Bedside Prediction of Airway Length in Adults and Children
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Background: Malpositioning of the endotracheal tube within the airway leads to serious complications such as endobronchial intubation. Prediction of the correct depth of an endotracheal tube is important and should be individualized. The manubriosternal joint (MSJ) is on the same horizontal plane with the tracheal carina. We compared the straight length from the upper incisor to the MSJ in the fully extended position (incisor-MSJ extension length) with the length from the upper incisor to the carina after intubation with a flexible fiberoptic bronchoscope through the endotracheal tube in the neutral position (incisor-carina neutral length).

Methods: One hundred adults and 50 children were studied. Induction of anesthesia was achieved with 1.5 mg/kg propofol and 0.6 mg/kg rocuronium IV. The incisor-MSJ extension length was measured after adequate mask ventilation. After intubation, the endotracheal tube was positioned properly at the upper incisor teeth, and the incisor-carina neutral length was measured with the fiberoptic bronchoscope at the carina.

Results: The correlation between the incisor-MSJ extension length and the incisor-carina neutral length is significant (P < 0.001) in both adults and children. A formula for the regression line in adults (children) can be obtained as the incisor-carina neutral length (cm) = 0.868 (1.009) × the incisor-MSJ extension length (cm) + 4.260 (0.468) with a high coefficient of determination; r² = 0.88 (0.98).

Conclusions: The airway length from the upper incisor to the carina in the neutral position can be predicted by the straight length from the upper incisor to the MSJ in the fully extended position.

MALPOSITIONING of the endotracheal tube within the airway leads to serious complications such as endobronchial intubation, which may cause collapse of the non-ventilated lung and barotrauma of the ventilated lung, or vocal cord paralysis and accidental extubation.1–3 Simple formulas, landmarks, and other methods have been proposed for positioning the endotracheal tube at an adequate depth.4–7 Prediction of the correct length of an endotracheal tube is important and should be individualized to each patient.

The angle of Louis, the forward prominence formed by the manubriosternal joint (MSJ), is an important landmark in the anatomy of the thorax and on the same horizontal plane with the tracheal carina (fig. 1, A and B).8 With rigid bronchoscopy, the upper airway and trachea of the patient in the extended position are aligned in an almost straight line (fig. 2). Therefore, the straight length from the upper incisor to the MSJ in the fully extended position (incisor-MSJ extension length) can be expected to be similar to the real airway length from the upper incisor to the carina. We compared the incisor-MSJ extension length with the length from the upper incisor to the carina after intubation with a flexible fiberoptic bronchoscope through the endotracheal tube in the neutral position (incisor-carina neutral length). In addition, we investigated whether the degree of maximum head extension influences the relationship between the two lengths.

Materials and Methods

Participants and Anesthesia

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Kyung Hee University Hospital (Seoul, Republic of Korea), and written, informed consent was obtained from each adult patient or parent of pediatric patients. One hundred adults (American Society of Anesthesiologists Class 1 or 2) and 50 children presenting for routine elective surgery under general anesthesia were studied between February 2008 and October 2008. Patients with anatomical defects of the face, neck, or upper airway were excluded from the study.

Patients were placed on the operating table with the head in the neutral position. Routine monitors were used. Induction of anesthesia was achieved with 1.5 mg/kg propofol IV. Muscle relaxation was obtained with 0.6 mg/kg rocuronium IV. Anesthesia was maintained with sevoflurane (2–3%).

Measurements

The fully extended position was obtained by having the patient lie on the flat surface of the operating table and by manually extending the head and neck as much as possible (fig. 1).

The incisor-MSJ extension length was measured with a specially devised commercial compass after adequate mask ventilation (fig. 1, A and B). The length between both ends of the temporarily fixed commercial compass was measured along the ruler. The degree of maximum head extension was measured indirectly with the eye-carina angle (defined as the angle between the lines from the external ear channel to the superior orbital margin in both neutral and extended positions) (fig. 3). After loss of all four twitches from the train-of-four obtained by ulnar nerve stimulation, a laryngoscopy was performed.
Adult men were intubated with 7.5 mm inner diameter tubes, while 7.0 mm inner diameter tubes were used in adult women patients. An endotracheal tube was chosen using the formula below as a guide for children.

\[
\text{Endotracheal tube size (inner diameter)} = \frac{\text{Age (yr)}}{4} + 4.
\]

After intubation, the endotracheal tube was positioned properly at the upper incisor teeth and secured to the upper lip. Neutral position was obtained by having the patient lie on the flat surface of the operating table without head extension or neck flexion. With the head in the neutral position, a fiberoptic bronchoscope (Olympus LF-GP, Olympus Optical Co., Tokyo, Japan) was inserted through a modified right-angle connector (Mallinckrodt Medical, Athlone, Ireland), which allowed bronchoscopic examination during manual ventilation. The incisor-carina neutral length was measured with the fiberoptic bronchoscope through the endotracheal tube at the carina. The bronchoscopist was blind to the incisor-MSJ extension length. The whole procedure of identifying carina, upper incisor, and measuring the length of bronchoscope took only about 10 s. No patient experienced oxygen desaturation.

**Statistical Analysis**

A pilot study of 15 patients, including 4 children, showed a mean (SD) of 23.4 (3.06) and 24.3 (3.09) in the incisor-MSJ extension length and the incisor-carina neutral length, respectively. A sample size 7 was calculated for a Type I error of 0.01 and a power of 0.99 using the PASS 2008 (Trial) Version 08.0.7 Windows version (NCSS, LLC, Kaysville, UT). However, we recruited 100 adults and 50 children for general consistency, based on central limit theory.

Linear regression and correlation were used to analyze the relationships among the measured data using SPSS for Windows version 12.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL). The degree of agreement of the incisor-MSJ extension length and incisor-carina neutral length was assessed by Bland and Altman method. A \( P \) value of less than 0.05 was considered to be significantly different.

**Results**

The details of the patients studied are given in tables 1 and 2.

The correlation between the incisor-MSJ extension length and the incisor-carina neutral length in adults is significant \((P < 0.001)\) with a high coefficient of determination \((r^2 = 0.88)\); a regression line was derived (fig. 4A). A formula for this regression line can be obtained as the incisor-carina neutral length (cm) = 0.868 × the incisor-MSJ extension length (cm) + 4.260. The correlation between the incisor-MSJ extension length and the incisor-carina neutral length in children is significant \((P < 0.001)\), with a high coefficient of determination \((r^2 = 0.98)\). A regression line was derived (fig. 4B). A formula for this regression line can be obtained as the incisor-carina neutral length (cm) = 1.009 × the incisor-MSJ extension length (cm) + 0.468.
Within the trachea. The second category is palpation method should not be used to verify location of the chest bilaterally and visualization of symmetric chest expansion, and palpation of the endotracheal tube cannot be a reliable method for detecting the endobronchial intubation, and the cuff circumference cannot be visualized, and the distance between the tube tip and the carina cannot be optimally predicted. The fourth category is postintubation confirmation of endotracheal tube placement by chest radiograph or fiberoptic bronchoscopic examination. These methods are definitive but involve additional costs and efforts. The fifth category is the method made on topographic measurements and has the advantage of individualization and ease of use.

The correlations between predictor and observation were 0.17, 0.28, and 0.63 of r-squared values in adults in the previous articles, while 0.88 in this study. The r-squared value between height and tracheal length was 0.59 using our data of adult patients. The r-squared values between age (weight, height, foot length, head circumference) and tracheal length were 0.82 (0.79, 0.85, 0.79, and 0.60) in pediatrics as compared with 0.98 in children of our model. Because of the different study population and methodology among these previous studies, it is difficult to determine superiority of one method to others for prediction of airway length. Using our patient data, however, we found a higher r-squared value and smaller variation in the Bland and Altman plots in our formula using the incisor-MSJ length than those in Cherng et al.’s formula using the height, indicating superior in our prediction formula.

Discussion

According to the measured data of the present study, the relationship of the incisor-MSJ extension length and the incisor-carina neutral length is significantly correlated.

There are several categories of estimating the optimal endotracheal tube length in orotracheally intubated patients. The first category is using clinical criteria, including auscultation of bilateral breath sounds, symmetric chest expansion, and palpation of the endotracheal tube cuff in the suprasternal notch. However, auscultation of the chest bilaterally and visualization of symmetrical chest expansion cannot be a reliable method for detecting the endobronchial intubation, and the cuff palpation method should not be used to verify location within the trachea. The second category is prediction of airway length from mouth or nares to carina using age, height, weight, foot length, or arbitrarily determined length. However, these methods do not seem to have reasonable rationale, may not be always individualized to each patient, and the correlation coefficients are not high.

Table 1. Adult Patient Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Men (n = 50)</th>
<th>Women (n = 50)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>42 (17–75)</td>
<td>41 (15–76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>171 (155–187)</td>
<td>158 (143–171)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>70 (51–94)</td>
<td>57 (41–85)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (range).

Discussion

According to the measured data of the present study, the relationship of the incisor-MSJ extension length and the incisor-carina neutral length is significantly correlated.

There are several categories of estimating the optimal endotracheal tube length in orotracheally intubated patients. The first category is using clinical criteria, including auscultation of bilateral breath sounds, symmetric chest expansion, and palpation of the endotracheal tube cuff in the suprasternal notch. However, auscultation of the chest bilaterally and visualization of symmetrical chest expansion cannot be a reliable method for detecting the endobronchial intubation, and the cuff palpation method should not be used to verify location within the trachea. The second category is prediction of airway length from mouth or nares to carina using age, height, weight, foot length, or arbitrarily determined length. However, these methods do not seem to have reasonable rationale, may not be always individualized to each patient, and the correlation coefficients are not high. The third category determines how far a certain mark of the endotracheal tube has proceeded beyond the vocal cords. However, these methods cannot be applied to vocal cords that cannot be visualized, and the distance between the tube tip and the carina cannot be optimally predicted.

The correlations between predictor and observation were 0.17, 0.28, and 0.63 of r-squared values in adults in the previous articles while 0.88 in this study. The r-squared value between height and tracheal length was 0.59 using our data of adult patients. The r-squared values between age (weight, height, foot length, head circumference) and tracheal length were 0.82 (0.79, 0.85, 0.79, and 0.60) in pediatrics as compared with 0.98 in children of our model. Because of the different study population and methodology among these previous studies, it is difficult to determine superiority of one method to others for prediction of airway length. Using our patient data, however, we found a higher r-squared value and smaller variation in the Bland and Altman plots in our formula using the incisor-MSJ length than those in Cherng et al.’s formula using the height, indicating superiority of our prediction formula.

The angle of Louis can be easily palpated near the second rib insertion to the MSJ (fig. 1A). It is stated that the plane of division into upper and lower mediastinum traverses the MSJ and the lower surface of the fourth thoracic vertebra, and that the plane passes horizontally through the sternal angle and also the bifurcation of the trachea. The tracheal carina was above the MSJ plane in 9 patients (17.6%), at the plane in 21 patients (41%), and below it in 21 patients (41%).

While performing rigid bronchoscopy, the upper airway and trachea of the patient in extension position are forced into an almost straight line (fig. 2). Therefore, the incisor-MSJ extension length can be expected to be similar to the real airway length (carina to upper incisor). Flexion of the neck from the neutral position can result in the tip of the endotracheal tube moving as much as 3 cm, with a mean value of 1.9 cm, closer to the carina, while extension can displace the endotracheal tube by as much as 5 cm, with a mean value of 1.9 cm, farther from the carina. The incisor-MSJ extension length may not be equal to the real airway length in the extended position because the real airway course is not straight unless it is forcibly aligned in a straight line by the rigid bronchoscope. We think that the straightened airway length after insertion of the rigid bronchoscope is

Table 2. Child Patient Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Boys (n = 25)</th>
<th>Girls (n = 25)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>6.5 (0.7–14.5)</td>
<td>5.9 (0.7–14.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>121 (85–170)</td>
<td>116 (68–163)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>24 (7.5–69)</td>
<td>21 (7.5–63)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (range).
associated with the real airway length, and since the incisor-MSJ extension length may be similar to the straightened airway length after insertion of rigid bronchoscope, incisor-MSJ extension length must have some relation to the real airway length. Moreover, the length measured with a fiberoptic bronchoscope was through the endotracheal tube, and the endotracheal tube itself is not flexible enough to follow the airway path, meaning that the endotracheal tube itself may have straightened the airway path a little bit.

Our method can be applied in the operating room, intensive care unit, emergency room, and out of hospital (e.g., with emergency services) to avoid endobronchial intubation, and may be superior to other methods in that this method gives the whole airway length directly and individually irrespective of sex, age, and height. We suggest that this method may be the first choice of airway length prediction and combined with previous methods.

Although a special compass was used to measure the incisor-MSJ extension length precisely, a ruler or endotracheal tube itself (after straightened by force) could be used easily and rapidly in clinical setting only if it is oriented parallel to the line from the upper incisor to the angle of Louis. Moreover, for ease of clinical use, we suggest adding 1 cm (the mean difference between the two lengths) in adults and 0.6 cm in children to the incisor-MSJ extension length, rather than using the complex formulae.

This study has several limitations. First, this method cannot be applied to patients with limited head extension. Second, the difference between the incisor-MSJ extension length and the incisor-carina neutral length is not always regular. This is caused by the individual difference of the level of the MSJ compared to the carina and the status of the endotracheal tube curvature within the airway in the neutral position. However, this method is very simple and precise, as compared with other methods. Third, unexpectedly, the difference between
the incisor-MSJ extension length and the incisor-carina neutral length was poorly correlated with the eye-ear lines’ angle. This may be partly caused by the individual variation of the relationship of the head and cervical vertebrae. Further studies about this are needed. Fourth, we could not include neonates because we did not have an ultrathin fiberoptic bronchoscope.

In conclusion, this study demonstrated that the airway length from the upper incisor to the carina with the head placed in the neutral position can be predicted by the straight length from the upper incisor to the MSJ in the fully extended position.
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