots, axons passing through the DH, shown by a more diffuse fluorescence, were positive for P-p38 at POD 12, for both SMIR and sham
Table 1. Numbers of P-p38–positive Cells in Lamina I–III of Dorsal Horn of Lumbar Segments from SMIR and Sham-operated Rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spinal Cord Segment</th>
<th>Postoperative Day 3</th>
<th>Postoperative Day 11</th>
<th>Postoperative Day 35</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L3</td>
<td>L4 + L5</td>
<td>L3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMIR</td>
<td>27 ± 4.8 (4)*</td>
<td>7.4 ± 4.7 (7)*</td>
<td>74.3 ± 9.8 (7)**‡+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sham</td>
<td>12 ± 2.2 (4)*</td>
<td>9.3 ± 2.4 (7)*</td>
<td>35 ± 5 (5)**‡</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant differences, by the three-way procedure described in Materials and Methods: * Day 3 vs. day 11 vs. day 35, P < 0.05 for all SMIR in L3 and also in L4 + L5, and for sham between day 3 and day 11 in L3 and in L4 + L5. ‡ SMIR versus sham in L3 (P = 0.017) and in L4 + L5 (P = 0.034) on day 11. L3 = lumbar spinal segment 3; L4 + L5 = lumbar spinal segments 4 and 5; SMIR = skin–muscle incision retraction.

P-p38 Distribution Changes in Microglia and Neurons over Time after Surgery

Counter-staining of P-p38 segments with antibodies that identify activated microglia, OX-42 (data not shown), all microglia (Iba), or activated astrocytes (GFAP) allowed the identification of activated microglia and neurons. The density of positive cells in the lumbar spinal segments from SMIR rats and sham rats was examined over time after surgery. The number of positive cells in the lumbar spinal segments from SMIR rats and sham rats was compared using a three-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Significant differences were determined by post-hoc Student–Newman–Keuls tests. The number of positive cells in the lumbar spinal segments from SMIR rats was significantly greater than in sham rats at all time points (Fig. 2). The number of positive cells in the lumbar spinal segments from SMIR rats was significantly greater than in sham rats at all time points (Fig. 2).
identification of p38 activation among these different cell types. At POD 3, the time of first detection of secondary hyperalgesia, there was strong colocalization of P-p38 and microglia in the L3 DH (fig. 3A). No P-p38 cells were identified as astrocytes, as shown by the absence of colocalization with GFAP (fig. 3B). Of the many neurons in the DH, identified by neuron-specific nuclear protein staining, a small but significant number were positive for P-p38 (fig. 3C, table 2). (Although we did not costain segments from naive rats, the morphology of the few P-p38–positive cells that were detected was like that of microglia and not of neurons.) However, by the time that postoperative hyperalgesia was maximum, this pattern of cellular distribution had shifted. In segments taken at PODs 10–12 there was virtually no P-p38 detected in microglia (fig. 3D), and P-p38 continued to be absent from astrocytes (fig. 3E). Remarkably, neuronal expression of P-p38 had increased markedly by that time (fig. 3F), and a quantitative analysis showed that the fraction of P-p38–positive cells that were neurons had risen 2- to 3-fold from POD 3 to PODs 10–12 (table 2).

**Behavioral Pharmacology**

The early intrathecal delivery of an inhibitor of P-p38 was able to prevent the development of SMIR-induced mechanosensitivity. Injection of 10 μg SB203580 at the L4–L5 region on POD 2 prevented any significant increase of response to von Frey hair stimulation during the next 11 days (the duration of testing), to the time of maximum response (fig. 4A). (The significance of differences between treated and control responses at POD 8, POD 11, and POD 13 established in pairwise comparisons, disappears when adjusted for comparison of all eight sets of data.) The response to tactile stimulation fell to preoperative levels, but only at 1 h after the injection; by 3 h, the postoperative hypersensitivity had returned to its predrug level.

**Discussion**

The results of this study show that the activated form of p38 MAPK, P-p38, appears in an increased number of cells in the DH after skin–muscle incision retraction surgery. The patterns of distribution of P-p38 change after surgery, among the different cell types in the DH, and between segments

---

**Table 2.** Percent of P-p38–positive Neurons* after the SMIR Procedure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Postoperative Day 3</th>
<th>Postoperative Days 10–12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% NeuN-positive cells</td>
<td>14.7 ± 0.8%</td>
<td>32.4 ± 0.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± SD for numbers of colocalized cells from three sections from each of four animals for post-SMIR day 3 and seven sections from four animals at post-SMIR days 10–12.

* Stained by NeuN.

NeuN = neuron-specific nuclear protein; SMIR = skin–muscle incision retraction.
P-p38 involvement in injury- or inflammation-induced pain sensitization. Thus, the rising numbers of P-p38–positive cells in L3 and in L4 + L5 during the first 2 weeks or so appear to correlate with the induction of functional central sensitization after retraction, but not after incision alone, and the retention of P-p38 levels above the baseline at a time beyond the resolution of pain implies that p38 activation per se is not tightly coupled to the maintenance of hyperalgesia.

The importance of P-p38 for pain induction is supported by the functional effects of an inhibitor of this MAPK. Injected intrathecally a few days after the SMIR procedure, this agent is able to virtually prevent secondary hypersensitivity. Injected a week later, at a time near the peak of the induced secondary pain, it is marginally and only transiently effective.

How do these results compare with other studies about P-p38 involvement in injury- or inflammation-induced pain hypersensitivity and with studies on the role of P-p38 in the acute responses to (paw) incision-induced pain? How can we explain these findings in terms of a model for the induction and resolution of prolonged postoperative pain?

**Role of P-p38 in Models of Nerve Injury and Inflammation**

P-p38 is increased in response to peripheral nerve injury and inflammation,\(^{19}\) chronic constriction injury\(^{20}\) and spinal nerve ligation\(^{21,22}\) increase the activated P-p38 in spinal cord microglia for at least 2 weeks. Activation of p38 occurs in spinal cord microglia after inflammation, with significant increases after the injection of formalin,\(^{19,23}\) carrageenan,\(^{23}\) or Freund’s complete adjuvant\(^{24}\) into the rat’s foot pad. Such activation is quite rapid, appearing within minutes, and quite transient.\(^{25,26}\) Plantar injection of Freund’s complete adjuvant activates p38 only in peripheral neurons, in the dorsal root ganglia, and not in spinal neurons,\(^{27,28}\) cells where the extracellular signal-regulated MAPK is activated early after inflammation\(^{29}\) and incision.\(^{30}\) These findings suggest a temporal sequence of activation of different MAPK, as well as other kinases, first in peripheral and then in central structures, and moving from sensory neurons to spinal glia.\(^{31–33}\) In turn, activated p38, is known to increase peripheral neuronal transient receptor potential vanilloid 1 expression and behavioral test area of the plantar hind paw, it is noteworthy that such a large increase in neuronal P-p38 occurs over the time when secondary hyperalgesia develops in an area innervated by the saphenous nerve, far removed from the locus of saphenous nerve manipulation. The L3 spinal segments, exclusively innervated by the saphenous nerve, show the same numbers of P-p38–positive cells at POD 3 after SMIR and POD 11 after sham, both conditions when secondary hyperalgesia is absent. These same, lower numbers of P-p38–positive cells are present in L4 + L5 in both SMIR and sham rats at POD 35, a time when secondary hyperalgesia (and probably primary hypersensitivity\(^ {34,35}\)) has fully resolved. Although these numbers are above the baseline values seen in naive rats, they may reflect changes in spinal circuitry that are inadequate to drive the secondary hypersensitivity from central sensitization.

Effect of P-p38 inhibitor on behavioral responses after skin–muscle incision retraction (SMIR). Rats were stimulated by a 15-g von Frey filament (VFH) pressed against the mid-plantar paw surface of the leg ipsilateral to the surgical procedure; the vertical axis plots the number of withdrawal responses per 10 VFH stimulations (mean ± SD). Intrathecal injection of 10 μg SB203580 at postoperative day (POD) 2 keeps the response level at the preoperative baseline (denoted at day 0 [D0]) and at PODs 8–13 significantly below that for the control, hyperalgesic rats that received only a vehicle injection (A). Intrathecal injection of 10 μg SB203580 at POD 9, after hyperalgesia has developed, results in only a brief reduction of the increased response. Comparison of vehicle- and SB203580–injected rats on the same days (B).

* \( P < 0.05, \) ** \( P < 0.01, \) *** \( P < 0.001 \) (one-way ANOVA).

**Fig. 4.** Effect of P-p38 inhibitor on behavioral responses after skin–muscle incision retraction (SMIR).
facilitate the release of cytokines and growth factors, which in turn enhance release of excitatory amino acid transmitters essential for pain transmission in the spinal cord, and sensitize their receptors on postsynaptic neurons. 14

Inhibitors of P-p38 generally are effective in the prevention and the reversal of thermal and mechanical hypersensitivity after nerve injury 15,20,35,36 but are selectively effective on the thermal but not the mechanohypersensitivity after inflammation. 23,25,36 An inhibitor of P-p38 (SB203580, as used here) given daily intrathecally starting just before spinal nerve ligation, delays development of mechanical allodynia, with the strongest effect occurring 1 day after nerve ligation and a much weaker effect occurring 10 days later. 21 Later bolus administration of the inhibitor gives only transient relief, which is longer lasting for injections at day 1 then at day 10 after spinal nerve ligation. 21 This time-dependent effectiveness parallels the behavior observed with the SMIR-induced pain reported in the current study, despite the absence of nerve injury in the SMIR model. 14

Postincision Pain and P-p38

Incision of the plantar paw leads to activation of spinal microglia and astrocytes 10,11 and to elevation of P-p38 that is coupled to the relatively short-lasting postincisional mechanical allodynia. 9,11 The density of P-p38–positive cells in the ipsilateral DH is maximum at 1 and 2 days after paw incision, 9,11 declining by ~20% at POD 3, and to an insignificant increase compared with preoperative levels at POD 7. 9 This time course roughly parallels the time course of mechanical allodynia and thermal hyperalgesia, although the resolution of this pain is quite variable 11,36,37 and appears to depend, among other factors, on the anesthetic used during the surgical procedure. 38,39

Postincisional P-p38 has been localized during the acute period of hypersensitivity primarily in microglia, but this activated MAPK is also seen in some spinal neurons 10 and in astrocytes. 11 Blocking the activation of p38 by preoperative intrathecal injection of an inhibitor of the upstream, activating kinase MAPK significantly suppressed mechanoallodynia from paw incision through POD 2 but had a much smaller effect, and only at 1 h after surgery, on thermal hyperalgesia. 10 Intrathecal administration of the glial inhibitor fluorocitrate at POD 1 resulted in a partial but long-lasting (up to POD 5) reversal of established mechanoallodynia. 11 In contrast to this result, the intraperitoneal injection of antagonists or inverse agonists of cannabinoid receptors to rats after paw incision enhanced the expression of P-p38 in astrocytes, increased GFAP, and concomitantly delayed the resolution of postincisional pain. 9 Perhaps this treatment results in a shift of the cause of postincisinal pain from microglia to astrocytes, akin to the progression of pain-associated cell loci during nerve injury–induced pain. 31

The current report is the first to use a model of prolonged postoperative pain to study the role of MAPK. The current results extend the previous work on P-p38 and paw incision, showing that the continuously activated form of this MAPK is not essential for maintaining increased pain. In the SMIR model, secondary tactile allodynia and hyperalgesia that typically involve central sensitization 40,41 appear after the initial increase of P-p38 in the spinal cord, an early event that also occurs after sham incision without retraction. This suggests that P-p38 is increased by both procedures during the initial insult, the incision, and is further increased at later times when retraction is used during surgery.

The spread of P-p38 activation from L3 into L4 + L5, and the approximately 20-fold increase in P-p38–expressing DH neurons in L4 + L5 correlates with the development of secondary hypersensitivity. Early activation of p38 in microglia may be necessary for hypersensitivity to appear, judging by the effectiveness of the inhibitor given at POD 2 in preventing the elevation of the pain response. However, the increase in P-p38 in neurons, if it does contribute to later hypersensitivity, by itself does not appear to be sufficient to sustain the sensitivity, as shown by the relatively weak effectiveness of P-p38 inhibitors to reverse this sensitivity once it is established.

Thus, in SMIR-induced tactile hypersensitivity, even in the absence of peripheral nerve injury, there appears to be adequate afferent input to cause long-term sensitization of central pathways. Accordingly, intrathecal inhibitors of P-p38 may have time-sensitive effectiveness for two reasons: first, P-p38 per se may be more important for the induction of hypersensitivity than for its maintenance, or second, the locus of activated P-p38 essential for hypersensitivity may shift over days from spinal cord to brain. In this second explanation, inhibition of spinal P-p38 may interrupt temporarily the pain facilitating afferent throughput to the brain but does not reverse the essential, altered brain state. Once the inhibitor has been removed or degraded, the conditions necessary for hypersensitivity are restored, and increased postoperative pain reemerges. If this second hypothesis is true, studies of glial and neuronal changes in spinal cord alone are not adequate for a complete understanding of the mechanisms underlying prolonged postoperative pain. Persistent or chronic pain’s maturation may require a temporary stage of neuroplasticity in which spinal processes are critical, but the stable phenotypic changes in the brain are likely to be the essential targets for treatment of chronic pain that occurs after surgery.
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