Efficacy of High-dose Nebulized Colistin in Ventilator-associated Pneumonia Caused by Multidrug-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Acinetobacter baumannii
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ABSTRACT

Background: Colistin often remains the only active agent against multidrug-resistant Gram-negative pathogens. The aim of the study was to assess efficacy of nebulized colistin for treating ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) caused by multidrug-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Acinetobacter baumannii.

Methods: One hundred and sixty-five patients with VAP caused by P. aeruginosa and A. baumannii were enrolled in a prospective, observational, and comparative study. The sensitive strain group included 122 patients with VAP caused by P. aeruginosa and A. baumannii susceptible to β-lactams, aminoglycosides, or quinolones and treated with intravenous antibiotics for 14 days. The multidrug-resistant strain group included 43 patients with VAP caused by multidrug-resistant P. aeruginosa and A. baumannii and treated with nebulized colistin (5 million international units every 8 h) either in monotherapy (n = 28) or combined to a 3-day intravenous aminoglycosides for 7–19 days. The primary endpoint was clinical cure rate. Aerosol was delivered using vibrating plate nebulizer.

Results: After treatment, clinical cure rate was 66% in sensitive strain group and 67% in multidrug-resistant strain group (difference −1%, lower limit of 95% CI for difference −12.6%). Mortality was not different between groups (23 vs. 16%). Among 16 patients with persisting or recurrent P. aeruginosa infection, colistin minimum inhibitory concentration increased in two patients.

Conclusion: Nebulization of high-dose colistin was effective to treat VAP caused by multidrug-resistant P. aeruginosa or A. baumannii. Its therapeutic effect was noninferior to intravenous β-lactams associated with aminoglycosides or quinolones for treating VAP caused by susceptible P. aeruginosa and A. baumannii.

VENILATOR-ASSOCIATED pneumonia (VAP) caused by Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Acinetobacter baumannii is characterized by high rate of recurrence and frequent selection of new resistance to antibiotics despite adequate initial antimicrobial therapy. The decreased susceptibility of these strains to antibiotics has become a major health problem worldwide. Nowadays, few antimicrobials are available to treat Gram-negative multidrug-resistant VAP, and often, colistin remains the only active agent.1
Although synergistic antibiotic activity with colistin combined to rifampicin or carbapenems has been shown in vitro,\textsuperscript{6,7} the superiority of such combination therapy has never been demonstrated in patients.\textsuperscript{8,9} Intravenous colistin monotherapy is therefore often used as salvage therapy in the treatment of patients with VAP caused by multidrug-resistant Gram-negative pathogens susceptible only to colistin.\textsuperscript{7–9} Because of its poor lung tissue penetration,\textsuperscript{10,11} the effectiveness of intravenous administration is highly questionable. Both success and failure of the treatment have been reported in a few observational, retrospective, or uncontrolled series. Another major concern regarding intravenous colistin monotherapy is the emergency of resistance.\textsuperscript{12,13} The risk of acquisition of colistin resistance could be even higher if its lung tissue concentration is insufficient compared with minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC).\textsuperscript{14}

Nebulization of antibiotics offers the possibility of generating high drug concentrations at the site of infection.\textsuperscript{15–17} In a previous experimental study, nebulized colistin monotherapy resulted in high lung deposition and bactericidal effects in ventilated piglets with inoculation pneumonia caused by \textit{P. aeruginosa} intermediate to cefazidime.\textsuperscript{18} A recent clinical study performed in patients with VAP reported that combined nebulization of cefazidime and amikacin was effective against \textit{P. aeruginosa} intermediate to cefazidime and/or amikacin and may prevent pre-treatment acquisition of antibiotic resistance.\textsuperscript{18}

The objective of the study was to evaluate the efficacy of high-dose nebulized colistin for treating VAP caused by multidrug-resistant \textit{P. aeruginosa} and \textit{Acinetobacter baumannii}. A group of patients with VAP caused by susceptible \textit{P. aeruginosa} or \textit{A. baumannii} treated with conventional intravenous antibiotics served as controls. The second objective was to assess the risk of developing colistin resistance after administration of nebulized colistin in patients with recurrent VAP.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study Design and Patients**

This prospective, observational study was conducted from January 1, 2006, to December 31, 2010, in the 26-bed multidisciplinary intensive care unit (ICU) of La Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital. The institutional review board of La Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital, Paris, France, approved the study protocol. Because nothing more than routine diagnostic tests, monitoring, and treatment was performed during the study, informed consent from patients or their relatives was waived.

The eligible criteria for the study were age older than 18 yr, mechanical ventilation required for more than 48 h, and VAP caused by \textit{P. aeruginosa} or \textit{A. baumannii}. VAP was defined as the presence of new and persistent infiltrates on chest radiography and bedside lung ultrasound highly evocative of lung infection\textsuperscript{19,20} associated with one of the following clinical features: (1) temperature ≥ 38.4°C or < 36.5°C; (2) leukocyte count > 11.10\textsuperscript{3}/ml; and (3) purulent bronchial secretions. \textit{P. aeruginosa} or \textit{A. baumannii} was confirmed in lower respiratory tract specimens sampled either by fiberoptic bronchoscopy with nonprotected bronchoalveolar lavage or protected mini-bronchoalveolar lavage.\textsuperscript{21} A positive sample was defined as more than or equal to 10\textsuperscript{4} colony-forming unit (CFU)/ml for nonprotected bronchoalveolar lavage and more than or equal to 10\textsuperscript{4} CFU/ml for protected mini-bronchoalveolar lavage. Exclusion criteria were (1) severe immunosuppression, defined as leukocyte counts less than 1000 cells/ml or neutrophils less than 500 cells/ml; (2) extrapulmonary infection such as bacteremia, urinary infection, peritonitis, catheter-related infection, mediastinitis, meningitis, endocarditis, or skin infections; (3) patients treated with intravenous colistin; (4) patients treated with a combination of nebulized colistin and intravenous antibiotics other than aminoglycosides; and (5) allergy to colistin. Before obtaining the result of the bronchoalveolar lavage culture, each patient included in the study received either an empirical antimicrobial therapy based on the bacterial ecology of the ICU during a mean time of 1.6 ± 0.5 days or an antimiicrobial therapy for treating the previous episode of VAP.

Two groups of patients were distinguished from the cohort patients: the sensitive strain group including patients with VAP caused by \textit{A. baumannii} or \textit{P. aeruginosa} susceptible to β-lactams and the multidrug-resistant strain group including patients with VAP caused by \textit{P. aeruginosa} or \textit{A. baumannii} resistant to all β-lactams and susceptible to colistin. When the first episode of VAP was caused by susceptible \textit{P. aeruginosa} or \textit{A. baumannii} and followed by a second episode caused by multidrug-resistant \textit{P. aeruginosa} or \textit{A. baumannii}, patients were included in the multidrug-resistant strain group rather than in the sensitive strain group. Patients’ flowchart is shown in figure 1.

Patients in the sensitive strain group received for 14 days intravenous β-lactam (ticarcillin/piperacillin, ceftazidime, or imipenem) combined either with aminoglycoside (78% of patients) or quinolone (22% of patients) for 3 days. This 14-day treatment regimen was selected to avoid a high pulmonary infection recurrence rate.\textsuperscript{22}

Patients in the multidrug-resistant strain group received an aerosol of 5 million international units (IU) (400 mg) of colistimethate every 8 h for 7–19 days. The duration of aerosol was maintained for 14 days or until successful weaning from mechanical ventilation. After extubation, aerosol of antibiotics cannot be properly delivered through the natural airways during spontaneous breathing because of inspiratory flow turbulence precluding reaching the deep lung. Aerosolized colistimethate dose was calculated according to a 40% extrapulmonary deposition as previously described.\textsuperscript{10} Therefore, the resulting fraction of colistimethate reaching the respiratory tract was 60% of the initial dose placed in the nebulizer chamber, representing a daily dose equivalent to 3 million IU delivered to the respiratory tract every 8 h.
Colistin plasma concentrations were measured at day 2 and day 3 using high-performance liquid chromatography method. Peak and trough serum concentrations were measured 30 min after nebulization and immediately before the next nebulization, respectively. All blood samples were immediately centrifuged for 15 min (4,000 g) at 4 °C, and plasma samples were stored at −40 °C for later analysis.

Serum creatinine was recorded on days 0, 3, 7, and 14 in both groups. Colistin-induced renal function impairment was defined as an increase in serum creatinine level more than or equal to 1.5 times the pretreatment value.

Aerosol Generation

In the multidrug-resistant strain group, nebulization was performed with a vibrating plate nebulizer (Aeroneb Pro®, Aerogen Nektar Corporation, Galway, Ireland) positioned on the inspiratory limb 10 cm proximal to the Y-piece. After inserting 5 million IU of colistimethate powder diluted in 10 ml of sterile water into the nebulizer chamber, each nebulization was delivered over 60 min. Specific ventilator settings were used during the nebulization period to reduce flow turbulences and thereby extrapulmonary deposition. They included removal of heat and moisture exchanger or conventional humidifier, volume controlled mode, administration of constant inspiratory flow, respiratory rate of 12 breaths/min, inspiratory–expiratory ratio of 50%, tidal volume of 8 ml/kg, and an end-inspiratory pause representing 20% of the duty cycle. During the nebulization period, expired aerosolized particles were collected in a filter with pore size equal to 0.2 µm positioned on the distal part of the expiratory limb (Hygrobac; Mallinckrodt Medical, Mirandola, Italy). Strict coordination between the patient and the ventilator was demanded to avoid inspiratory turbulences and optimize distal lung deposition of aerosolized particles. In case of discoordination, 2 mg/kg of propofol was infused. After each aerosol, the filter was removed and heat and moisture exchanger or conventional humidifier repositioned. To standardize the procedure of aerosol administration, a checklist form was completed by the nurse in charge of the patient as previously described.

Fig. 1. Patients’ flowchart. A. baumannii = Acinetobacter baumannii; IV = intravenous; P. aeruginosa = Pseudomonas aeruginosa; VAP = ventilator-associated pneumonia.
Clinical and Microbiological Assessments
Clinical responses were classified at the end of treatment at day 14 by independent physicians as cure, persisting VAP, recurrence, and superinfection. Cure of VAP was defined as resolution of clinical and biological signs of infection, clinical pulmonary infection score (CPIS) less than 6 and negative culture of lower respiratory tract specimens if available. Persisting VAP was defined as lack of improvement of clinical and biological signs of infection, CPIS greater than 6, and significant concentrations of *P. aeruginosa* and *A. baumannii* persisting in the lower respiratory tract. Recurrence was defined as initial cure of VAP with antimicrobial treatment at day 14 followed by the reappearance of clinical and biological signs of infection, CPIS greater than 6, and significant concentrations of *P. aeruginosa* and *A. baumannii* in lower respiratory tract specimens. Superinfection was defined as reappearance of VAP caused by pathogens other than *P. aeruginosa* or *A. baumannii* isolated from lower respiratory tract specimens.18

All causative microorganisms were identified using routine microbiological methods. The disk diffusion method was used for antibiotic susceptibility testing, except for colistin. Mueller–Hinton agar and disks of antibiotics were purchased from Sanofi Diagnostics Pasteur (Marne la Coquette, France) and were used according to the guidelines of the Antibiogram Committee of the French Society for Microbiology. Susceptibility of the isolates to colistin was determined using Etest strips following the manufacturer’s guidelines (AB bioMérieux, Basingstoke, United Kingdom) and the guidelines of the Antibiogram Committee of the French Society for Microbiology. The strains with MIC ≤ 2 mg/l were defined as susceptible to colistin for *P. aeruginosa* and *A. baumannii*.

Computed Tomography Measurements
In nine patients of the multidrug-resistant strain group, computed tomography of the whole lung was obtained before and at the end of antimicrobial treatment according to the demand of the physician in charge. Continuous axial 5-mm thick computed tomography sections of the whole lung were acquired at end-expiration before and after nebulized colistin. Volumes of gas and tissue and total lung volumes were computed.19,20 Antibiotic-induced lung reaeration and decrease in lung inflammation after administration of nebulized colistin was measured as the increase in gas volume and decrease in tissue volume in lung regions characterized by multiple and disseminated foci of pneumonia and in lung areas characterized by confluent bronchopneumonia.19

Statistical Analysis
The trial was designed to demonstrate the efficacy of nebulized colistin for treating VAP caused by multidrug-resistant *P. aeruginosa* or *A. baumannii*. The primary efficacy analysis assessed the noninferiority of clinical cure rate between multidrug-resistant strain and sensitive strain groups. Noninferiority of nebulized colistin was demonstrated if the lower limit of the one-sided 95% CI for difference in clinical cure rate was more than −16%. The selection of the noninferiority margin was determined by combining statistical reasoning and clinical judgment. The average clinical cure rates of VAP caused by sensitive *P. aeruginosa* and *A. baumannii* in our ICU in the past year were 60 and 85%, respectively.

Considering that, in the study population, we would include 75% of patients with VAP caused by *P. aeruginosa* and 25% of patients with VAP caused by *A. baumannii*, the mean clinical cure rate of VAP was estimated to be 66%. Because the multidrug-resistant strain group was a different population with longer length of mechanical ventilation and more episodes of VAP before inclusion, we did consider that 50% of clinical cure rate in these patients was clinically relevant. The rate of 50% is in accordance with the lower limit of cure rate reported in the literature in patients with VAP caused by sensitive *P. aeruginosa* and *A. baumannii* treated with appropriate intravenous antibiotics.20–32 As a result, the noninferiority margin of 16% was determined (66–50% = 16%). Based on the inclusion ratio of 1:3 (one patient included in the multidrug-resistant strain group for three patients in the sensitive strain group), 32 and 96 patients were needed, respectively, in each group.

Risk for developing antibiotic resistance after administration of nebulized colistin in patients with recurrent pneumonia, assessment of serum colistin concentrations, determination of colistin-induced changes of computed tomography gas and tissue volumes, and assessment of antibiotic-induced nephrotoxicity were statistically analyzed. Categorical variables were expressed as percentages and continuous variables as mean ± SD or as median and 25%, 75% interquartile range. A two-tailed hypothesis was tested in the statistical methods. Differences between sensitive strain and multidrug-resistant strain groups concerning clinical characteristics, duration of mechanical ventilation, length of stay and percentages of persisting VAP, recurrent VAP, and superinfection were compared using the chi-square test, bilateral unpaired Student *t*-test, or Mann–Whitney rank-sum test according to the data distributions. Computed tomography changes in gas and tissue volumes before and after nebulized colistin therapy were compared using bilateral paired Student *t*-test. Two-way analysis of variance for repeated measures was used to compare changes in CPIS and serum creatinine between groups. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 13.0 and SigmaStat 2.03 (SPSS, San Rafael, CA). A *P* value of less than or equal to 0.5 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Patients
Forty-three patients were prospectively included in the multidrug-resistant strain group. Ten patients had VAP
caused by \textit{P. aeruginosa} or \textit{A. baumannii} susceptible only to colistin; 33 patients were infected by \textit{P. aeruginosa} or \textit{A. baumannii} resistant to all \(\beta\)-lactams and susceptible to colistin and aminoglycosides and/or ciprofloxacin. All patients had received inappropriate initial antimicrobial therapy. Twenty-eight patients were treated with nebulized colistin monotherapy. Fifteen patients were treated with nebulized colistin combined with a 3-day administration of intravenous aminoglycosides. The average duration of nebulized colistin administration was 12 days (range 7–19). In the sensitive strain group, 122 patients had VAP caused by \textit{P. aeruginosa} or \textit{A. baumannii} susceptible to at least one \(\beta\)-lactam. Eighty-four percent of patients had received initial appropriate empirical antibiotics. They were treated with intravenous \(\beta\)-lactam for 14 days combined with a 3-day administration of intravenous aminoglycoside or quinolone (fig. 1).

As shown in table 1, clinical characteristics of both groups were similar at ICU admission. At inclusion, VAP caused by \textit{P. aeruginosa} was more frequent in the sensitive strain group than in the multidrug-resistant strain group. In the multidrug-resistant strain group, duration of mechanical ventilation and ICU stay before initiation of antibiotics were significantly longer. In addition, previous administration of antibiotics and tracheostomy was more frequent. One third of patients of the multidrug-resistant strain group had at least two episodes of VAP before inclusion.

\textbf{Antibiotic Treatment Efficacy}

Twenty-nine of the 43 patients (67\%) treated with nebulized colistin were clinically cured at the end of treatment compared with 81 of the 122 patients (66\%) treated with intravenous \(\beta\)-lactams (difference: –1\%, lower limit of 95\% CI for the difference between success rate: –12.6\%).

Nineteen of the 28 patients treated with nebulized colistin monotherapy and 10 of the 15 patients treated with nebulized colistin combined to a 3-day administration of

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{lccc}
\hline
 & \textbf{Sensitive Strain Group} & \textbf{Multidrug-resistant Strain Group} & \textbf{P Value} \\
\hline
\textbf{Admission} & & & \\
Age, yr, mean ± SD & 59 (44 ± 71) & 58 (32 ± 62) & 0.043 \\
Male, n (%) & 88 (72\%) & 33 (77\%) & 0.556 \\
COPD, n (%) & 37 (30\%) & 13 (30\%) & 0.991 \\
SOFA, median (IQR) & 9.5 (7–11) & 9 (5–11) & 0.339 \\
SAPS II, median (IQR) & 46 (36–59) & 46 (35–58) & 0.353 \\
\textbf{Reason for admission, n (%)} & & & 0.511 \\
Multiple trauma & 30 (25\%) & 11 (26\%) & \\
Postoperative complications & 75 (61\%) & 23 (53\%) & \\
Medical disease & 17 (14\%) & 9 (21\%) & \\
\textbf{Inclusion} & & & \\
SOFA, median (IQR) & 5 (2–8) & 6 (3–9) & 0.221 \\
Causative pathogen, n (%) & & & 0.002 \\
\textit{P. aeruginosa} & 113 (93\%) & 32 (74\%) & \\
\textit{A. baumannii} & 9 (7\%) & 11 (26\%) & \\
Duration of MV before inclusion, median (IQR) & 9 (6–14) & 18 (8–35) & <0.001 \\
Length of stay in ICU before inclusion, median (IQR) & 8 (4–13) & 17 (6–32) & <0.001 \\
Previous antibiotic use, n (%) & 87 (71\%) & 41 (95\%) & 0.001 \\
Tracheostomy, n (%) & 34 (28\%) & 35 (81\%) & <0.001 \\
No. previous VAP, n (%) & & & 0.013 \\
None & 54 (44\%) & 17 (39\%) & \\
1 & 56 (46\%) & 14 (33\%) & \\
≥2 & 12 (10\%) & 12 (28\%) & 0.018 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Patients’ Clinical Characteristics at Admission and Inclusion}
\end{table}

Patients of the sensitive stain group were treated with intravenous \(\beta\)-lactams combined with a 3-day intravenous administration of aminoglycoside or quinolone; patients of the multidrug-resistant stain group were treated with nebulized colistin either in monotherapy (n = 28) or combined with a 3-day intravenous administration of aminoglycoside (n = 15).

\textit{A. baumannii} = \textit{Acinetobacter baumannii}; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ICU = intensive care unit; IQR = interquartile range (25–75\%); MV = mechanical ventilation; \textit{P. aeruginosa} = \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa}; SAPS II = Simplified Acute Physiology Score II; SOFA = Sequential Organ Failure Assessment; VAP = ventilator-associated pneumonia.
intravenous aminoglycoside were clinically cured at the end of treatment. Clinical cure rate was not different between the patients treated with nebulized colistin monotherapy and those treated with nebulized colistin associated with a 3-day administration of intravenous aminoglycoside (67 vs. 68%, \( P = 0.94 \)).

CPIS decreased significantly in patients successfully treated with antibiotics in both groups (fig. 2). As shown in table 2,

### Table 2. Antibiotic Treatment Efficacy in Both Groups of Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sensitive Strain Group</th>
<th>Multidrug-resistant Strain Group</th>
<th>( P ) Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cure of VAP at day 14, overall</td>
<td>81/122 (66.4%)</td>
<td>29/43 (67.4%)</td>
<td>0.654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cure of VAP caused by ( P. ) aeruginosa</td>
<td>72/113 (64%)</td>
<td>19/32 (59.3%)</td>
<td>0.353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cure of VAP caused by ( A. ) baumannii</td>
<td>9/9 (100%)</td>
<td>10/11 (91%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persisting VAP at day 14, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAP caused by ( P. ) aeruginosa</td>
<td>21/113 (19%)</td>
<td>10/32 (31%)</td>
<td>0.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAP caused by ( A. ) baumannii</td>
<td>0/9</td>
<td>0/11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAP caused by superinfection at day 14, n (%)</td>
<td>16/122 (13%)</td>
<td>2/43 (6%)</td>
<td>0.126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per-treatment death, n (%)</td>
<td>4/122 (3%)</td>
<td>2/43 (5%)</td>
<td>0.679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrence of VAP after day 14, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAP caused by ( P. ) aeruginosa</td>
<td>11/113 (10%)</td>
<td>6/32 (26%)</td>
<td>0.162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAP caused by ( A. ) baumannii</td>
<td>1/8 (11%)</td>
<td>0/11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAP caused by superinfection after day 14, n(%)</td>
<td>8/122 (6.6%)</td>
<td>4/43 (9%)</td>
<td>0.551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of MV after inclusion, media (IQR)</td>
<td>8 (2–21)</td>
<td>15 (6–24)</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of MV, median (IQR)</td>
<td>18 (12–33)</td>
<td>38 (23–54)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of stay in ICU, median (IQR)</td>
<td>25 (16–46)</td>
<td>54 (32–73)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-cause ICU mortality</td>
<td>28 (23%)</td>
<td>7 (16%)</td>
<td>0.357</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patients of the sensitive strain group were treated with intravenous \( \beta \)-lactams combined with a 3-day intravenous administration of aminoglycoside or quinolone; patients of the multidrug-resistant stain group were treated with nebulized colistin either in monotherapy (n = 28) or combined with a 3-day intravenous administration of aminoglycoside (n = 15). “Cure” of VAP: resolution of clinical and biological signs of infection, CPIS less than 6 and negative culture of lower respiratory tract specimens. IQR range = 25–75%. Persisting VAP: lack of improvement of clinical and biological signs of infection and CPIS greater than 6 with significant concentrations of \( P. \) aeruginosa and \( A. \) baumannii persisting in lower respiratory tract specimens. Recurrence: initial cure of VAP after antimicrobial therapy followed by post-treatment relapse of VAP caused by \( P. \) aeruginosa or \( A. \) baumannii isolated in lower respiratory tract specimens. Superinfection: post-treatment relapse of VAP caused by pathogens other than \( P. \) aeruginosa or \( A. \) baumannii isolated in lower respiratory tract specimens.

\( A. \) baumannii = Acinetobacter baumannii; CPIS = clinical pulmonary infection score; ICU = intensive care unit; IQR = interquartile range; MV = mechanical ventilation; \( P. \) aeruginosa = Pseudomonas aeruginosa; VAP = ventilator-associated pneumonia.
91% of patients with VAP caused by multidrug-resistant *A. baumannii* were cured by nebulized colistin. All patients with VAP caused by sensitive *A. baumannii* were cured by intravenous β-lactams. Treatment failure with persisting VAP caused by *P. aeruginosa* was not statistically different between groups (*P* = 0.122). Recurrence of *P. aeruginosa* VAP and VAP caused by superinfection was similar in both groups. The duration of mechanical ventilation after inclusion was longer in patients of the multidrug-resistant strain group. All-cause ICU mortality was similar between groups.

**Microbiological Response and Acquisition of Antibiotic Resistance**

In the 122 patients of the sensitive strain group, 62% of initial *P. aeruginosa* isolates were susceptible to all antipseudomonal antibiotics, 22% were resistant to carbapenems, and 16% were resistant to piperacillin and/or ceftazidime. Among 32 patients with persisting and recurrent *P. aeruginosa* VAP despite antibiotic treatment, the resistance of *P. aeruginosa* increased significantly: six strains became resistant to all β-lactams (table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sensitive Strain Group (n = 32)</th>
<th>Multidrug-resistant Strain Group (n = 16)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Susceptible to all β-lactam antibiotics, n (%)</td>
<td>21 (65.6%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistant to piperacillin and/or ceftazidime, n (%)</td>
<td>6 (18.8%)</td>
<td>2 (12.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistant to carbapenem, n (%)</td>
<td>5 (15.6%)</td>
<td>2 (12.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistant to all β-lactam antibiotics, n (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12 (75%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

They remained unchanged in regions with normal lung and/or disseminated foci of pneumonia. In two patients in whom nebulized colistin failed to treat VAP, tissue volume increased in lung regions with normal lung and/or disseminated foci of pneumonia (+177 and +198 ml) and decreased in regions of confluent pneumonia (−73 and −65 ml). Illustrative examples are shown in fig. 4.

**Serum Pharmacokinetics and Kidney Function**

Colistin serum concentrations were measured in 16 patients. Peak colistin concentrations were not different between day 2 and day 3. Trough colistin concentrations were significantly higher at day 3 compared with day 2 (fig. 5) and were not different between patients treated with nebulized colistin monotherapy and patients treated with intravenous colistin combined to a 3-day administration of intravenous aminoglycoside.

Serum creatinine remained stable within the treatment period in both groups of patients (fig. 6). At the end of treatment, increase of serum creatinine more than 1.5 times its baseline value was found in 8% of patients treated with intravenous β-lactam combined with a 3-day intravenous administration of aminoglycoside or quinolone and 12% in patients treated with nebulized colistin (*P* = 0.47). Per-treatment changes in serum creatinine were not different between patients treated with nebulized colistin monotherapy and patients treated with nebulized colistin combined to a 3-day administration of intravenous aminoglycoside.

**Discussion**

Main results of the study are nebulized colistin is effective to treat VAP caused by multidrug-resistant *P. aeruginosa* and *A. baumannii*: the clinical cure rate is noninferior to that obtained in VAP caused by susceptible *P. aeruginosa* and *A. baumannii*: the risk of developing colistin resistance after nebulization is low; and nebulized colistin does not increase the risk of kidney failure, although repeated nebulization induces systemic accumulation.

**Rationale for Using Nebulized Colistin**

Most multidrug-resistant Gram-negative pathogens exhibit resistance to almost all antibiotics except colistin. Experimental
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studies provide evidence for high antibiotic lung deposition and rapid bacterial killing after nebulization of antibiotics.\textsuperscript{10,15,16} Therefore, the rationale for treating VAP caused by multidrug-resistant pathogens by nebulized colistin is strong. Nebulized colistin either as adjunct to systemic antibiotics\textsuperscript{32–34} or as monotherapy\textsuperscript{35,36} was previously reported in patients with VAP or tracheobronchitis. In these retrospective studies, neither the dose nor the conditions of nebulization were optimized. Our prospective study is the first to report the effectiveness of high-dose nebulized colistin as a treatment of VAP caused by multidrug-resistant \textit{P. aeruginosa} or \textit{A. baumannii}.

**Methodological Limitations**

Patients with VAP caused by susceptible strains and treated with a combination of intravenous \( \beta \)-lactams and aminoglycosides served as a control group. An \textit{a priori} hypothesis of a noninferior clinical cure rate of nebulized colistin compared with conventional bitherapy was made and defined as more than \(-16\%\) difference of cure rate. Our aim was to prove that nebulized colistin can kill multidrug strains and cure lung infection. Recurrence after initial cure of VAP was therefore not regarded as a failure of antimicrobial therapy, considering that persisting reservoirs are inaccessible to either intravenous or nebulized colistin. Anyway, late recurrence of VAP was not different between groups.

In the multidrug-resistant strain group, nebulized colistin was given either alone (\(n = 28\)) or in combination with a 3-day administration of intravenous aminoglycosides (\(n = 15\)), based on clinical decision of attending physician. Many experimental and clinical studies suggest a poor efficiency of intravenous aminoglycosides for treating VAP. After intravenous administration, aminoglycosides tissue concentrations equal to or below MIC have been reported in animals with normal or infected lungs.\textsuperscript{15,37–39} Aminoglycosides bronchial concentrations equal to or below MIC have been reported in patients with cystic fibrosis or VAP.\textsuperscript{40–43} Two meta-analyses have demonstrated the lack of superiority of \( \beta \)-lactam–aminoglycoside combination to \( \beta \)-lactam monotherapy for treating various causes of sepsis.\textsuperscript{44,45} These meta-analyses however suffer from important limitations: heterogeneity of sepsis in which the comparison was made; randomized clinical trials performed more than 15 yr ago; and comparison of different \( \beta \)-lactams in the tested treatment arms. As a consequence, many physicians still believe that patients with VAP might benefit from \( \beta \)-lactam–aminoglycoside combination. The same clinical cure rate was obtained in the 28 patients
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**Fig. 3.** Computed tomography assessment of gas and tissue volumes in lung regions characterized by normal lung and/or disseminated foci of pneumonia (\(A\) and \(B\)) and in lung areas of confluent pneumonia (\(C\) and \(D\)) in seven patients of the multidrug-resistant strain group successfully treated with nebulized colistin (six treated with monotherapy and one patient treated with monotherapy combined with a 3-day intravenous administration of aminoglycoside). \textit{Red bar} = before nebulized colistin; \textit{blue bar} = after nebulized colistin.
treated with nebulized colistin monotherapy and in the 15 patients treated with nebulized colistin–aminoglycoside combination. This result suggests that clinical outcome of VAP could be attributed to nebulized colistin rather than intravenous aminoglycosides.

Efficacy of Nebulized Colistin in VAP Caused by Multidrug-Resistant P. aeruginosa and A. baumannii

In the sensitive strain group, clinical cure rate was observed in two thirds of patients, as previously reported.18,31,46 In the multidrug-resistant stain group, clinical cure rate was noninferior to that observed in the sensitive strain group. The clinical benefit was associated with radiological improvement as demonstrated in figures 3 and 4. It has to be pointed out that patients infected by multidrug-resistant strains were more severe than patients infected by sensitive strains: they had stayed longer on mechanical ventilation before inclusion; 95% of them versus 71% had received multiple antibiotics before inclusion; 28% of them versus 10% had two or more previous episodes of VAP, attesting of their inability to activate sufficient host response against infections; and 100% of them versus 16% had received inappropriate initial empirical antimicrobial therapy, a condition known to be associated with increased mortality and morbidity.47 Therefore, the equivalence in terms of clinical cure rate clearly suggests a strong efficacy of nebulized colistin.

Such a benefit was obtained at two conditions. First, factors influencing distal lung deposition were optimized during each nebulization.18,48 Second, daily high-dose aerosols were administered, taking into consideration that colistin is both a concentration- and time-dependent antibiotic12,13,49 and has a limited systemic diffusion even in presence of injury of the alveolar–capillary barrier.10 As previously recommended, nebulization dose of antibiotics should have been calculated as intravenous dose plus extrapulmonary deposition.48 According to this rationale and considering an intravenous daily dose of 40,000 IU/kg and an extrapulmonary deposition of 40%, a nebulized dose of 56,000 IU/kg of colistimethate should have been administered. Because of its low systemic diffusion and its bactericidal profile, it was decided to increase colistin dose to 56,000 IU/kg, and 5 million IU were nebulized every 8 h, a dosage two to three times higher than previously reported.32,34 In patients with cystic fibrosis where the target is the bronchial tree, the nebulization of 2 million IU of colistin provides high bronchial concentrations.50 In patients with VAP where the target is the alveolar space, much higher doses are required to obtain at least fivefold the MIC at the site of infection.

Fig. 4. Representative computed tomography images obtained in two patients of the multidrug-resistant strain group infected by Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Computed tomography sections were obtained before and after nebulization of colistin in a patient successfully treated with nebulized colistin monotherapy (A) and in another patient with failure of nebulized colistin combined with a 3-day intravenous administration of aminoglycoside (B).
Recently, a pharmacokinetic study performed in patients with ventilator-associated tracheobronchitis has shown that a dose of 1 million IU of nebulized colistin every 8 h was not adequate to treat lung infection caused by multidrug-resistant strains. In the current study, no adverse respiratory and bronchial effects were observed after nebulization, confirming a recent experimental study.

Whether using nebulized or intravenous colistin for treating VAP caused by multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacteria has been a controversial subject. The current study brings convincing evidence that nebulized colistin is clinically efficient, whereas experimental and clinical studies report a poor lung penetration of intravenous colistin. Ninety-one percent of patients with VAP caused by multidrug-resistant A. baumannii were cured by nebulized colistin. This result is likely explained by high tissue concentration to MIC ratio obtained after administration of nebulized colistin. Only 59% of patients with VAP caused by multidrug-resistant P. aeruginosa were cured by nebulized colistin likely because of a less favorable tissue concentration to MIC ratio. In a recent experimental study, MIC of colistin for P. aeruginosa was 2 μg/ml, whereas median peak tissue concentrations were 2.8 μg/g (tissue concentration to MIC ratio = 1.8). MIC of colistin for A. baumannii is 0.2 μg/ml, and assuming a median colistin peak tissue concentration of 2.8 μg/g (tissue concentration to MIC ratio = 18). Colistin being a concentration-dependent antibiotic, it is easy to understand why A. baumannii strains were massively eradicated after the end of treatment. VAP caused by P. aeruginosa had a higher incidence of recurrence and superinfection possibly related to the too short duration of treatment resulting from extubation before the 2-week scheduled regimen, as previously reported.

Persisting or Recurrent VAP and Acquisition of Resistance

In the sensitive strain group, 75% of patients whose VAP persisted or relapsed after intravenous antimicrobial therapy acquired resistance to β-lactams. Interestingly, in the multidrug-resistant group, 25% of patients whose VAP caused by P. aeruginosa persisted or relapsed after nebulized colistin, recovered susceptibility to β-lactams. These findings are in accordance with two previous studies showing that nebulized antibiotic decreases bacterial resistance in patients with VAP.

It has been reported that prolonged use of intravenous colistin predisposes to VAP caused by pandrug-resistant P. aeruginosa, likely due to colistin poor lung tissue penetration and low concentrations at the site of infection. In patients treated with nebulized antibiotics, much higher tissue concentrations are present in infected lung regions, thereby preventing selection of resistant strains. In the current study, MIC of P. aeruginosa strain to colistin increased in two patients after nebulized colistin administered during 14–21 days, indicating a low rate of acquisition of resistance.

Colistin Serum Concentration and Nephrotoxicity

Experimental data suggest a decreased colistin systemic exposure after 24 h of nebulization. The current study shows that colistin trough plasma concentration significantly increased between day 2 and 3, suggesting colistin accumulation with time as a result of slow systemic passage through the alveolar–capillary membrane. Renal function impairment was observed in 12% of patients treated with nebulized colistin, and evolution of serum creatinine during the treatment was similar in both groups. Therefore, high doses of nebulized
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**Fig. 5.** Colistin peak and trough plasma concentrations measured at day 2 and day 3 of treatment with nebulized colistin either in monotherapy (n = 9) or combined with a 3-day intravenous administration of aminoglycoside (n = 7) in patients of the multidrug-resistant strain group.

**Fig. 6.** Evolution of serum creatinine during the treatment period at baseline, day 3, day 7, and day 14 in patients of the sensitive strain group treated with intravenous β-lactams combined with a 3-day intravenous administration of aminoglycoside or quinolone (red squares) and those of the multidrug-resistant strain group treated with nebulized colistin either in monotherapy (n = 28) or combined with a 3-day intravenous administration of aminoglycoside (n = 15) (blue circles).
colistin can be considered as safe when administered during 14 days. We recommend however to measure colistin serum concentration at day 7, particularly in patients with preexisting alterations of renal function.9,12

In conclusion, nebulized colistin at high dose is effective and safe for treating VAP caused by multidrug-resistant P. aeruginosa and A. baumannii. It provides an attractive alternative in the face of the increasing incidence of VAP caused by multidrug-resistant Gram-negative pathogens in critically ill patients. Further randomized controlled studies comparing intravenous and nebulized colistin for treating VAP caused by multidrug-resistant P. aeruginosa and A. baumannii are required.
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