To the Editor.—We read with interest the study by van Zundert et al. regarding performance characteristics of three disposable extraglottic airways. van Zundert found that the CobraPLA (Engineered Medical Systems, Indianapolis, IN) was more difficult to insert and caused more mucosal trauma than either the LMA-Unique™ (LMA North America Inc., San Diego, CA) or the Soft Seal laryngeal mask (Portex Ltd., Hythe, United Kingdom), noting that this finding is in contrast to previous studies conducted by Gaitini et al. and Akca et al. He attributes this difference, in part, to the fact that the patients in Akca’s study were paralyzed. However, Akca’s patients were not relaxed before insertion of the devices studied. As an alternative, we suggest that van Zundert’s more precisely defined ease of insertion (3/2/1/0 vs. difficult/not difficult), along with a greater number of patients studied (105 patients vs. 40 patients studied each by Gaitini and Akca) might have allowed a statistical difference to emerge. Although the CobraPLA has a flexible tip to aid insertion at the back of the throat, we believe that CobraPLA’s straight breathing tube might have contributed to the insertion difficulty (fig. 1A).

As a result of our own experience using the CobraPLA in several hundred patients and at our suggestion, the manufacturer (Engineered Medical Systems) has modified the basic design of the device to incorporate a distal bend in the breathing tube on both the standard CobraPLA and the newly introduced CobraPLUS (figs. 1B and C) while leaving the other features of the airway (e.g., flexible tip, circumferential cuff) unchanged. The decision to accomplish this design change was driven in part by discussions with Dr. van Zundert while his study was in progress (although actual results were not known) regarding how the device might logically be improved to aid insertion. As a result of this modification, the specific product studied by van Zundert is no longer being manufactured. We believe the curved distal end greatly facilitates insertion and minimizes trauma because it now conforms to the shape of the anatomy it must traverse, and initial reports with its use have been encouraging (Xavier Marquez, M.D., Instituto Urologico, Caracas, Venezuela, personal communication, April 2006).

The fact that the basic design of the CobraPLA studied by van Zundert is no longer being manufactured in no way diminishes the shape of this modification, the specific product studied by van Zundert is no longer being manufactured. We believe the curved distal end greatly facilitates insertion and minimizes trauma because it now conforms to the shape of the anatomy it must traverse, and initial reports with its use have been encouraging (Xavier Marquez, M.D., Instituto Urologico, Caracas, Venezuela, personal communication, April 2006).

The fact that the basic design of the CobraPLA studied by van Zundert is no longer being manufactured in no way diminishes the shape of this modification, the specific product studied by van Zundert is no longer being manufactured. We believe the curved distal end greatly facilitates insertion and minimizes trauma because it now conforms to the shape of the anatomy it must traverse, and initial reports with its use have been encouraging (Xavier Marquez, M.D., Instituto Urologico, Caracas, Venezuela, personal communication, April 2006).

In Reply.—We thank Drs. Alfery and Szmuk for their positive comments about our article. In many countries, the use of extraglottic devices equals that of tracheal intubation. It is imperative that all new extraglottic devices undergo carefully conducted clinical trials to determine their safety and efficacy versus the current standard, the laryngeal mask airway, which has been extensively used and studied. Data that has been collected about one extraglottic device need not necessarily apply to another. Our study was probably one of many factors that resulted in the decision to redesign the CobraPLA (Engineered Medical Systems Inc., Indianapolis, IN). The new version, the CobraPLUS, seems to be easier to insert and the integral temperature probe is a nice feature, but this requires confirmation. That industry responded to our article is encouraging.
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Hyperoxia to Reduce Surgical Site Infection?

To the Editor:—I read with interest the review article of Dr. Mauermann and Dr. Nemergut about the anesthesiologist’s role in preventing surgical site infection (SSI).

The authors deal with hypothermia and write, “The incidence of SSI was 5.8% in the normothermic group and 18.8% in the hypothermic group. The patients who developed SSIs required hospital stays nearly 1 week longer than those who did not develop a SSI, indicating that these were clinically significant complications” (italics added).

They also review the role of hyperoxia to reduce SSI and write, “Both of these studies found statistically significant reductions in the rates of SSIs in the 0.8 fraction of inspired oxygen (FIO2) group versus the 0.3 FIO2 group.” They correctly cite the two studies2,3 that found that 80% oxygen could halve surgical site infection versus 30% oxygen; they also cite another study4 that found that 80% oxygen increased surgical site infection versus 35%. But surprisingly, they do not report whether the impressive reduction in SSI using 80% oxygen found in those two studies reduced clinically significant complications. Grief et al.7 report a 54% relative risk reduction of SSI using 80% versus 30% oxygen. However, patients who received 80% oxygen had 12.2 days of hospitalization versus 11.9 days among those who received 30% oxygen. Moreover, no difference was found for time to first solid food intake or staples removed.

Belda et al.5 report a 59% relative risk reduction of SSI using 80% versus 30% oxygen. Again, consistently with the lack of clinically significant benefit, there was no difference in days of hospitalization, time to solid food intake, or staples removed.

The authors conclude that “. . . high inspired oxygen levels in the perioperative period confers some benefit in reducing the incidence of SSIs.” They do not report, however, the lack of clinically significant benefit.

In contrast with these results, Pryor et al.8 did find clinically significant harm among patients who received 80% oxygen (longer hospital stay, higher reoperation rate). This study, the lack of clinical benefit in the other two studies, and the inexistence of data evaluating more moderate oxygen concentrations (45–60%)9 should prevent anesthesiologists from accepting 80% as the ideal perioperative oxygen concentration to improve surgery outcomes.

Gonzalo Tornero-Campello, M.D., Hospital General Universitario de Elche, Elche, Alicante, Spain. gtorcam@hotmail.com
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In Reply.—We appreciate the interest of Dr. Tornero-Campello in the anesthesiologist’s role in the prevention of surgical site infections (SSIs). Further, we note his repeated contention that the duration of hospitalization is a more appropriate outcome measure than the incidence of infection is been published in letters to multiple journals.1–5

Our objectives in preparing this article4 were to produce an evidence-based, timely, concise, and clinically relevant document to educate practicing anesthesiologists regarding the best approaches to reducing surgical site infections. We believe that there is sufficient evidence to suggest that normobaric hyperoxia reduces the incidence of SSI in colorectal surgery.5,6 Nevertheless, Dr. Tornero-Campello’s focus on hospital duration of stay as an outcome measure allows us to reexamine these data in greater detail.

Dr. Tornero-Campello notes that one study7 that included a heterogeneous population of patients undergoing major abdominal surgery did not show a benefit of hyperoxia and may have been associated with an increase in morbidity and in the duration of hospitalization. It is extremely important to note that criticism of this study has been significant. For example, variables such as anesthetic technique, fluid management, and pain management were not controlled.8,9 Information on blood glucose control, strongly associated with the incidence of SSI, was not included.10 The small sample size and statistical analysis has not held up to rigorous post hoc examination.11 Although patients were prospectively randomized to their perioperative oxygen group, the presence of SSI was determined by retrospective chart review.8,9 as we note in our article. It is imperative that we consider these methodologic flaws when we weigh the results of this study. Last, it would seem intuitive that if hyperoxia did confer an increase risk of clinically significant harm, some hint of this risk would have been evident in the two larger studies by Grief et al.7 and Belda et al.5

Faults with the above study aside, we concede that the use of hyperoxia was not associated with a decrease in the duration of hospitalization in either of the two studies cited in our review.5,6 Nevertheless, we reject Dr. Tornero-Campello’s assertion that the prevention of SSI is only significant if it results in a tenable “difference in
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days of hospitalization, time to solid food intake, or staples removed.” Indeed, it is difficult for us to imagine any SSI that is not clinically significant: Even an infection that may be easily treated in the outpatient setting results in the use of antibiotics, which may further increase the prevalence of antibiotic-resistant organisms and leads to an increased cost of care.4,12

Although we may debate the clinical significance of hyperoxia in the prevention of SSIs, we hope Dr. Tornero-Campello will agree that the prevention of any infection, even if it not associated with an increase in duration of hospitalization, is a clinically relevant outcome and a substantial improvement in patient care.

William J. Mauermann, M.D., Edward C. Nemergut, M.D.*
University of Virginia Health System, Charlottesville, Virginia.
en3x@virginia.edu
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Use of Continuous Positive Airway Pressure in Anesthetized Infants

To the Editor.—The suggestion has been made that “The application of CPAP [continuous positive airway pressure] . . . may be crucial to help maintain airway patency in anesthetized infants.”4 Before this suggestion can be applied, there is additional crucial information that must be obtained. The effect of CPAP on respiratory control in the awake state may be quite different than that in the anesthetized state. As the authors have pointed out, infants are dependent on neural input for airway maintenance. However, no data have been presented about the effect of loss of neural input (i.e., the anesthetized state) and its effect on control of respiration in the presence of CPAP. End-tidal carbon dioxide increased from 41 to 46 mmHg in the study with increasing depth of propofol anesthesia, with “no further change resulting from the application of CPAP.”4 If ventilation is depressed and there is an increase in dead space from CPAP, the anesthetized state may not change when in fact arterial carbon dioxide tension is increasing. One can see this unchanged or decreased end-tidal carbon dioxide when CPAP is applied to a spontaneously breathing patient anesthetized with an inhalation agent. In the exhaled breaths after the release of CPAP applied for only a minute or so, there is a large outpouring of carbon dioxide. Studies of CPAP in spontaneously breathing infants should include data on arterial carbon dioxide tension.

Peter Rothstein, M.D., Columbia University, New York.
ptr1@columbia.edu
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In Reply.—We thank Dr. Rothstein for his interesting comments regarding our article.1 As he correctly points out, the effect of added elastic and/or resistive load on respiratory drive may differ in the awake and anesthetized states. In the awake state, an increase in elastic and/or resistive load is compensated by an increase in neuronal inspiratory drive. This compensatory neuronal drive may be absent or diminished during general anesthesia,2 and this may be particularly so in infants who are highly susceptible to anesthesia-induced attenuation of neuronal input. Continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) may itself reduce inspiratory drive through the Herring-Breuer inflation reflex.3 The resulting hypercapnia will depend on the reduction in minute alveolar ventilation. In previous studies in anesthetized children, the impact of CPAP on minute ventilation has been clinically insignificant.4 Keidan et al. studied the effect of CPAP (6 cm H2O) on work of breathing and respiratory indices in healthy spontaneously breathing children (median age, 1.0 yr) during halothane–nitrous oxide anesthesia.4 Application of CPAP via a facemask significantly decreased the work of breathing but had no significant effect on inspiratory tidal volume, inspiratory minute volume, or end-tidal carbon dioxide tension. To the extent that CPAP relieves existing upper airway narrowing, CPAP can also improve gas exchange, resulting in a reduction of hypercapnia and improved oxygenation. It was the purpose of our study to determine the interaction of propofol anesthesia and CPAP on upper airway caliber and configuration in infants. We
considered it neither relevant to the study hypothesis nor ethically justifiable to perform arterial puncture for blood gas analysis in our infant subjects. Given the relatively brief duration of CPAP application, any increase in arterial carbon dioxide tension was likely small; indeed, after removal of CPAP, we observed no outpouring of carbon dioxide as determined by end-tidal measurement.

Mark W. Crawford, M.B.B.S., F.R.C.P.C.,* Denise Rohan, M.B.B.Ch, F.F.A.R.C.S.I., Christopher K. Macgowan, Ph.D., Shijoon Yoo, M.D., F.R.C.P.C., Bruce A. Macpherson, M.D., F.R.C.P.† (Accepted for publication November 15, 2006.)

To the Editor:—I read with great interest the case report by Rosenblatt et al.,1 and the accompanying editorial by Weinberg,2 which appeared in the July 2006 issue of ANESTHESIOLOGY. The authors, especially Dr. Weinberg, exhibit considerable enthusiasm about the use of lipid emulsion during resuscitation of a patient with assumed bupivacaine-induced toxicity. Indeed, the patient recovered fully after a series of pulseless rhythms, no small triumph considering the difficulties surrounding this untoward occurrence in the operating room. Dr. Weinberg’s laboratory research supports the continued clinical investigation of lipid emulsion therapy in this setting. However, I do have reservations about the proof of its efficacy presented in this case, and would like to offer an alternative interpretation below. In addition, the anesthesia team could have avoided pitfalls that may have increased risk to the patient.

The anesthesia preoperative assessment established that the patient had ischemic heart disease, possibly unstable, an abnormal electrocardiogram, and no recent cardiac studies. I am curious as to why it was decided to proceed without obtaining a more informative evaluation including a pharmacologic stress test to determine the adequacy of left ventricular function and the absence of regional wall motion abnormalities. Depending on the results of the testing, this elective surgery could have been postponed pending further medical management of the patient or cancelled because of unacceptable high risk. The patient’s refusal of further study and the cardiologist’s oversimplified consultation should not have compromised good medical care.

The amount of local anesthetic administered to the patient for interscalene block, although acceptable according to the patient’s weight, was probably high considering his heart disease. As the authors discuss, he may have been more sensitive to bupivacaine’s direct toxic effects on the heart. The mechanism is not completely understood, but inhibition of adenosine triphosphate–sensitive inwardly rectifying potassium channels has been demonstrated in myocardial cell cultures.3 I would have chosen 0.25% bupivacaine alone or mixed with 1.5% meipivacaine, which should provide satisfactory postoperative analgesia. Although controversial because of the presence of significant heart disease in the patient, the addition of a small amount of epinephrine to the local anesthetic, whatever the choice, may have allowed for earlier detection of intravascular injection and reduced toxicity effects from lower peak bupivacaine blood levels. An argument could be made to use a higher concentration of bupivacaine to anesthetize the anterior shoulder if regional anesthesia only is considered, but the authors do not reveal whether the latter was intended or general anesthesia was also planned.

The onset of central nervous system excitability observed in this patient is usually an early sign of systemic local anesthetic toxicity, and may herald cardiovascular collapse secondary to effects on cardiopulmonary regulatory control processes in the brainstem.4 Propofol was administered on two occasions to suppress seizures in this patient, and I believe this added further negative inotropic, chronotropic, and dromotropic injuries. It has been associated with episodes of bradycardia, second-degree atrioventricular block, and asystole resulting from muscarinic receptor stimulation.5 Propofol has been shown to inhibit L-type calcium channels in ventricular sarcolemmal preparations, which may explain its negative inotropic effect.6 Bupivacaine and propofol likely had additive if not synergistic myocardial depressant effects in this case. Avoidance of this combination, especially in a patient with heart disease, seems warranted. Additional midazolam and possibly lipid emulsion may be better choices for treating local anesthetic toxicity in this scenario.

The return of normal sinus rhythm occurred after more than 20 min of cardiopulmonary resuscitation and the administration of multiple antidysrhythmic drugs before lipid emulsion therapy was considered. It is possible that the effects from these other drugs and the spontaneous elimination of local anesthetic from central nervous system and cardiac tissues contributed appreciably to the patient’s recovery. Administration of lipid emulsion in the presence of measurable blood levels of local anesthetic would have given more credence to the conclusions drawn. While cautiously optimistic, I am undecided about the effectiveness of lipid emulsion for the treatment of systemic bupivacaine toxicity based on the results of this one case.

Robert C. Shupak, M.D., Sunrise Ambulatory Surgical Center and Whiteriver Hospital, Indian Health Service, Lakeside, Arizona. seaphotodoc@yahoo.com
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Lipid Emulsion for Bupivacaine Toxicity: Too Soon to Celebrate?

To抑制L型钙通道在心室肌细胞的准备中起作用。事实上，这并不完全被理解，但抑制腺苷三磷酸-敏感的内向整流钾通道已在心肌细胞中被证明。3 我会选择0.25%布比卡因单独或与1.5%利多卡因混合，这将提供术后的良好镇痛效果。尽管争议，因为存在显著的心脏疾病在患者，其添加的小量的肾上腺素到局部麻醉剂，无论选择，可能允许早期检测到侵犯血管内注射和减少毒性效应从较低的峰值布比卡因血水平。这种言论可以被证明，使用一个更高浓度的布比卡因来麻醉前肩部区域如果区域麻醉只考虑，但作者不透露是否后者是打算或一般麻醉也被计划。

脂质乳剂的临床使用证明，可能进一步的负心率，心率，和心律失常影响。它已被证明与心率紊乱相关的表现，二度房室传导阻滞，和心肌停搏的结果从胆碱能受体刺激。5 丙泊酚已被证明抑制L型钙通道在心肌室膜的准备，这可能解释它的负心肌效应。6 布比卡因和丙泊酚可能有叠加，如果不议程的心肌抑制影响在这一案例。避免这种组合，特别是在患者有心病的情况下，似乎是必要的。额外的咪达唑仑和可能的脂质乳剂可能是更好的选择，对于治疗局部麻醉的毒性在这一情况下。

患者的正常窦性心率在20分钟后的心脏复苏和丙泊酚的多次使用后没有。它是可能的，从这些其他药物和自发消除的局部麻醉剂从中枢神经系统和心脏组织的贡献可以显著地减少患者的恢复。心脏复苏时的脂质乳剂的存在可测量的血液水平局部麻醉剂将提供更多信息到结论被绘制。虽然谨慎乐观，我在不确定这个脂质乳剂对局部麻醉剂毒性的影响基于的结果的这一案例。

Robert C. Shupak, M.D., Sunrise Ambulatory Surgical Center and Whiteriver Hospital, Indian Health Service, Lakeside, Arizona. seaphotodoc@yahoo.com
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Lipid Emulsion for Bupivacaine Toxicity: Too Soon to Celebrate?
To the Editor.—I read with interest the case report of Dr. Rosenblatt et al.1 about a presumed bupivacaine-related cardiac arrest after the injection of 40 ml local anesthetic solution for interscalene brachial plexus blockade.

The authors write that “The electrocardiogram showed asystole...”; subsequently, tracheal intubation was performed, and during 20 min of cardiac life support, 3 mg epinephrine, 2 mg atropine, 300 mg amiodarone, and 40 U arginine vasopressin were administered. They also used monophasic defibrillation at escalating energy levels of 200, 300, 360, and 360 J. According to the text, “Cardiac rhythms included ventricular tachycardia with a pulse, pulseless ventricular tachycardia that momentarily became ventricular fibrillation, and eventually asystole. The arrhythmias observed during most of the resuscitation period were pulseless ventricular tachycardia and asystole.”

Current guidelines for the use of monophasic defibrillation recommend the use of 360 J for the initial and subsequent shocks, because of the lower efficacy of this waveform2 (if compared with biphasic defibrillation); the authors used 200 J.

The arrhythmias most often observed were pulseless ventricular tachycardia and asystole, the latter being a “nonshockable rhythm.” Moreover, current guidelines explicitly recommend not to defibrillate if there is doubt about whether the rhythm is asystole or fine ventricular fibrillation.3 It is also recommended to use a single shock strategy followed by immediate resumption of chest compressions.4 However, the authors report having used repeated attempts to defibrillate the patient, even after 20 min of cardiac arrest; did they attempt to defibrillate asystole?

The cardiac rhythm fortunately returned to sinus after a lipid emulsion was given intravenously, and in the end, the patient had no neurologic sequelae, but was the advanced cardiac support optimum?

Gonzalo Tornero-Campello, M.D., Hospital General Universitario de Elche, Elche, Alicante, Spain. gtorcam@hotmail.com
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To take the authors’ words truly to heart, turn around their conclusion instead, and question whether racemic bupivacaine (and its lipid emulsion antidote) still warrant a place in “…areas in which peripheral nerve blocks are being performed.” Rather, one might just as well consider a moratorium on the use of bupivacaine and avoid this dreadful complication (and its unconventional treatment) altogether—using more heart-kind, equally long-lasting local anesthetics such as levobupivacaine or ropivacaine.

With safer monomeric alternatives to racemic bupivacaine (now irreverently dubbed “retro-bupivacaine”) available, hasn’t the time arrived to retire this notoriously hazardous local anesthetic that by now has accumulated more than 30 yr of evidence of disproportionate cardiotoxicity? All that racemic bupivacaine has going for it, in truth, is the low price of a generic drug. No question but that drug cost is a substantial practice expense—still, patient safety always must be the overriding consideration.

In the flush of exciting news, it is all too convenient to ignore the dark side of this case report. The stark truth remains that, even in skilled hands, racemic bupivacaine is an unpredictably cardiotoxic local anesthetic that—perhaps—should be retired from practice altogether. It is a bit like promoting a surefire cure for lung cancer, rather than snuffing out cigarette smoking in the first instance, or not belting up because your car has the latest inflatable device.

Rudolph H. de Jong, M.D., University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina. dejong@nuvox.net
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In Reply.—I am gratified by the response to the recent case report1 and accompanying editorial2 on the successful use of lipid in treating local anesthetic cardiac toxicity. Two of the letters are by authors whose work each occupies substantial space on my bookshelf. I appreciate the support for the lipid method that Dr. Moore showed by adding it to his proposed protocol for treating local anesthetic systemic toxicity.

I agree with Dr. Shupak that propofol is not a good choice for treating patients with local anesthetic-induced toxicity. This was not always my opinion, and a review I wrote on the topic3 might have lead Rosenblatt’s team to use propofol for seizure suppression—mea culpa. However, given (1) the potential for rapid and unpredictable progression to cardiac depression shortly after central nervous system symptoms, (2) overwhelming evidence of the cardiac suppressive effects of propofol, and (3) the commonly held, but incorrect, belief that propofol and 20% lipid are interchangeable, I believe that propofol should be removed from any such protocol and considered contraindicated in treatment of local anesthetic toxicity.

I caution Dr. Shupak against writing statements that begin with “I would have chosen . . .” as it rarely looks good to question what someone did in extremis. Dr. Rosenblatt and associates did not have the advantage of hindsight but performed admirably in saving the patient’s life. Writing honestly about such an experience vicariously enriches our collective clinical wisdom.

Dr. Shupak points out that the patient’s recovery at the same time as the lipid infusion might not have been causally related, although he remains “cautiously optimistic.” However, I have a distinct advantage. My “considerable enthusiasm” is based on having performed many dozens of experiments over several years in several animal models of bupivacaine toxicity in which lipid failed to resuscitate only twice (arterial pressure and electrocardiographic traces of typical experiments can be viewed at www.lipidrescue.org). Dr. Shupak also wrote that he is “undecided about the effectiveness of lipid . . . .” Given the second case report by Litz et al., I consider it unwise to withhold lipid infusion for a patient unresponsive to standard resuscitative measures. Saying “there’s not enough evidence” seems too harsh a sentence for the suffering patient.

Dr. de Jong’s question of general applicability is partially answered by Dr. Rosenblatt’s case (asystole after combined bupivacaine and mepivacaine) and the recent report by Litz et al.4,6 of the successful use of lipid in resuscitating a patient in ropivacaine-induced asystole. It is not known whether lipid infusion could benefit a patient with purely central nervous system symptoms of overdose; we wait for laboratory studies or, possibly, case reports.

Dr. de Jong also cites case reports of successful resuscitation with standard methods for cardiac arrest after ropivacaine and levobupivacaine as support for an argument to avoid bupivacaine in favor of the other agents. I believe these cases serve as reminders that use of all local anesthetics carries risk. Knowing they exist, I wonder how often such cases go unreported when the resuscitation is not successful. Accurate enumerators and denominators for critical events during regional anesthesia with specific local anesthetics are not available. However, a recent survey by Corcoran et al.5 shows that bupivacaine surpasses all other local anesthetics as the preferred agent when prolonged anesthesia is required. Lacking epidemiologic evidence supporting a clear safety advantage of alternatives, I think it is unlikely that bupivacaine will be retired from use.

Guy L. Weinberg, M.D., University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois. guyw@uic.edu
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MENTED ISCHEMIC HEART DISEASE AND PREVIOUS CORONARY BYPASS GRAFT SURGERY, HE WAS ON MAXIMAL MEDICAL THERAPY AND HAD REFUSED FURTHER DIAGNOSTIC AND SURGICAL INTERVENTIONS. HIS SHOULDER WAS CAUSING HIM CONSIDERABLE DISCOMFORT. WE CONSIDERED THAT THE PLANNED SHOULDER ARTHROSCOPY PRESENTED A LOW RISK FOR CARDIAC EVENTS AND THAT HIS INFORMED REFUSAL TO SUBJECT HIMSELF TO FURTHER WORKUP SHOULD NOT BE A CONTRAINDICATION. AFTER THE EVENT, OUR PATIENT DID CONSENT TO A CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION. THIS REVEALED NO BypassABLE DISEASE, NORMAL LEFT VENTRICULAR EDDIASTOLIC PRESSURE, AND MODERATE LEFT VENTRICULAR DYSFUNCTION. LIKE OUR PATIENT, THE PATIENT REPORTED BY LITZ ET AL. HAD UNDERLYING CARDIAC DISEASE THAT INCLUDED A MILD FORM OF MORGAGNI-ADAMS-STOKES SYNDROME, LEFT BUNDLE-BRANCH BLOCK, AND GRADE II MITRAL TRICUSPID REGURGITATION. WE CONCUR WITH DR. SHUPAK THAT OUR PATIENT’S UNDERLYING CARDIAC DISEASE MAY HAVE MADE HIM MORE SUSCEPTIBLE TO THE CARDIO-TOXIC EFFECTS OF BUPIVACaine, BUT OUR INTENTION WAS TO AVOID GENERAL ANESTHESIA. BUPIVACaine, 0.5%, WAS CHOSEN BECAUSE IT PROVIDES SUPERIOR SURGICAL ANESTHESIA AND LONGER POSTOPERATIVE ANALGESIA.

DR. TORNORS-CAMPENOL AND DR. MOORE RAISED CONCERNS ABOUT THE SEQUENCE AND EFFICACY OF EVENTS DURING THE CARDIOPULMONARY RESUSCITATION. THIS CASE OCCURRED ONLY DAYS BEFORE THE NOVEMBER 28, 2005, ON-LINE PUBLICATION OF THE UPDATED ADVANCED CARDIAC LIFE SUPPORT GUIDELINES THAT SUBSEQUENTLY WERE PUBLISHED IN THE DECEMBER 13, 2006, SUPPLEMENT TO CIRCULATION. WE THEREFORE WERE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THEN APPLICABLE GUIDELINES IN THE USE OF DEBIRILLATION ENERGIES. THE PATIENT HAD BEEN RECEIVING SUPPLEMENTAL OXYGEN AT 3 L/MIN BEFORE THE COMMENCEMENT OF THE BLOCK. AS SOON AS SEIZURE ACTIVITY WAS DETECTED, OXYGEN WAS DELIVERED FROM A FACEMASK CONNECTED TO A SELF-INFLATING RESUSCITATION BAG. THIS WAS CONTINUED THROUGH THE SUBSEQUENT SEIZURES, UNTIL THE TRACHEA HAD BEEN INTUBATED. WE THEREFORE DISAGREE WITH DR. MOORE’S SUGGESTION THAT HYPOXIA CONTRIBUTED SIGNIFICANTLY TO THE PROLONGATION OF THE SEIZURE ACTIVITY. WE REITERATE THAT AFTER THIS ENTIRE EPISODE, OUR PATIENT SUSTAINED NO PERMANENT NEUROLOGIC SEQUELAE.

WE CONCUR WITH DR. DE JONG THAT LIQUID EMULATION IS NOT A PANACEA FOR TREATING THE COMMON AND NONCARDIAC MANIFESTATIONS OF LOCAL ANESTHETIC TOXICITY, BUT WE RESPECTFULLY DISAGREE WITH HIS ASSERTIONS THAT BUPIVACaine IS AN ANTIGUATED AGENT. INTRODUCED INTO CLINICAL PRACTICE IN 1963, BUPIVACaine HAS BEEN USED TO PROVIDE SUPERB-QUALITY ANALGESIA AND ANAGELSE COUNTLESS TIMES, AND WITHOUT EVENT. IT WAS NOT UNTIL 16 YEAR AFTER ITS INTRODUCTION THAT ATTENTION WAS CALLED TO ITS POTENTIAL CARDIO-TOXICITY. Ropivacaine IS A SUBSTANTIALLY MORE EXPENSIVE AGENT THAT IS ALSO CARDIO TOXIC AND IS STILL IN THE INFANCY OF ITS USE. QUESTIONS ABOUT ITS SUPERIORITY TO BUPIVACaine WHEN USED IN EQUIPOTENT DOSES REMAIN UNANSWERED. RATHER THAN ABANDONING BUPIVACaine ALTOGETHER, AS DR. DE JONG SUGGESTS, WE PROPOSE THAT ALL PRACTITIONERS OF REGIONAL ANESTHESIA USE ANESTHESIA BECOME FAMILIAR WITH THE USE OF 20% LIPID TO DECREASE POTENTIAL ROPIVACaine-INDUCED ASYSTOLE AFTER AXILLARY PLEXUS BLOCK USING LIPID INFUSION. ANESTHESIA 2006; 61:800–1.
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War Produces Anesthesiologists

To the Editor—The main message and demonstration of the excellent article by Martin et al. is that World War II created the need for, and the rapid production of, anesthesiologists. From my perspective, as someone who became an anesthesiologist because of the Vietnam War, the same is true of the Vietnam War both in concept and in the process details that were so well described by Martin et al. At the height of the Vietnam War in 1968–1969, there were great numbers of soldiers with multiple fragment wounds due to rocket-propelled grenades, and there was a need for medical doctors to staff the anesthesia and orthopedic departments of forward-placed MASH units. The US Army offered graduating interns who for one reason or another were not going straight into a residency (called a Berry deferment) a 2-yr tour of duty in Regional Anesthesia and longer postoperative analgesia. Bupivacaine, 0.5%, was chosen because it provides superior quality and longer postoperative analgesia.

To the Editor—The main message and demonstration of the excellent article by Martin et al. is that World War II created the need for, and the rapid production of, anesthesiologists. From my perspective, as someone who became an anesthesiologist because of the Vietnam War, the same is true of the Vietnam War both in concept and in the process details that were so well described by Martin et al. At the height of the Vietnam War in 1968–1969, there were great numbers of soldiers with multiple fragment wounds due to rocket-propelled grenades, and there was a need for medical doctors to staff the anesthesia and orthopedic departments of forward-placed MASH units. The US Army offered graduating interns who for one reason or another were not going straight into a residency (called a Berry deferment), a 2-yr on-the-job training (OJT) assignment in anesthesia or orthopedics rather than just routinely becoming a general medical officer. The anesthesiology OJT tour of duty started out with being assigned to a stateside Army hospital and being taught/trained by a board-eligible or certifed anesthesiologist for approximately 3 months (a short, intense 90-day course in anesthesiology). At this juncture, many/most OJTs were assigned to positions in forward-placed MASH units in Vietnam for 1 yr, and these OJTs practiced almost exclusively emergency/trauma anesthesia. The remainder of the 2-yr obligation (6 months) was fulfilled by practicing anesthesia once again in a stateside Army hospital. It is my impression that many/most of the medical doctors who went through the OJT experience in anesthesiology in 1968–1969 then went on to take formal residencies in anesthesiology, and presumably many became board-certifed anesthesiologists. Therefore, with respect to the anesthesiology “Short Course,” the teachers of the course, and the eventful professional outcome (board eligibility/certiication) for the doctors who went through the program, I think the Vietnam War OJT anesthesiology program was quite similar to the World War II anesthesiology program.

Jonathan L. Benumof, M.D., UCSD Medical Center, San Diego, California. jbenumof@ucsd.edu
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World War II Short Course: A Personal View

To the Editor:—I read with interest the article by my colleague on the World War II short course on anesthesiology and its impact on the specialty of anesthesiology. The authors documented far-reaching effects of 6-month anesthesiology short courses set up for the military during World War II. One short course graduate was my father, Frank Leo Faust, M.D. He had been called from his surgical residency to active duty in the Navy the day after the bombing of Pearl Harbor in 1941. Three years later, additional volunteers were sought from military physicians for the short courses in anesthesiology. It was anticipated that a great number of wounded would need surgery during the planned invasion on the beaches of Japan. His short course took place at Lahey Clinic during late 1944.

Although it would not be expected that a 6-month trainee might make “academic” contributions, he published an article in ANESTHESIOLOGY on a series of repeated sympathetic blocks he performed on 40 veterans at the US Naval Hospital in New Orleans, Louisiana, after the war. In contrast to modern publication styles, one figure in that article is a pen and ink anatomical “cartoon” drawing signed by the author himself.

After discharge from the Navy, he entered private practice in New Orleans. In the early years, he actually carried his anesthesia machine in his trunk when called to do cases at some hospitals. He introduced regional anesthesia techniques he had learned in Boston into anesthesia practice in New Orleans. Obtaining American Board of Anesthesiology certification in 1950, he practiced in New Orleans for 34 yr and resides there now at age 91.

Ronald J. Faust, M.D., Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, Rochester, Minnesota. rfaust@mayo.edu
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In Reply:—We thank Drs. Benumof and Faust for sharing their stories in regard to our article. These stories demonstrate the important impact that war has had on the specialty of anesthesiology. It is important to record these observations before they are lost to history. The repeat of the short course strategy in Vietnam proves that the idea was well conceived and answered the Armed Forces’ need.

David P. Martin, M.D., Ph.D.,¹ Christopher M. Burkle, M.D., Brian P. McGlinch, M.D., Mary E. Warner, M.D., Alan D. Sessler, M.D., Douglas R. Bacon, M.D., M.A. ‘Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, Rochester, Minnesota. martin.david@mayo.edu

Cable Trapped Under Dräger Fabius Automatic Pressure Limiting Valve Causes Inability to Ventilate

To the Editor:—I would like to report a problem with the Dräger Fabius anesthesia machine (Telford, PA) that caused the inability to ventilate. After the inhalational induction of a 2,100-g infant presenting for abdominal surgery, a muscle relaxant was given to facilitate intubation. As paralysis developed, a large circuit leak was discovered, making manual ventilation impossible. The machine had passed its preoperative checkout, and a further rapid check of the circuit did not uncover any disconnections, breaks in the circuit, or obvious explanation for the inability to generate positive pressure. During this check, the automatic pressure limiting (APL) knob was rotated back and forth through its range several times, but this did not correct the inability to ventilate. A self-inflating ventilation bag was used to ventilate the patient while we continued to troubleshoot the system. It was discovered that the temperature monitoring cable had become trapped between the knob and the base of the APL Valve (fig. 1A).

During normal operation, the APL dial of the Dräger Fabius anesthesia machine is lifted 4 mm from the base into the “open” position, releasing any positive pressure within the circuit. Closure of the APL Valve requires meeting the knob and the base of the APL Valve (fig. 1A).

Fig. 1. (A) Rear view, recreated. Temperature cable trapped between knob and base of the automatic pressure limiting valve. (B) Front view, recreated. Automatic pressure limiting knob shields view of cable trapped beneath knob. Elevation of knob is subtle and easily missed.

Reference


(Received for publication November 15, 2006.)
turning the control knob in a clockwise direction and descent of the knob onto its base, to generate positive pressure within the circuit. If the knob is manually lifted off its base, or prevented from descending by a foreign object, the APL Valve reverts to the “open” position and positive pressure cannot be generated, regardless of rotation of the knob.

Patient monitor cables are often run behind the carbon dioxide absorbent arm to keep them free of the breathing circuit, placing them to the rear of the APL. In this position, the APL screens the cables from the view of the anesthetist (fig. 1B). Elevation of the knob is subtle and easily missed during a cursory inspection of the APL Valve. Merely rotating the APL Valve is not sufficient to free a cable trapped beneath.

In Reply.—The automatic pressure limiting (APL) valve used on the Fabius GS Anesthesia Machine (Dräger Medical, Inc., Telford, PA) has two functions: (1) to limit the maximum pressure during manual ventilation and (2) to exhaust excess gas into the scavenger system during manual and spontaneous ventilation. Pulling up on the knob quickly releases pressure when in the manual ventilation mode.

A line, cable, or other material caught underneath the APL Valve adjustment knob could, depending on the thickness of the object, interfere with the proper functioning of the valve and may affect manual ventilation. It will not affect spontaneous or automatic ventilation. The Fabius GS will not pass the preuse leak test if an object is caught underneath the APL Valve adjustment knob. The author of this correspondence states that the device had passed the preoperative checkout. This indicates that the temperature-monitoring cable became caught underneath the APL Valve adjustment knob at some point between the preoperative check and induction.

The reported incident emphasizes the challenges surrounding the increased number of cables and hoses associated with patient monitoring in the operating room environment. The Fabius GS Operator’s Instruction Manual contains a warning to route all lines and cables away from the APL Valve to prevent interference with the APL Valve adjustment knob. The breathing system mounting arm provides an area underneath the arm for routing lines and cables connecting to the breathing system. Dräger Medical, Inc. also offers a boom arm as an accessory that can assist the user in cable management. Device users should perform the daily and preuse checklist to confirm the proper functioning of the device before use and follow all warnings and cautions outlined in the Operator’s Instruction Manual, before and during use.

Dräger Medical, Inc. is committed to providing reliable, quality products and appreciates this matter being brought to our attention. This type of information is valuable when evaluating potential enhancements to our products.

Robert Clark, M.Eng., M.B.A., Dräger Medical, Inc., Telford, Pennsylvania. rob.clark@draegermed.com

(Accepted for publication October 10, 2006.)

To the Editor.—The CTrach Laryngeal Mask Airway™ (LMA North America, Inc., San Diego, CA) allows for visualization of the glottis before intubation, as well as concurrent patient ventilation. However, we have found that even after the administration of glycopyrrolate, the use of antifog liquid, or placing the unit in warm water, the view port either fogs or is obscured by either oropharyngeal secretions or the lubricating gel in the endotracheal tube, should the first intubation attempt be unsuccessful. A simple solution to this problem is to use a hemostat or similar device to advance a disposable sponge swab moistened with warm normal saline (e.g., Item 6075; Sage Products, Inc., Cary, IL) through the CTrach™ to clean the viewing port. We have now used this technique in more than 10 instances, with uniformly excellent results (fig. 1).

Marco A. Maurtua, M.D., Delia B. Maurtua, M.D., Andrew Zura, M.D., D. John Doyle, M.D., Ph.D. Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio. maurtum@ccf.org
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Fig. 1. A and B show the CTrach Laryngeal Mask Airway™ with and without optic lens protective sponge swab. C shows the hemostat and protective sponge swab and side view of the CTrach Laryngeal Mask Airway™. D shows the protective sponge swab inserted in the CTrach Laryngeal Mask Airway™.