Sedation Using Propofol Induces Similar Diaphragm Dysfunction and Atrophy during Spontaneous Breathing and Mechanical Ventilation in Rats
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ABSTRACT

Background: Mechanical ventilation is crucial for patients with respiratory failure. The mechanical takeover of diaphragm function leads to diaphragm dysfunction and atrophy (ventilator-induced diaphragmatic dysfunction), with an increase in oxidative stress as a major contributor. In most patients, a sedative regimen has to be initiated to allow tube tolerance and ventilator synchrony. Clinical data imply a correlation between cumulative propofol dosage and diaphragm dysfunction, whereas laboratory investigations have revealed that propofol has some antioxidant properties. The authors hypothesized that propofol reduces markers of oxidative stress, atrophy, and contractile dysfunction in the diaphragm.

Methods: Male Wistar rats (n = 8 per group) were subjected to either 24 h of mechanical ventilation or were undergone breathing spontaneously for 24 h under propofol sedation to test for drug effects. Another acutely sacrificed group served as controls. After sacrifice, diaphragm tissue was removed, and contractile properties, cross-sectional areas, oxidative stress, and proteolysis were examined. The gastrocnemius served as internal control.

Results: Propofol did not protect against diaphragm atrophy, oxidative stress, and protease activation. The decrease in tetanic force compared with controls was similar in the spontaneous breathing group (31%) and in the ventilated group (34%), and both groups showed the same amount of muscle atrophy. The gastrocnemius muscle fibers did not show atrophy.

Conclusions: Propofol does not protect against ventilator-induced diaphragmatic dysfunction or oxidative injury. Notably, spontaneous breathing under propofol sedation resulted in the same amount of diaphragm atrophy and dysfunction although diaphragm activation per se protects against ventilator-induced diaphragmatic dysfunction. This makes a drug effect of propofol likely. (Anesthesiology 2014; 120:665-72)
has been demonstrated in preserving cardiolipin levels of cardiac mitochondria from reactive oxygen species (ROS) interaction.8,9 Interestingly, in vessel smooth muscle cells, propofol increased ROS levels and subsequent apoptosis.10 Furthermore, in humans, Hermans et al.11 reported a relation between duration of propofol administration and the severity of diaphragm contractile dysfunction in ventilated patients. However, the study failed to isolate the effect of propofol from the detrimental effects of prolonged MV on the diaphragm.

On the basis of the latter study, the effects of propofol on different muscle types, site of action, and interaction with possible pathways of VIDD are not evident, yet. Importantly, the link between propofol use and severity of diaphragm dysfunction in ICU patients suggests that propofol sedation may have impact on patient outcome.11 We hypothesized that using propofol as sedative might reduce markers of oxidative stress and prevent fiber atrophy and contractile dysfunction in the diaphragm. We tested this with the use of our established rodent ventilation model of 24 h of MV.

Materials and Methods

Experimental Design

The study was approved by the ethical committee for animal experimentation of the Medical Faculty of the Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Leuven, Belgium. Animal experiments, diaphragm contractile properties, and tissue sample collecting were conducted at the University of Leuven. Adult male Wistar rats were randomly assigned to one of the three groups: (1) a control group (Con, n = 8) acutely anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital, (2) a group submitted to 24 h of controlled mechanical ventilation (CMV, n = 8) receiving maintenance anesthesia with propofol 2% (AstraZeneca, Wedel, Germany), or (3) a group submitted to 24 h of spontaneous breathing (SB, n = 8) anesthetized with propofol 2%. Sodium pentobarbital dose was 60 mg/kg and the initial dose of propofol was 20 mg kg⁻¹ h⁻¹. The latter was adapted according to anesthesia depth controlled throughout the experiment by evaluating foot reflex, corneal reflex, and arterial blood pressure. The general protocol has been described previously.12 In brief, all animals were initially anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital, tracheotomized using a plastic tracheal tube, and the carotid artery was catheterized for blood pressure monitoring and blood gas measurements. The jugular vein was also catheterized for continuous infusion of propofol (starting dose, 20 mg kg⁻¹ h⁻¹). Animals were then submitted to either CMV for 24 h or to SB for 24 h. Animals were ventilated using a volume-driven small-animal ventilator (665A; Harvard Apparatus, Holliston, MA), the tidal volume was set at 0.5 ml/100 g body weight, and the respiratory rate was 55 to 60 breaths/min. No positive end-expiratory pressure was used. The oxygen concentration was adjusted to maintain a \( pO_2 \) less than 150 mmHg. Gas mixture was humidified and maintained at 37°C. After 24 h, segments of the costal diaphragm were removed for measurements of \textit{in vitro} contractile properties as previously described.13 The remaining diaphragm samples, as well as the gastrocnemius muscle, were stored for further histological examination and muscle fiber typing and for biochemical analysis.

Finally, to rule out whether the observed effects might have been due to the carrier of propofol (Lipovenous 10%; Fresenius Kabi, Bad Homburg, Germany), a preliminary experiment was conducted in a subgroup of animals breathing spontaneously under pentobarbital anesthesia and receiving an infusion of carrier through the tail vein at a rate similar to the propofol infusion. In another preliminary experiment, we investigated the effect of pentobarbital during SB for 24 h. Because both the carrier and SB using pentobarbital did not affect diaphragm force, no further investigation was performed in these subgroups.

Muscle Histology. Diaphragm and gastrocnemius tissue was embedded in Optimal Cutting Temperature compound (Tissue Tek; Sakura Finetek Europe, Alphen aan den Rijn, The Netherlands) and frozen at ~80°C in liquid nitrogen. Using a cryotome, sections of 7 μm were cut and stained using antibodies against type I fibers (A 4.840), IIa fibers (SC71; Development Studies Hybridoma Bank, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA), and Dystrophin (Thermo Scientific, Maltham, MA) and linked with secondary antibodies. As secondary antibodies, Rhodamine red, Alexa fluor 350, and Alexa 488 (Invitrogen, Frankfurt, Germany) were used. Pictures were made using Fluorescence microscopy (Zeiss Axiosvision, Jena, Germany) at 400-fold magnification. Cross-sectional area was determined using Scion image software counting approximately 250 fibers per animal (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD).

Oxidative Stress Measurements and Protein Kinase B (Akt) Pathway

Protein Oxidation. The detection of protein carbonyls in the diaphragm and gastrocnemius muscle was performed using a protein oxidation detection kit (Oxyblot; Millipore, Billerica, MA). Diaphragm or gastrocnemius muscle samples were homogenized using a KPO₄ buffer, and total protein concentration was determined with the Bradford method.12 Derivatization of the samples, with dinitrophenylhydrazine, was carried out for 15 min on 10 μg of total protein following manufacturer’s instructions. The dinitrophenyl-derivatized proteins were separated on a 12% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel, transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane, and then stained with Ponceau S. After blocking, blots were incubated with the antidinitrophenyl primary and its secondary antibody, both delivered with the detection kit, and then developed using chemiluminescence (GE Healthcare, Diegem, Belgium) and analyzed with the software package (Bio 1D; Vilber Lourmat, Marne La Vallée, France) of the imaging system (Photo print, Vilber Lourmat). To quantify the amount of oxidation, we defined the oxidative index12
which is the ratio between densitometric values of the oxidized proteins and the Ponceau S–stained bands.

**Lipid Peroxidation, Akt, and Forkhead Box 0-1 (FoxO-1) Measurements.** Approximately 30 mg of diaphragm tissue was homogenized on ice in 800 μl lysis buffer containing 150 mM sodium chloride, 1.0% NP-40, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.6; all from Sigma Aldrich, Hamburg, Germany), and Protease inhibitor cocktail tablets (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany), using 2-ml Potter-S homogenization cylinders (Sartorius, Goettingen, Germany). Homogenates were centrifuged through Qiagen-shredder columns (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) at 390g for 2 min and the supernatant was used for the determination of protein concentrations, using a DC-Protein Assay Kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, München, Germany). Samples were boiled for 5 min after addition of Laemmlı-buffer (312.5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 50% glycerin, 10% β-mercaptoethanol, less than 5 mg bromphenol-blue; all from Sigma Aldrich). An equal amount of 20 μg of each sample was separated by 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred onto a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Bio-Rad Laboratories). After the semi-dry blotting procedure (60 min, 25 V), the membrane was incubated for 1 h at room temperature in 5% bovine serum albumin-blocking solution (Albumin fraction V; ROTH, Karlsruhe, Germany), followed by overnight incubation on a shaker at 4°C with specific antibodies against 4-hydroxynonalen (Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom), Akt (Cell signaling, Danvers, MA), FoxO-1 (Cell signaling), and glycerinaldehyde-3-phosphat-dehydrogenase as a loading control (Cell signaling). Overnight incubation was followed by repeated washing steps (3 × 5 min in Tris-buffered saline buffer containing 1% Tween20; Sigma Aldrich), then the membrane was incubated for 1 h at room temperature with horseradish-peroxidase–conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody (#7074; Cell signaling). The final reaction was visualized using enhanced chemiluminescence (WEST-ZOL Plus Western Blot detection system; iNtRON Biotechnology, Sungnam, Korea) and a detection system (BioDocAnalyze Live; Biometra, Goettingen, Germany). Images were taken and densitometrically analyzed with the software ImageJ (v1.46k; National Institute of Health, Bethesda, MA).

**Calpain and Caspase-3 Activities**

αII-Spectrin degradation was measured in the diaphragm as an indirect assessment of in vivo calpain and caspase-3 activity. Diaphragm muscle was homogenized as described in the Oxyblot procedure. Proteins were separated on 6% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes. Membranes were stained with Ponceau S to verify correct transfer. Blots were incubated with the primary antibody against αII-spectrin (Enzo Life Sciences, Antwerp, Belgium) and the appropriate secondary antibody (Prosan, Merelbeke, Belgium). Proteins were detected using chemiluminescence (GE Healthcare) and analyzed with the software package (Bio 1D; VIlber Lourmat) of the imaging system (Photo print; VIlber Lourmat). Calpain and caspase-3 activity are expressed as the ratio between the densitometric values of their specific breakdown products to the intact αII-spectrin.

**Statistical Analysis**

Population distribution was assessed with Shapiro–Wilk test. Comparisons for each dependent variable (muscle fiber size, 4-hydroxynonalen and Akt, FoxO-1) were made by a one-way ANOVA using Prism 6, GraphPad Software Inc. (La Jolla, CA), whereas for the force frequency measurements, a two-way repeated-measures ANOVA was performed with the SAS software (SAS 9.3; SAS Institute, Cary, NC). When appropriate, significant group differences were evaluated using a Tukey post hoc test. Significance was established at a P value less than 0.05 using two-sided P values. Data are shown as means ± 95% CI. The sample number for each measurement is indicated in the figure legends.

**Results**

**Systemic and Biological Response**

In the CMV group, one animal died during the experiment so that the final number of animals for this group was seven. Arterial blood pressure and blood gas data were similar between the different groups. Blood pressures were 108 ± 27 mmHg (CMV) and 102 ± 9 mmHg (SB) before sacrifice, the PaO2 at the end of the experiment was 114 ± 25 (CMV) and 99 ± 35 mmHg (SB), the PaCO2 was 40 ± 11 (CMV) and 39 ± 10 mmHg (SB), with a pH of 7.33 ± 0.1 (CMV) and 7.31 ± 0.1 (SB). The CMV and SB groups received 21.7 ± 3 and 18.8 ± 1 mg kg−1 h−1 of propofol, respectively.

**Diaphragm In Vitro Contractile Properties**

In the CMV and SB groups, the force frequency curve was shifted downward significantly at all stimulation frequencies greater than 50 Hz compared with Con (fig. 1). This reduction in force was similar for both the CMV and SB groups. Tetanic force was significantly decreased by 34% in the CMV animals and 31% (P < 0.001) in the SB group, when compared with Con.

**Muscle Histology**

A generalized and significant fiber atrophy was found in the diaphragm of the CMV group compared with Con (fig. 2). Similarly, generalized fiber atrophy was also present in the diaphragm of the SB although type IIx/b atrophy failed to reach statistical significance (fig. 2). In the gastrocnemius muscle, fiber size was not changed compared with Con in both groups.

**Protein Oxidation**

The oxidative index in the diaphragm was significantly increased by 51% (P < 0.01) in the CMV group compared with Con in the CMV group.
with Con while it remained unchanged in the SB group (fig. 3A). In the gastrocnemius, there were no differences in protein oxidation between the three groups. Compared with Con, lipid peroxidation/protein adducts (4-hydroxynonenal) was significantly increased in the diaphragm in the CMV ($P = 0.04$) compared with Con ($P = 0.005$) (fig. 4), whereas it was unchanged in the SB group (fig. 3B).

**Calpain and Caspase-3 Activity**

Diaphragm calpain activity was significantly increased in the CMV group (63%) compared with Con ($P = 0.005$) (fig. 4), whereas it was unchanged in the SB group. Caspase-3 activity was not different between the three groups.

**Levels of Akt and FoxO-1**

Protein levels of phosphorylated/dephosphorylated Akt as indicators of Akt activation were significantly down-regulated in the CMV ($P = 0.038$) and SB groups ($P = 0.002$) (fig. 5A). Downstream FoxO-1 (ratio of phosphorylated and dephosphorylated FoxO-1) did not change significantly (fig. 5B).

**Discussion**

**Overview of Principal Findings**

These experiments provide novel insights into the use of one of the major anesthetic drugs used for both short- and long-term sedation. Importantly, in contrast to previous sedation methods, propofol under spontaneous breathing resulted in diaphragmatic dysfunction. Open squares: spontaneous breathing ($n = 8$); triangles: controlled mechanical ventilation ($n = 7$); filled circles: control ($n = 8$); *$P < 0.05$ versus control.
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**Fig. 1.** Diaphragm force frequency curve in the controlled mechanical ventilation and spontaneous breathing sedated with propofol compared with control. Propofol under spontaneous breathing resulted in diaphragmatic dysfunction. Open squares: spontaneous breathing ($n = 8$); triangles: controlled mechanical ventilation ($n = 7$); filled circles: control ($n = 8$); *$P < 0.05$ versus control.
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**Fig. 2.** Cross-sectional areas (CSA) of the different fiber types in the diaphragm of the three groups. Propofol-anesthetized groups (either controlled mechanical ventilation [CMV] or spontaneous breathing [SB]) show the same levels of diaphragm fiber atrophy. White bar: control, light grey: CMV, dark grey: SB. *$P < 0.05$. CMV: n = 7; Con = control: n = 8; SB: n = 8; scale bar = 50 μm.
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**Fig. 3.** Protein oxidation (A) and lipid peroxidation (4-hydroxynonenal [4 HNE]) (B) in the diaphragm of the three groups. White bar: control, light grey: CMV, dark grey: SB. *$P < 0.05$. CMV: n = 7, Con: n = 8, B: n = 7, and SB: n = 8. GAPDH = glyceraldehyde-3-phosphat-dehydrogenase; IDV = integrated density value.
work regarding SB and diaphragm atrophy and weakness, both SB and MV groups demonstrated contractile deficits and fiber atrophy when sedated with propofol. Interestingly, markers of oxidative stress and proteolytic activity were only increased in the MV group, but not in SB animals. A brief discussion of these points follows.

**Diaphragm Dysfunction and Atrophy Occur in Both Ventilated and SB Animals under Propofol Sedation**

Animals submitted to CMV under propofol sedation developed VIDD and atrophy after 24 h. These results are in line with several publications in animals sedated with pentobarbital, showing loss of diaphragm contractile function and fiber atrophy after CMV. Surprisingly, our findings reveal a negative impact of propofol on the diaphragm in SB animals, which is in contrast to the data reported in SB animals sedated with sodium pentobarbital. Importantly, our findings reveal that diaphragm weakness and atrophy are related to the use of propofol solution as it is present in both SB animals and animals under MV. Although it is tempting to attribute this effect to the dose of propofol used in the current study, several arguments indicate that the dose is unlikely to explain those data. First, although this dose seems high when compared with human situations, this is in fact not the case. Indeed, the dose of propofol was pharmacologically scaled according to the higher metabolic function of rats; actually, this dose corresponds to a propofol dose of approximately 3.5 mg kg\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) in humans. 

**Fig. 4.** Calpain activity index (A) and caspase 3 (B) as markers of protein breakdown. White bar: control, light grey: controlled mechanical ventilation (CMV), dark grey: spontaneous breathing (SB). CMV: n = 5; Con = control: n = 7; SB: n = 8. *P < 0.05 versus others.

**Fig. 5.** Ratio of phosphorylated/dephosphorylated AKT (A) and FoxO-1 (B). White bar: control, light grey: controlled mechanical ventilation (CMV), dark grey: spontaneous breathing (SB). CMV (A: n = 6; B: n = 7), Con = control (A: n = 8, B: n = 8), SB (A: n = 7, B: n = 7). *P < 0.05 versus others. GAPDH = glycerinaldehyde-3-phosphat-dehydrogenase; IDV = integrated density value.
Propofol is a major agent for sedation in the ICU. Although the application is time limited due to the danger of the propofol infusion syndrome, leading to severe side effects as arrhythmia, hypotension, muscle necrosis, and acute renal failure with high mortality, it remains part of clinical practice for patients needing rapid awakening (e.g., after neurosurgery). Especially during the weaning process, it is used as a short-term sedative with a low-context sensitive half-life compared with benzodiazepines or many opioids. Besides improvement in lung function, the early activation of the diaphragm is meant to be the most effective way in protection against VIDD, with 5 min of SB per hour being sufficient to uphold protein synthesis. Although it may be possible that this contractile deficit is abundant after the end of propofol action, we also reported diaphragm muscle atrophy, suggesting that this contractile deficit is not transient and would not disappear upon cessation of propofol infusion. Hermans et al. have demonstrated that there is a correlation between the dosage of propofol and the amount of contractile dysfunction in ventilated patients. It should be considered that during ventilator weaning, which leads to gradual activation of the diaphragm, the usage of propofol might reduce diaphragm force instead of protecting it. Summarily, the described effects should be taken into account when longer-term propofol treatment of spontaneously breathing patients for periods of 24 h or even longer is considered, as the administration of propofol might counteract these benefits and harm the active diaphragm.

Conclusion

Propofol administration in spontaneously breathing animals reduced contractile force and fiber size as if the animals had been ventilated. Notably, propofol does not demonstrate antioxidant properties that might prevent VIDD. Whether these data can be translated to humans has to be determined and warrants investigation.
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