Influence of “Liberal” versus “Restrictive” Intraoperative Fluid Administration on Elimination of a Postoperative Fluid Load
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**Background:** Previously, the authors found “liberal” fluid administration (approximately 3 l Ringer’s lactate [RL]) to improve early rehabilitation after laparoscopic cholecystectomy, suggesting functional hypovolemia to be present in patients receiving “restrictive” fluid administration (approximately 1 l RL). Because volume kinetic analysis after a volume load may distinguish between hypovolemic versus normovolemic states, the authors applied volume kinetic analysis after laparoscopic cholecystectomy to explain the difference in outcome between 3 and 1 l RL.

**Methods:** In a prospective, nonrandomized trial, the authors studied 20 patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Ten patients received 15 ml/kg RL (group 1) and 10 patients received 40 ml/kg RL (group 2) intraoperatively. All other aspects of perioperative management were standardized. A 12.5-ml/kg RL volume load was infused preoperatively and 4 h postoperatively. The distribution and elimination of the fluid load was estimated using volume kinetic analysis.

**Results:** Patient baseline demographics and intraoperative data did not differ between groups, except for intraoperative RL, having a median of 1,118 ml (range, 900–1,400 ml) in group 1 compared with a median of 2,960 ml (range, 2,000–3,960 ml) in group 2 (P < 0.01). There were no significant preoperative versus postoperative differences in the size of the body fluid space expanded by infused fluid (V), whereas the clearance constant k, was higher postoperatively versus preoperatively (P = 0.03). The preoperative versus postoperative changes in volume kinetics including V were not different between the two groups.

**Conclusions:** Elimination of an intravenous fluid load was increased after laparoscopic cholecystectomy per se but not influenced by the amount of intraoperative fluid administration.

There is a lack of evidence-based guidelines for perioperative fluid administration, with some authors advocating restrictive fluid administration versus others advocating goal-directed fluid administration (generally leading to more fluids administered). We recently demonstrated that intraoperative administration of approximately 3 l versus approximately 1 l Ringer’s lactate (RL) significantly reduced the cardiovascular surgical stress response and improved perioperative organ functions (pulmonary function, exercise capacity, and balance function), recovery, and hospital stay after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. These results suggest that the low-volume regimen resulted in functional hypovolemia, thereby posing additional vasoactive hormonal activity and delayed recovery. The technique of volume kinetic analysis offers a method to look closer into body fluid homeostasis, because it includes an intravenous fluid load followed by hemoglobin samples and the application of mathematical analysis to assess functional fluid spaces and fluid excretion. The method has been proven effective in distinguishing normovolemic versus hypovolemic conditions as well as perioperative fluid shifts. The aim of the current study was therefore to investigate whether the previously demonstrated improved postoperative physiologic functions seen with 3 l versus 1 l RL could be due to avoidance of hypovolemia based on volume kinetic data.

**Materials and Methods**

**General Procedure**

In a prospective trial approved by the regional ethics committee (Copenhagen, Denmark), we studied 20 patients scheduled to undergo elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy from April 2004 through November 2005 after informed consent. Exclusion criteria were as follows: age younger than 18 yr; weight greater than 100 kg; American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status III or IV; alcohol intake greater than 5 units daily; insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus; cardiac disease except uncomplicated arterial hypertension (treatment with one drug only); renal, pulmonary, or endocrine disease; treatment with diuretics; abnormal creatinine, sodium, or potassium; hemoglobin less than 7 mM; conversion from laparoscopic to open surgery; and psychiatric illness (intake of psychiatric medication other than selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors).

**Anesthesia and Perioperative Management**

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy was performed in a semiambulatory setting with perioperative management and fluid regimens similar to our previous publication on fluid management in laparoscopic cholecystectomy and summarized below.

Preoperative fluid status was standardized in all patients (fasting from midnight, drinking 175 ml water on the morning of surgery and scheduled morning proce-
A total of 11.5 ml blood was drawn for the hemoglobin analysis and replaced with 11.5 ml saline.

**Volume Kinetic Analysis.** The distribution of fluid given by intravenous infusion was analyzed using a one-volume kinetic model as described and validated previously in several studies in both healthy volunteers and surgical patients.8–11,12,14–16 The fluid is given at a rate $k_i$ and becomes distributed in an expandable space with a volume (v), which the fluid space strives to maintain at an ideal (target) volume (V). Fluid leaves the space at a basal rate, representing perspiration and baseline diuresis ($k_b$, fixed at 0.5 ml/min), and at a controlled rate proportional by a clearance constant ($k_e$) to the deviation from the target volume. Therefore, V is an indicator of the distribution, $k_e$ indicates the elimination rate, and $T_{1/2}$ indicates the half-time of the infused fluid. The following differential equation describes the volume expansion in this model:

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = k_i - k_b - \frac{v - V}{V}.$$ 

The best estimates of the two unknowns in this model, V and $k_e$, were obtained by using nonlinear least-squares regression (modified Gauss-Newton method). The mathematical solution to the equation shown above was fitted to the individual dilution–time profiles. Calculations were performed by using Matlab version 4.2 (MathWorks Inc., Natick, MA).

The hemoglobin-derived plasma dilution was used to indicate the dilution of V. The reference equation for this relation is

$$\frac{v - V}{V} = \frac{Hb_i/Hb - 1}{1 - Hct_o},$$

where Hct is the hematocrit, Hb is the hemoglobin concentration in venous blood at any time (t), and $Hb_i$ is the hemoglobin concentration at baseline. A correction was made for the losses of hemoglobin by blood sampling.11 The half-life ($T_{1/2}$), which is a secondary param-

---

**Table 1. Patient Demographics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>15 ml/kg RL</th>
<th>40 ml/kg RL</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex, F/M</td>
<td>6/4</td>
<td>9/1</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, yr</td>
<td>41 (26–75)</td>
<td>50 (29–73)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>27 (22–31)</td>
<td>26 (19–31)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA physical status I/II</td>
<td>10/0</td>
<td>8/2</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative creatinine, μM</td>
<td>74 (60–89)</td>
<td>76 (56–96)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount fluid infused intraoperatively, ml</td>
<td>1,118 (900–1400)</td>
<td>2,960 (2,000–3,960)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of surgery, min</td>
<td>73 (48–149)</td>
<td>72 (42–151)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of anesthesia, min</td>
<td>112 (88–193)</td>
<td>122 (69–215)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraoperative blood loss, ml</td>
<td>0 (0–20)</td>
<td>0 (0–200)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propofol, mg</td>
<td>748 (387–1,490)</td>
<td>738 (408–1,588)</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remifentanil, mg</td>
<td>4.7 (4–11)</td>
<td>5.2 (3–14)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluid infused for volume kinetic analysis</td>
<td>932 (750–1,140)</td>
<td>918 (618–1,240)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ASA = American Society of Anesthesiologists; BMI = Body mass index; RL = Ringer’s lactate.
eter in this model, was calculated individually for each
patient as $0.693 / V_{kr}$.

Statistics
A formal power analysis could not be performed because of a lack of data with a similar setup and procedure. The number of subjects studied was therefore chosen arbitrarily but was suggested to be approximately 20 patients to detect a difference by 30 ml/min in $V_{kr}$ and by 1.5 l in $V$ between groups with a power of 90% at the level of $P = 0.05$, based on findings in hip surgery. Data were analyzed using nonparametric statistical methods and presented as median (range). The Wilcoxon signed rank test for paired observations was used to describe differences before versus after surgery. For comparing data between the groups (high vs. low intraoperative fluid administration), the Mann–Whitney test was used. Categorical data were analyzed using the Fisher exact test. $P < 0.05$ was considered significant.

Results
Patient demographics are shown in table 1. Duration of anesthesia and surgery and administration of propofol and remifentanil did not differ between the groups (table 1). Patients in the “restrictive” group received a median of 1,118 ml (range, 900–1,400 ml) RL compared with a median of 2,960 ml (range, 2,000–3,960 ml) RL intraoperatively in the “liberal” group ($P < 0.01$) (table 1).

Postoperative oral intake was equal in both groups (375 (70–750) ml in the restrictive group versus 450 (150–1050) ml in the liberal group; not significant) and patients receiving liberal versus restrictive fluid management had a weight gain of 1.5 versus 0.6 kg 4 h postoperatively ($P = 0.01$). Fluid guidelines were followed strictly in all patients, and no intravenous fluids apart from those mentioned above were administered.

Volume Kinetic Analysis
Volume kinetic parameters are depicted in table 2. There were no significant differences in $V$ or $T_{1/2}$ preoperatively versus postoperatively, but a higher $k_r$ (indicating a more rapid elimination) for the fluid load adminis-

tered after surgery than for the one given before surgery was found ($P = 0.03$). There were no statistically significant differences in volume kinetics between the two groups ($P > 0.05$).

Computer simulations based on the kinetic data presented in table 2 represented the individual plasma dilution–time curves reasonably well (fig. 1). A comparison between the simulated curves representing the four series of infusion (the test infusions in patients receiving 15 vs. 40 ml/kg RL intraoperatively, respectively) illustrates the slightly more rapid elimination after surgery, regardless of intraoperative fluid regimen (fig. 2).

Discussion
In summary, we found that the clearance constant ($k_r$) describing the elimination of an intravenous volume load...
Fig. 2. Superpositioned computer-generated curves from figure 1 highlight the slightly more rapid elimination of infused fluid after surgery. RL = Ringer’s lactate.

was increased after a postoperative compared with a preoperative volume load, whereas the distribution (V) of an intravenous fluid load was not altered. The half-life of the infused fluid, as indicated by the ratio of these parameters, tended to be lower after surgery, but this difference did not reach statistical significance. However, these changes in volume kinetics were not influenced by a liberal versus restrictive intraoperative fluid regimen.

The volume kinetic models were based on the assumption that the body strives to maintain volume homeostasis of fluid spaces. Infused crystalloid fluids usually distribute over a central and a remote functional body fluid space, which obtain sizes that correlate reasonably well to the plasma and interstitial volumes. However, because the distribution of fluid between them requires as much as 25–30 min to be completed, the two spaces become difficult to distinguish when the renal elimination is very prompt. In our patients, fluid was excreted efficiently both before and after surgery, and the central space and the parts of the remote space, probably the ones that most readily exchanged fluid with the plasma, then mingled into a single fluid space. Hence, we are unable to discriminate between the two spaces. Historic controls indicate that the fluid elimination would be much slower during the actual surgical procedure, because previous studies have found a significantly decreased clearance of infused crystalloid fluid (k) during several types of anesthesia (epidural, spinal, isoflurane, and propofol) and surgery, including laparoscopic cholecystectomy. A difference also becomes apparent from the collected urine, which amounted to 5–10% of the infused volume within 2.5 h of thyroidectomy and 5% within 60 min of laparoscopic cholecystectomy. In contrast, the urinary excretion collected in the patients studied here averaged 65% of the volume load, which is similar to what has been found after 2 h of a crystalloid infusion in healthy, young female volunteers. The decreased urinary excretion during and immediately after surgery is in accord with the known activation of stress hormones prompting fluid retention. That urinary excretion increases 4 h after surgery in laparoscopic cholecystectomy to a level comparable to that of unoperated healthy volunteers may indicate that the surgically induced fluid retention is diminished at that time point in this particular small operation. Because of the relatively efficient elimination of infused fluid, convergence to stable parameter values was usually not obtained for the two-volume model, or else they became estimated with poor precision. Therefore, we chose to present all of the experiments according to the one-volume model. Postoperative volume kinetics have been studied only twice, and never before with intraindividual studies of a preoperative and postoperative volume load. One study in elderly patients with hip fractures found fluid excretion to be decreased by approximately 50% on the first postoperative day (k, decreased from 166 ml/min to 85 ml/min compared with matched volunteers). This prolonged fluid retention is in accord with the major stress hormone activation in conjunction with this emergency procedure opposed to our current results in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. However, interpretation in such patients is difficult because of unknown fluid balance due to preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative interventions. On the contrary, on the second day after abdominal hysterectomy, volume kinetic variables of a 2.5% glucose solution load were in the normal range compared with previous results in healthy volunteers. These findings correlate well with our current study in that the trauma of abdominal hysterectomy on the second postoperative day is comparable to that of laparoscopic cholecystectomy 4 h postoperatively.

In the current study with identical volume loads administered preoperatively and postoperatively, elimination of the fluid load was effective (table 2) and not influenced by the intraoperative fluid regimen, although the second (postoperative) fluid load was eliminated more effectively than the first one (fig. 2), which corroborates a previous study in volunteers. The volume kinetic model has previously been proven sensitive to detect even moderate hypovolemia by a decrease in V indicating a central distribution of fluid caused in part by peripheral vasoconstriction. Therefore, our results do not suggest the presence of hypovolemia 4 h after laparoscopic cholecystectomy with approximately 1 l versus approximately 3 l RL administered intraoperatively. One of the incitements for this study was to look further into our previous findings where the same perioperative fluid regimens (intraoperative administration of 40 ml/kg compared with 15 ml/kg RL) resulted in reduced vasocative hormonal responses and improved postoperative organ functions, recovery, and shortened hospital stay with 40 ml/kg RL. Another study in gynecologic laparoscopy confirmed these findings, reporting decreased nausea and
vomiting with 30 ml/kg versus 10 ml/kg RL administered intraoperatively. However, the current volume kinetic analysis could not explain the differences in functional outcome between the two fluid regimens and mechanisms other than those studied in the current study must therefore be sought. Such mechanisms may include the role of extravascular volume expansion or organ function, although previous theories on posttraumatic “third space” expansion are debatable. Although the current volume kinetic analysis did not explain mechanisms for altered outcome by different interoperative fluid regimens, the data comparing a preoperative versus a postoperative volume load clearly demonstrate that even a minor surgical injury such a laparoscopic cholecystectomy alters postoperative volume kinetics significantly. These findings, may be valuable in our further understanding of posttraumatic fluid homeostasis where previous tracer studies on “third space” are inconclusive. Therefore, future volume kinetic analyses should be performed in major procedures and should also explore potential differences between administration of colloid versus crystalloid or pharmacologic modifiers of endothelial function and capillary permeability.

In summary, we found that elimination of an intravenous fluid load was significantly enhanced by surgery but not altered with intraoperative administration of approximately 3 l versus approximately 1 l RL in laparoscopic cholecystectomy.
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