Amantadine Alleviates Postoperative Cognitive Dysfunction Possibly by Increasing Glial Cell Line-derived Neurotrophic Factor in Rats
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ABSTRACT

Background: Postoperative cognitive dysfunction is a clinical entity that is associated with poor outcome. We determined the effectiveness of amantadine in reducing surgery-induced cognitive impairment and the role of glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) in this effect.

Methods: Four-month old male Fischer 344 rats were subjected to right carotid exposure under intravenous anesthesia. Some rats received intraperitoneal injection of 25 mg/kg/day amantadine for 3 days with the first dose at 15 min before the surgery or intracerebroventricular injection of GDNF or an anti-GDNF antibody at the end of surgery. One week later, rats were started to be tested by Barnes maze and fear conditioning. Hippocampus was harvested at 6h, 24h or 10 days after the surgery for biochemical analysis. C8-B4 cells, a microglial cell line, were pretreated with 1 ng/ml GDNF for 30 min before being exposed to 5 ng/ml lipopolysaccharide for 2h.

Results: Surgery increased the time to identify the target box in the Barnes maze when tested 1 day [22 (median) (11–66) (interquartile range) of control group vs. 158 (29–180) of surgery group, \( P = 0.022 \) or 8 days after the training sessions and reduced context-related freezing behavior in the fear conditioning test. These effects were attenuated by amantadine (25 (14–90), \( n = 15, P = 0.029 \) compared with surgery group at 1 day after the training sessions in Barnes maze) and intracerebroventricular GDNF. Amantadine increased GDNF that was co-localized with glial fibrillary acidic protein, an astrocytic marker, in the hippocampus. Intracerebroventricular injection of an anti-GDNF antibody but not the denatured antibody blocked the effects of amantadine on cognition. Surgery induced neuroinflammation that was inhibited by amantadine. Lipopolysaccharide increased interleukin 1\( \beta \) production from C8-B4 cells. This effect was inhibited by GDNF.

Conclusions: Our results suggest that amantadine attenuated surgery-induced learning and memory impairment. This effect may be mediated by GDNF via inhibition of neuroinflammation. (Anesthesiology 2014; 121:773-85)

About 26 million Americans received surgery in 2010. Postoperative cognitive dysfunction (POCD) is a documented clinical entity that is attracting significant attention from the public and professionals in recent years. Studies have shown that POCD can occur at one week or months after cardiac and non-cardiac surgeries. POCD can be associated with a substantial increase of morbidity, mortality, and cost of care. Thus, it is urgent to identify effective strategies to prevent or reduce the occurrence of POCD.

Amantadine was initially used as an antiviral agent. Its clinical use has been expanded into many areas, such as in patients with Parkinson disease and traumatic brain injury, to improve the patient outcome. Amantadine has been found to have significant neuroprotective effects. One of the mechanisms for these effects as shown in cell culture studies is to enhance the production of glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF). GDNF can inhibit microglial activation and neuroinflammation. Neuroinflammation is

What We Already Know about This Topic
- Postoperative cognitive dysfunction is associated with poor outcome. The means by which cognitive function is adversely affected by surgery and anesthesia is not clear.
- In a rodent model of carotid artery exposure surgery, the impact of anesthesia and surgery on cognitive performance was determined. The impact of amantadine, an agent that increases the generation of glial derived neurotrophic factor, on cognitive function was evaluated.

What This Article Tells Us That Is New
- Cognitive function was adversely affected by anesthesia and surgery 8 days later. This adverse effect was attenuated by systemic administration of amantadine.
- Amantadine increased GDNF levels in glia and intracerebroventricular administration of anti-GDNF also ameliorated behavioral abnormalities.
- Amantadine can reduce postoperative cognitive dysfunction by increasing GDNF production and reducing surgery-induced cerebral inflammation.
a basic neuropathological process for many neurodegenerative diseases. Neuroinflammation may also be the underlying pathology for POCD.

On the basis of the above information, we hypothesize that amantadine can reduce POCD via increasing GDNF. To test this hypothesis, adult rats were subjected to right carotid artery exposure, a surgical procedure that is part of commonly performed carotid endarterectomy. Their learning and memory were tested. The expression of GDNF in the brain and neuroinflammation was examined.

Materials and Methods

The animal protocol was approved by the institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Virginia (Charlottesville, VA). All animal experiments were carried out in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Publications number 80-23 revised in 2011.

Animal Groups

Four-month old male Fischer 344 rats weighing 290–330 g from Charles River Laboratories International (Wilmington, MA) were randomly assigned to: (1) control group (not being exposed to surgery or any drugs), (2) amantadine group, (3) surgery group (right carotid artery exposure), and (4) surgery plus amantadine group in the first experiment. Each group had 15 rats. In the second experiment, the rats were assigned to: (5) control group, (6) anti-GDNF antibody group, (7) surgery plus amantadine plus boiied anti-GDNF antibody group, and (8) surgery plus amantadine plus anti-GDNF antibody group. Each group had 8 rats. In the third experiment, some rats received intracerebroventricular injection of an Anti-GDNF antibody or GDNF.

Anesthesia and Surgery

The surgery was right carotid artery exposure. Briefly, a rat was placed in an animal restrainer. The left or right lateral tail vein was cannulated with a 24-gauge intravenous catheter. Propofol (16Gb0042, AAP Pharmaceuticals, LLC, Schaumburg, IL) of 15 mg/kg was infused intravenously to induce anesthesia and then at a rate of 60 mg kg h−1 to maintain anesthesia. After the loss of righting reflex was achieved, 0.15 mg/kg of buprenorphine hydrochloride (Reckitt Benckiser Healthcare Ltd., Kingston-upon-Thames, United Kingdom) was injected subcutaneously. The rat was immediately intubated with a 14-gauge catheter and mechanically ventilated with 100% O2 by a CIV-101 ventilator (Columbus Instruments, Columbus, OH) to maintain normal end-tidal carbon dioxide concentrations as monitored by a Datex infrared analyzer (Capnomac, Helsinki, Finland). The front of neck was meticulously shaved and disinfected with povidone iodine. Ten minutes after the induction of anesthesia, a 2.2-cm midline neck incision was made and the soft tissues over the trachea were retracted gently. One-centimeter long right common carotid artery was carefully dissected and freed from other tissues. Particular care was taken to avoid damage to the vagus nerve. The wound was then irrigated with normal saline and closed with surgical suture. The surgery was performed under strict sterile conditions and lasted for 15 min. After the surgery, propofol infusion rate was reduced to 45 mg kg−1 h−1 and then decreased to 30 mg kg−1 h−1 in the final 0.5 h of the anesthesia. The total duration of anesthesia lasted for 2 h, a clinically relevant duration of anesthesia. No response to toe pinching that was performed every 15 min was observed during the whole anesthesia period. After propofol infusion was stopped, animals were allowed to breathe spontaneously and then extubated at the recovery of righting reflex. During anesthesia, rectal temperature was monitored and maintained at 37°C with the aid of servo-controlled warming blanket (TCAT-2LV, Physitemp instruments, Clifton, NJ).

Amantadine Application

Amantadine (A1260, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was dissolved in normal saline and injected intraperitoneally at 25 mg/kg/day for three days with the first dose at 15 min before surgery. Similar injections were performed in the amantadine only group, except that no surgery and anesthesia were performed. The amantadine dose was chosen based on previous studies.

Intracerebroventricular Injection of an Anti-GDNF Antibody or GDNF

In the second experiment, some rats received intracerebroventricular injection of 10 μl (200 μg/ml) goat polyclonal anti-GDNF antibody (catalogue number: sc-328-G; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) based on a previous study. Others received the injection of 10 μl heat-denatured (5 min at 100°C) anti-GDNF antibody. In the third experiment, some rats received intracerebroventricular injection of 0.5 μg recombinant rat GDNF (catalogue number: 512-GF; R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) in 10 μl phosphate buffered saline (PBS) as described in a previous study. Each rat received only one injection to the right lateral ventricle immediately at the end of the surgery or under a 20-min propofol anesthesia (for anti-GDNF antibody injection only group in the second experiment). A single injection was performed because proteins injected intracerebroventricularly can stay in the brain tissues for a long time. For example, GDNF injected intracerebroventricularly was detectable in the brain tissues at least 7 days.
after the injection. The intracerebroventricular injection was performed as described before with the aid of a stereotactic apparatus (SAS-5100, ASI Instruments, Warren, MI) using the following coordinates: 0.8 mm posterior to bregma, 1.6 mm lateral from midline and 4.5 mm ventral from the surface of the skull. After the injection, the needle was kept in place for 1 min to prevent backflow of the injected solution.

**Barnes Maze**

One week after surgery, the animals were subjected to Barnes maze as we previously described to test their spatial learning and memory. Animals were first placed in the middle of a circular platform with 20 equally spaced holes (SD Instruments, San Diego, CA). One of these holes was connected to a dark chamber called target box. Aversive noise (85 dB) and bright light (200 W) shed on the platform was used to encourage rats to find the target box. They had a spatial acquisition phase that lasted for 4 days with 3 min per trial, 4 trials per day and 15 min between each trial. Animals then went through the reference memory phase to test the short-term retention on day 5 and long-term retention on day 12. No test or handling was performed from day 5 to day 12. The latency to find the target box during each trial was recorded with the assistance of ANY-Maze video tracking system (SD Instruments).

**Fear Conditioning**

One day after Barnes maze test, rats were subjected to fear conditioning test as we previously described. Each rat was placed into a test chamber wiped with 70% alcohol and exposed to 3 tone-foot shock pairings (tone: 2000 Hz, 85 db, 30 s; foot shock: 1 mA, 2 s) with an intertrial interval 1 min in a relatively dark room. The rat was removed from this test chamber 30 s after the conditioning stimuli. The animal was placed back to the same chamber without the tone and shock 24 h later for 8 min. The animal was placed 2 h later into another test chamber that had different context and smell from the first test chamber in a relatively light room. This second chamber was wiped with 1% acetic acid. Freezing was recorded for 3 min without the tone stimulus. The tone was then turned on for 3 cycles, each cycle for 30 s followed by 1-min inter-cycle interval (4.5 min in total). Animal behavior in these two chambers was video recorded. The freezing behavior in the 8 min in the first chamber (context-related) and 4.5 min in the second chamber (tone-related) was scored in an 8 s interval by an observer who was blind to the group assignment.

**Brain Tissue Harvest**

Rats were deeply anesthetized with 5% isoflurane for 2 min and perfused transcardially with saline at 6 or 24 h after anesthesia and surgery. Their left hippocampus was dissected out immediately for Western blotting and the right cerebral hemisphere from Bregma −3 to −6 mm was used for immunohistochemistry.

**Preparation of Cytoplasmic and Nuclear Proteins from Hippocampus**

The cytoplasmic and nuclear proteins were prepared as we described before. Briefly, hippocampus was homogenized in a buffer containing 10 mM HEPES, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol and 0.05% NP40 (pH 7.9) as well as protease inhibitor cocktail (10 mg/ml aprote, 5 mg/ml peptatin, 5 mg/ml leupeptin, and 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonylfluoride) and incubated on ice for 10 min. They were then centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant was stored as cytoplasmic fraction. The resulted pellet was resuspended in a buffer containing 5 mM HEPES, 300 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol and 26% glycerol (w/v) (pH 7.9) and was homogenized with 20 full strokes in a glass homogenizer. They were left on ice for 30 min and then centrifuged at 24,000g for 20 min at 4°C. The supernatant was kept as the nuclear protein fraction. The protein concentration was determined by the Bradford assay.

**Western Blot Analysis**

Fifty microgram of proteins per lane were separated on a polyacrylamide gel and then blotted onto a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane. The membranes were blocked with Protein-Free T20 Blocking Buffer (catalogue number: 37573, Thermo Scientific, Logan, UT) and incubated with the following primary antibodies overnight at 4°C: rabbit monoclonal anti-nuclear factor (NF)-κB p65 antibody (1:1000 dilution, catalogue number: 8242; Cell signaling Technology, Danvers, MA), rabbit polyclonal anti-histone H3 antibody (1:1000 dilution, catalogue number: 9715; Cell signaling Technology), goat polyclonal anti-GDNF antibody (1:200 dilution, catalogue number: sc-328-G; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), rabbit polyclonal anti-interleukin (IL)-6 antibody (1:1000 dilution, catalogue number: ab6672; Abcam, Cambridge, MA), rabbit polyclonal anti-IL-1β antibody (1:1000 dilution, catalogue number: ab15077; Abcam) and rabbit polyclonal anti-glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase antibody (1:5000 dilution, catalogue number: G9545; Sigma Aldrich). Appropriate secondary antibodies were used. Protein bands were visualized by Genesnap version 7.08 and quantified by Genetools version 4.01. The relative protein expression of nuclear p65 and cytoplasmic GDNF, IL-1β and IL-6 was normalized to β-actin and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase, respectively. The results from animals under various experimental conditions were normalized by the mean values of the corresponding control animals.

**Immunohistochemistry**

As we described, brains were harvested and postfixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M PBS at 4°C for 18 h, rehydrated...
and embedded in paraffin. Coronal 5-μm sections of the cerebral hemisphere were cut sequentially and mounted on Superfrost plus microscope slides. Antigen retrieval with Tris/EDTA buffer (10 mM Tris Base, 1 mM EDTA, 0.05% Tween 20, pH 9.0) was performed at 95–100°C for 20 min. After being washed in Tris-buffered saline (TBS) containing 0.025% triton-X 100, sections were blocked in 10% donkey serum plus 1% bovine serum albumin in TBS for 2 h at room temperature and then incubated at 4°C overnight with the following primary antibodies: goat polyclonal anti-GDNF antibody (1:100 dilution, catalogue number: sc-328-G; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), mouse monoclonal anti-glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) antibody (1:500 dilution, catalogue number: MAB360; Millipore, Billerica, MA), rabbit polyclonal anti-ionized calcium binding adapter molecule 1 (Iba-1) antibody (1:500 dilution, catalogue number: 019-19741; Wako Chemicals USA, Richmond, VA), mouse monoclonal anti-neuronal nuclei (NeuN) antibody (1:250 dilution, catalogue number: MAB377; Millipore), and mouse monoclonal anti-p65 antibody (1:250 dilution, catalogue number: 6956; Cell signaling Technology). Sections were rinsed in TBS with 0.025% triton-X 100. The donkey anti-mouse IgG antibody conjugated with NL493 (1:200 dilution, catalogue number: NL009; R&D Systems), donkey anti-rabbit IgG antibody conjugated with NL557 (1:200 dilution, catalogue number: NL004; R&D Systems), donkey anti-goat IgG antibody conjugated with NL637 (1:200 dilution, catalogue number: NL002; R&D Systems), or donkey anti-goat IgG antibody conjugated with Alexa Fluor 488 (1:200 dilution, catalogue number: A11055; Invitrogen) were incubated with the sections for 1 h at room temperature in the dark. After washed in TBS, sections were mounted and coverslipped with Vectashield mounting medium (H-1000; Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA).

A second but different staining for Iba-1 was also performed. The antigen retrieval, section washing and blocking, as well as incubation with the primary antibody were performed as described above. Sections were then incubated with 0.3% H₂O₂ in TBS for 30 min to block endogenous peroxidase, followed by the incubation with biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG antibody at room temperature for 1 h. The staining was visualized with diaminobenzidine method. The sections were dehydrated and coverslipped with Permount (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA).

Images of immunostaining were acquired with a fluorescence microscope equipped with a charge-coupled device camera. A negative control without the incubation with the primary antibody was performed in all experiments. The quantification was performed as described previously. Briefly, images of three non-overlapping fields in the hippocampal Cornu Ammonis 3 or dentate gyrus area per section were randomly acquired using a counting frame size of 0.4 mm². Three sections per rat were imaged. The number of pixels per image with intensity above a predetermined threshold level was considered as positively stained areas and quantified using the Image J 1.47n software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). The immunoreactivity to a protein was quantified by percentage area with positive staining to the total area of the imaged field. All quantitative analyses were performed in a blinded manner.

**Microglial Cell Culture and treatment**

The C8-B4 cells (CRL-2540™), a rodent microglial clone, were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA) and cultured as we previously described. Briefly, they were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM) containing 4 mM l-glutamine, 4500 mg/l glucose, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 1500 mg/l sodium bicarbonate and 10% heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) in a humidified atmosphere of 95% air–5% CO₂ at 37°C. The medium was changed every 3 days. The cells were plated at a density of 5 x 10⁵ cells/well on 6-well culture plates for enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) of IL-1β or 1 x 10⁶ cells/well on 6-well culture plates for immunofluorescent staining.

The cells were randomly assigned to four groups at 24 h after they were plated: control group, GDNF group, lipopolysaccharide group, and GDNF plus lipopolysaccharide group. Lipopolysaccharide was used to induce microglial activation, a critical component of neuroinflammation. In addition, we have shown systemic lipopolysaccharide application induced proinflammatory mediator production in rodent brain. For the immunostaining study of p65 nuclear translocation, the cells were pretreated with 1 ng/ml recombinant rat GDNF (catalogue number: 512-GF; R&D Systems) for 30 min and then stimulated with 5 ng/ml lipopolysaccharide (catalogue number: L4641; Sigma-Aldrich) for 1 h in the presence or absence of GDNF. The cells were immediately fixed for immunostaining. To measure the IL-1β production, the C8-B4 cells were pretreated with 1 ng/ml GDNF for 30 min and then stimulated with 5 ng/ml lipopolysaccharide (5 ng/ml) for 2 h in the presence or absence of GDNF. The culture medium was harvested at 24 h after the lipopolysaccharide stimulation for measuring IL-1β. In these experiments, cells were kept in their usual culture medium but without 10% FBS during the exposure to GDNF and lipopolysaccharide. This FBS-free medium was replaced by the usual culture medium once the exposure was finished.

**Immunofluorescence Staining of p65 in Microglial Cultures**

As described previously, the cells were fixed with cold methanol for 20 min at −20°C and were twice washed with PBS for 5 min. The fixed cells were then permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 1 h at room temperature. After being washed in PBS for three times, the cells were incubated in 10% donkey serum and 1% bovine serum albumin in PBS for 2 h at room temperature and then at 4°C overnight with mouse monoclonal anti-p65 antibody (1:250 dilution, catalogue number: 6956; Cell Signaling Systems) for 1 h.
After rinsed in PBS for three times, the donkey anti-mouse IgG antibody conjugated with NL493 (1:200 dilution, catalogue number: NL009; R&D Systems) were incubated with the cells for 1 h at room temperature in the dark and then washed with PBS. Cells were counterstained with Hoechst 33342 (Catalogue number: 62249; Thermo Scientific), rinsed, and mounted with Vectashield mounting medium. The number of cells with p65 nuclear translocation was determined under a fluorescence microscope in a blinded fashion. Cell count obtained from five microscopic fields was randomly selected in one well. Three wells were counted for each experimental condition. In this way, more than 200 cells for each condition were counted in each experiment. The experiment was repeated 6 times with different sets of cells.

Fig. 1. Amantadine attenuated surgery-induced learning and memory impairment. Four-month old Fischer 344 rats were subjected to right carotid exploration under intravenous anesthesia with or without the treatment of amantadine. Barnes maze training sessions started 1 week after the surgery. (A) Barnes maze training sessions. Results are mean ± S.D. (n=15). *P < 0.05 compared with the corresponding data on day 1. (B) Barnes maze memory phase. (C) Fear conditioning. Results in B and C are in box plot format (n=15). ●: lowest or highest score (the score will not show up if it falls in the 95th percentile); between lines: 95th percentile of the data; inside boxes: 25th to 75th percentile including the median of the data. &P < 0.05 compared with the control group, ^P < 0.05 compared with surgery alone group.

Fig. 2. GDNF attenuated surgery-induced learning and memory impairment. Four-month old Fischer 344 rats were subjected to right carotid exploration under intravenous anesthesia. Some rats received intra-cerebroventricular injection of GDNF. Barnes maze training sessions started 1 week after the surgery. (A) Barnes maze training sessions. Results are mean ± S.D. (n=8). *P < 0.05 compared with the corresponding data on day 1. (B) Barnes maze memory phase. (C) Fear conditioning. Results in B and C are in box plot format (n=8). Inside boxes: 25th to 75th percentile including the median of the data.
ELISA of IL-1β in the Culture Medium
IL-1β secreted into culture medium collected after 24 h of lipopolysaccharide treatment was measured with Quantikine ELISA kit (catalogue number: RLB00; R&D Systems) as we described before.22

Statistical Analysis
Parametric results in normal distribution are presented as mean ± S.D. (n ≥ 6). The data from the training sessions of Barnes maze test within the same group were tested by one-way repeated measures analysis of variance followed by Tukey test. The data from the training sessions of Barnes maze test between groups were tested by two-way repeated measures analysis of variance followed by Tukey test. All other data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance followed by the Tukey test if the data were normally distributed or by one-way analysis of variance on ranks followed by the Tukey test if the data were not normally distributed. These non-normally distributed data were presented as box plots in the figures. Differences were considered significant at \( P < 0.05 \) based on two-tailed hypothesis testing. All statistical analyses were performed with SigmaStat (Systat Software, Point Richmond, CA).

Results
No animal died during the surgery or the intended observation period after the surgery. Data from all animals were included for analysis and reported here.

Amantadine Attenuated Surgery-induced Learning and Memory Impairment
The time to identify the target box during the 4-day training sessions of Barnes maze test was reduced with increased training sessions in the control rats, rats received anesthesia only, rats received amantadine only, and rats received surgery plus amantadine. This time on day 4 was significantly shorter than that on day 1 for these four groups of rats. Surgery had a significant effect on the time needed to identify the target box in the training sessions \( [F(1,28) = 5.625, P = 0.025] \). This effect was abolished by amantadine \( [F(1,28) \)
Amanitadine or anesthesia only did not have a significant effect on the time to identify the target box during the training sessions \( F(1,28) = 0.063, P = 0.804; F(1, 14) = 0.074, P + 0.790 \) (figs. 1 and 2). When the rats were tested 1 day after the training sessions, the time to identify the target box for the rats subjected to surgery was longer than that for the control rats. This prolongation was attenuated by amantadine. A similar change pattern occurred when the test was performed 8 days after the training sessions. However, anesthesia and amantadine alone did not affect the time to identify the target box whether the test was performed 1 day or 8 days after the training sessions (Fig. 1B and 2B).

Rats in the surgery group but not in the anesthesia only group or amantadine group had less context-related freezing behavior in the fear conditioning test than control rats. This surgical effect was abolished by amantadine (Fig. 1C). There was no difference in the tone-related freezing behavior among the control rats, rats received amantadine, rats received surgery, and rats received surgery plus amantadine (Fig. 1C and 2C).

### Amantadine Attenuated Surgery-induced Neuroinflammation

The expression of Iba-1 (a microglial marker), IL-1\( \beta \), and IL-6 in the hippocampus was significantly increased at 6 and 24 h after the surgery. These increases were abolished by amantadine (Figs. 3 and 4). Similarly, Iba-1 expression in the hippocampal dentate gyrus region was also increased at 10 days after the surgery and this increase was blocked by amantadine (Fig. 5). These results suggest that surgery induces neuroinflammation that was inhibited by amantadine.

Surgery induced translocation of p65, a nuclear factor \( \kappa \)-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-\( \kappa \)B) component, into nuclei of the microglia in the hippocampus. This effect was inhibited by amantadine (Fig. 6).

### Amantadine Increased the Expression of GDNF that Inhibited Microglial Activation

Amantadine significantly increased GDNF in the hippocampus (Fig. 7). GDNF was mainly co-localized with GFAP, an astrocytic marker, but was not co-localized with Iba-1 (fig. 7, A and B). Some GDNF appeared to be around NeuN, a neuronal marker (fig. 7C). Surgery also increased GFAP but this increase was not affected by amantadine in the hippocampus (Fig. 7, A and F).

Similar to surgery, lipopolysaccharide also increased nuclear translocation of p65 in the C8-B4 cells and IL-1\( \beta \) production from these cells. These effects were attenuated by GDNF (fig. 8).

### Amantadine-induced Attenuation of Learning and Memory Impairment after Surgery was Inhibited by Anti-GDNF Antibody

Similar to the control rats, rats in antibody only group and the surgery plus amantadine plus boiling antibody group had a decreased time to find the target box with increased training sessions. This time on the training day 4 was shorter than that on training day 1 for these two groups of rats. This effect was not apparent for rats in the surgery plus amantadine plus anti-GDNF antibody group. The anti-GDNF antibody was found to have a significant effect on the time to identify the target box during the training sessions \( F(1,14) = 19.009, P < 0.001 \); compared with control) (Fig. 9A). The time to identify the target...
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box on day 1 after the training session was not different among control rats, rats received antibody, rats received surgery plus amantadine plus anti-GDNF antibody, or rats received surgery plus amantadine plus boiled antibody. However, rats subjected to surgery plus amantadine plus anti-GDNF antibody required much longer time than control rats or rats received surgery plus amantadine plus boiled antibody to identify the target box on day 8 after the training sessions (fig. 9B).

Similarly, rats subjected to surgery plus amantadine plus anti-GDNF antibody also had less context-related freezing behavior than control rats or rats received surgery plus amantadine plus boiled antibody in the fear conditioning test. However, the tone-related freezing behavior was not different among the three groups (fig. 9C).

Surgery-induced Learning and Memory Impairment was Blocked by GDNF

The time for rats that received surgery plus GDNF to find the target box on training day 4 of Barnes maze test was shorter than that on training day 1 (fig. 2A). The time for those rats to identify the target box on day 1 and day 8 after the training sessions was not different from that of control rats (fig. 2B). Similarly, there was no difference between the control rats and rats received surgery plus GDNF in the context- and tone-related freezing behavior (fig. 2C).

Discussion

We performed right carotid artery exposure, a necessary surgical step for carotid endarterectomy. We did not clamp the vessel and paid special attention not to damage the vagus nerve. This design is to simulate clinical surgical stimulation but avoid inducing brain ischemia. One week was elapsed between the surgery and the beginning of learning and memory tests to avoid the influence of pain in these tests. Our study showed that surgery, but not propofol-based general anesthesia, induced learning and memory impairment because rats in the surgery group did not have a significant reduction in time to find the target box with the increased

Fig. 5. Amantadine inhibited surgery-induced ionized calcium binding adapter molecule 1 (Iba-1) expression at 10 days after the surgery. Four-month old Fischer 344 rats were subjected to right carotid exploration under intravenous anesthesia with or without the treatment of amantadine. Hippocampus was harvested at 10 days after the surgery. (A) Representative immunostaining images. Scale bar = 200 μm. (B) Graphic presentation of the percentage area that is Iba-1-positive staining in the Cornu Ammonis 3 (CA3) and dentate gyrus (DG). Values are presented as mean ± S.D. (n=6). *P < 0.05 compared with the control group, ^P < 0.05 compared with surgery alone group. AMA = amantadine.
number of training sessions and took longer time than control rats to identify the target box in the long-term spatial reference memory test in the barnes maze. They also had less freezing behavior than control rats in the fear conditioning test. Since the rats subjected to surgery had decreased context- and tone-related fear conditioning, surgery may have impaired hippocampus-dependent and hippocampus-independent learning and memory. These results validate our surgical model for use in studying PoCD.

Our results clearly showed that amantadine abolished the learning and memory impairment caused by surgery. This finding is the initial evidence for a possible therapeutic effect of amantadine on PoCD. Amantadine is known to have neuroprotective effects. Early studies focused on its effects on neurons, such as blocking N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors, to explain the neuroprotective effects of amantadine. Recent studies suggest that amantadine can reduce neuroinflammation induced by lipopolysaccharide in cell cultures or in mice. We and others have shown that neuroinflammation may be the underlying pathology for the cognitive impairment after anesthesia and surgery. Thus, we proposed that amantadine could reduce cognitive impairments after surgery and designed this study to test this possibility.

Consistent with the neuroinflammation theory, the surgery increased the amount of Iba-1 in the microglia of...
Fig. 7. Amantadine increased glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) expression. Four-month old Fischer 344 rats were subjected to right carotid exploration under intravenous anesthesia with or without the treatment of amantadine. Hippocampus was harvested at 6 h or 24 h after surgery. (A, B, and C) Representative immunostaining images of hippocampus harvested at 24 h after surgery. Scale bars = 30 μm. (D) Representative Western blot images. (E) Graphic presentation of GDNF abundance. Values are expressed as fold changes over the mean values of control rats and are presented as mean ± S.D. (n=6). ^P < 0.05 compared with corresponding surgery alone group. (F) Graphic presentation of the percentage area that is glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP)-positive staining in the Cornu Ammonis 3 (CA3) and dentate gyrus (DG) at 24 h after the surgery. Values are presented as mean ± S.D. (n=6). *P < 0.05 compared with the control group. Ama = amantadine; GAPDH = glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; Iba-1 = ionized calcium binding adapter molecule 1; Sur = Surgery.
hippocampus, suggesting microglial activation. This increase still existed at 10 days after the surgery when learning and memory were found to be impaired in these rats. Surgery also increased the levels of IL-6 and IL-1β, two inflammatory cytokines, and the translocation of p65 into the nuclei of microglia. p65 is a component of NF-κB, a transcription factor. The components of NF-κB are usually in the cytosol when they bind with their inhibitors. NF-κB is activated when its components are separated from the inhibitors and translocated into nuclei to upregulate the expression of various inflammatory mediators, including cytokines. Thus, our results suggest that surgery induces neuroinflammation in the hippocampus and that activation of NF-κB participates in this process. Our results also indicate that amantadine blocks surgery-induced neuroinflammation because the increased Iba-1, IL-6, and IL-1β as well as the nuclear translocation of NF-κB after surgery were abolished by amantadine.

Very little is known on how amantadine can reduce neuroinflammation. Amantadine can induce GDNF production, and GDNF can reduce Zymosan A-induced activation of microglial cultures. Thus, GDNF may be a possible mediator for the anti-neuroinflammatory effects of amantadine. Consistent with this possibility, we showed that amantadine increased GDNF that was mostly from astrocytes in the rat hippocampus. GDNF reduced lipopolysaccharide-induced p65 nuclear
translocation and IL-1β production in the microglial cultures. These results provide initial evidence for the role of GDNF in mediating the anti-neuroinflammatory effects of amantadine.

Since neuroinflammation may be the key process for surgery-induced cognitive impairment,11,12 and GDNF may be a mediator for the amantadine effects on neuroinflammation, it is possible that GDNF plays a role in amantadine-induced attenuation of cognitive impairment after surgery. This possibility was supported by the results that an anti-GDNF antibody, but not the boiled/denatured antibody reversed the effects of amantadine on learning and memory after the surgery. Also, antibody alone did not affect the learning and memory of the rats. In addition, GDNF blocked surgery-induced cognitive impairment. Taken together, our results support the theory that amantadine induces the production of GDNF that then inhibits neuroinflammation to reverse surgery-induced learning and memory impairments.

Our results showed that surgery increased GFAP and that this increase was not affected by amantadine. The significance of this increase is not known from our study. Our study has limitations. We subjected rats to a relatively minor surgery. It is not known whether amantadine is effective to reduce neuroinflammation and cognitive impairment after a major surgery. Also, we used male animals in this study to reduce the potential influence of fluctuating estrogen and progesterone concentrations on learning and memory in female rats. The effects of amantadine on surgery-induced cognitive impairment in females are not known. Finally, our results establish the role of GDNF in amantadine-induced attenuation of neuroinflammation and cognitive impairment after surgery. However, it is not known whether the direct protective effects of amantadine on neurons play a role in its improvement of cognitive impairment after surgery.

In summary, we have shown that adult male rats have learning and memory impairment after right carotid exposure. This surgery also induces neuroinflammation. Amantadine reduces these surgical effects and increases GDNF in the brain. GDNF reduces inflammatory cytokine production from microglia and antagonizing GDNF reverses the effects of amantadine on surgery-induced cognitive dysfunction. These results suggest that amantadine attenuates surgery-induced cognitive dysfunction via GDNF.
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