Polymorphism in the ADRB2 Gene Explains a Small Portion of Intersubject Variability in Pain Relative to Cervical Dilation in the First Stage of Labor
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ABSTRACT

Background: Variability in labor pain has been associated with demographic, clinical, and psychological factors. Polymorphisms of the β2-adrenergic receptor gene (ADRB2) influence sensitivity to experimental pain in humans and are a risk factor for chronic pain. The authors hypothesized that polymorphisms in ADRB2 may influence labor pain.

Methods: After Institutional Review Board approval and written informed consent, the authors prospectively obtained hourly pain reports from 233 nulliparous parturients during the first stage of labor, of which 199 were included in the current analysis. DNA from blood samples was genotyped at polymorphisms in the genes for the β2-adrenergic receptor, the μ opioid receptor subtype 1, catechol-O-methyltransferase, fatty acid amide hydrolase, and the oxytocin receptor. Labor pain as a function of cervical dilation was modeled with previously described methods. Patient covariates, ADRB2 genotype, and obstetrical and anesthesia treatment were evaluated as covariates in the model.

Results: Labor pain more rapidly became severe in parturients heterozygous or homozygous for the G allele at rs1042714 in the ADRB2 gene. Labor pain increased more rapidly after artificial rupture of membranes, augmentation with oxytocin, and in younger women. Inclusion of covariates explained approximately 10% of the variability between subjects. ADRB2 genotype explained less than 1% of the intersubject variability.

Conclusion: ADRB2 genotype correlates with labor pain but explained less than 1% of the intersubject variance in the model. (Anesthesiology 2014; 121:140-8)

The intensity of labor pain differs substantially among patients. Previous research has identified demographic, clinical, and psychological factors that influence the intensity of labor pain. However, these variables have only explained a small portion of the variability seen in clinical practice.

Genetic variation is thought to modulate nociception. Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are predictive of differences in pain perception in a variety of clinical and experimental settings. Polymorphisms in the ADRB2 gene have been implicated as predisposing factors for the development of chronic pain in a large birth cohort. Specifically, ADRB2 SNPs rs12654778 and rs1042713 were associated with chronic pain while other functional haplotypes in ADRB2 were also associated with the extent and duration of pain. Pharmacological blockade of β-adrenergic receptors has been reported to enhance pain relief in a variety of clinical settings and pain modalities.

We examined two relatively common, functional polymorphisms within the ADRB2 (rs1042713 and rs1042714) gene. We hypothesized that the two ADRB2 SNPs would be associated with increased labor pain. Additionally, for hypothesis generation we examined other genes that have previously been implicated in pain modulation, including the genes encoding the μ-opioid receptor, catechol-O-methyltransferase, fatty acid amide hydrolase, and the oxytocin receptor. We also evaluated the effects of demographic and clinical factors on the relation between cervical dilation and numerical rating scale for pain (NRS).


Submitted for publication May 21, 2012. Accepted for publication February 26, 2014. From the Department of Anesthesiology, King Fahad Medical City, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, and Department of Anesthesiology, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia (A.S.T.); Department of Anesthesiology, Columbia University, New York, New York (W.M.J.); Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Women’s Specialized Hospital, King Fahad Medical City, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia (S.H., R.T.); and Department of Anesthesiology, Perioperative, and Pain Medicine, Stanford University, Stanford, California (P.F.).

Copyright © 2014, the American Society of Anesthesiologists, Inc. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. Anesthesiology 2014; 121:140-8

Anesthesiology, V 121 • No 1 140 July 2014

What We Already Know about This Topic

• Polymorphisms of the β2-adrenergic receptor gene (ADRB2) influence sensitivity to experimental pain and are associated with development of chronic pain
• Whether polymorphisms in ADRB2 can explain variability in labor pain is unknown

What This Article Tells Us That Is New

• In modeling hourly labor pain as a function of cervical dilatation in nearly 200 women through the course of labor, ADRB2 explained less than 1% of intersubject variance, considerably less than that of induction of labor
Materials and Methods

After obtaining approval from the Institutional Review Board at King Fahad Medical City, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, we enrolled subjects between March 2010 and September 2010. Parturients who presented to labor and delivery rooms in the Maternity Hospital in King Fahad Medical City were interviewed by a physician and offered enrollment. Subjects provided written, informed consent.

This is a secondary analysis of these subjects. The primary analysis was the influence of genetics and patient covariates on the time course of cervical dilation, labor progress.\(^{16}\) Hourly pain scores were gathered in the subjects with the intent to perform this analysis of the genetic determinants, if any, of labor pain. The study methodology, the power analysis for the primary end point (cervical dilation), and the influence of genotype on the rate of cervical dilation are described in the primary article.\(^{16}\) The study methodology is repeated for completeness.

Inclusion criteria consisted of healthy nulliparous parturients who anticipated vaginal delivery of a singleton infant at term (37 to 42 weeks gestation). Patients were excluded from enrollment if they had preeclampsia, a history of chronic pain, or current use of pain medication. Patients with gestational or essential hypertension were not specifically excluded because of the extremely low incidence within the local population. Patients were excluded if they did not reach full cervical dilation. Pain observations after neuraxial analgesia were censored from the analysis.

As described in the primary article on labor progress,\(^{16}\) a sample size of 200 had a 90% probability of determining an effect of the primary covariate (ADRB2 rs1042714) on the rate of cervical dilation at \(P\) value less than 0.05, assuming the effect of genotype at a single locus could differentiate two populations (e.g., CC vs. CG + GG). Additional details not included in the primary reference\(^{16}\) are that given a 20% frequency of the interesting allelic combination, a power of 0.9, a one-sided test (given our \textit{a priori} knowledge of the direction of the effect), and 200 subjects, the effect size was calculated in R* as: \texttt{pwr.t2n.test \(d = NULL, n1 = 40, n2 = 160, sig.level = 0.05, power = 0.9, alternative = less\).}

The effect size was 0.52 (Cohen’s d statistic, applied to the difference in \(CD_{50}\) estimates in the primary reference), consistent with our intent to only power the study for clinically meaningful effects. As such, we enrolled 233 subjects to have meaningful effects. As such, we enrolled 233 subjects to have


was allowed to be accompanied by one family member of her choice. Patients were examined vaginally every 4 h in latent labor and every 2 h in active labor by the obstetrician. Active management of labor included rupture of membranes and oxytocin augmentation. Labor was induced with membrane rupture, misoprostol, and oxytocin as deemed medically appropriate by the obstetrician. The nurse to patient ratio was 1:1. Hourly pain scores were obtained on a form filled out by the patient with encouragement from nursing. Obstetrics and anesthesia practitioners. Pain scores did not necessarily coincide with an examination of cervical dilation. Pain scores were only recorded during the first stage of labor, by definition the stage associated with cervical dilation. Meperidine \textit{via} intramuscular injection was offered for analgesia two to three times during labor on maternal request but not after 8 cm cervical dilation.

Genetic data were obtained from a blood sample taken at the time of enrollment in the study. The samples were processed to extract DNA at Columbia University using the PureGene DNA Extraction Kit (Gentra, Minneapolis, MN). The genetic material was analyzed by Sequenom (Danvers, MA). Analysis was performed for the genes encoding the \(\beta\)-adrenergic receptor (ADRB2 rs1042713, rs1042714), the \(\mu\)-opioid receptor subtype 1 (OPRM1 rs1799971),\(^{12}\) catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT rs6269, rs4646312, and rs4633),\(^{13}\) fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH rs932816, rs4141964, and rs2295633),\(^{14}\) and the oxytocin receptor (OXTR rs53576, rs2254298, and rs2228485).\(^{15}\)

The demographic and clinical variables included for covariate analysis were age, height, maternal weight on admission, body mass index, birth weight, estimated gestational age, time of self-reported onset of contractions, time of artificial rupture of membranes, time of induction, time of onset of oxytocin administration, type of analgesia (no analgesia or 100 mg intramuscular meperidine every 3 h as needed), number and time of dosage of meperidine if applicable, mode of delivery (spontaneous vaginal delivery, instrumental delivery, or cesarean section after full cervical dilation), and previous medical history. The effect of meperidine was tested, but only on pain scores after the first dose of meperidine was administered to the patient.

The relation between cervical dilation and NRS pain score was modeled as a sigmoid equation with nonlinear mixed effects modeling (NONMEM), implemented using the graphical user interface PLTTools (PLTsoft.com, San Francisco, CA). NRS score was on an 11 unit scale with 0 representing no pain and 10 representing the worst possible pain with contractions. The model was built according to previously published methods.\(^{2,17}\) The pain model was described by four parameters: NRS pain score at cervical dilation = 0 (\(E_0\)), a maximum NRS pain score (\(E_{max}\)), the cervical dilation (CD) at which 50% of the maximum pain score was reached (\(CD_{50}\)), and the slope function of the sigmoidal equation, \(\gamma\), which approximately described the rate of development of labor.
pain as a function of increasing cervical dilation. The fit was constrained between 0 and 10 NRS points according to the following equation:

\[
\text{NRS} = E_0 + \left( E_{\text{max}} - E_0 \right) \times \frac{CD^\gamma}{(CD^\gamma + CD_{50}^\gamma)}
\]

After construction of the initial model, each demographic, clinical, and genetic factor was tested for significance on each of the model parameters. Each homozygous genetic variable was considered independently. Interindividual error on \(E_0\) and \(E_{\text{max}}\) was modeled using an additive model. Interindividual error on \(CD_{50}\) was modeled using a proportional error model. The residual intrindividual error was modeled using an additive error model.

Factors that improved the model in a statistically significant fashion, defined as \(P\) value less than 0.05 (improvement in \(-2\) log likelihood of >3.84), and tested by chi-square test with 1 degree of freedom, were maintained for inclusion in the multivariable model.

The final model was built by assembling the significant univariate results in order from greatest significance to least significance. They were sequentially added into the model, where they were again tested for statistical significance in the presence of previously added and retained covariates. Covariates that remained significant after correction for the more significant factors were maintained in the model. Covariates that failed to reach significance (by above criteria) when added to the model were discarded.

Once the final model was built, covariates were removed individually to validate their significance independent of other variables. If the model significantly worsened (\(-2\) log likelihood increased by >3.84) after removal of the covariate, it was maintained in the final model.

CIs for the final model parameters were calculated with \(-2\) log likelihood profiles on each parameter using 101 iterations. The prediction error (PE) was calculated as the measured NRS – predicted NRS. Model bias was assessed using median PE. Model inaccuracy was assessed using median absolute PE. Sample variance was calculated as the average squared PE (PE2).

The graphs were prepared using the R programming language (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

Results

Data Collection

A total of 233 parturients were enrolled.\(^6\) Of the initial cohort, 206 parturients completed the first stage of labor. Table 1 shows demographic and treatment data. Only two patients received epidural analgesia. The median number of labor pain scores provided for each patient was 7 with a range of 2 to 22. The median duration of labor was 9 h with a range of 3 to 24 h. All patients were Saudi.

A total of 202 patients were genotyped for \(rs1042713\), and 200 patients were successfully genotyped at

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of the Cohort Included in the Final Model (N = 199)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demographic Characteristics</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age (days)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight (g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical Characteristics</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artificial rupture of membranes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Augmentation (oxytocin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analgesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meperidine w/o epidural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meperidine with epidural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No analgesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSVD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrumental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cesarean Section</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NSVD = normal spontaneous vaginal delivery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Population Distribution of Genetic Polymorphisms Examined for Their Effect on Labor Pain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SNP (Total Population)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mu)-adrenergic receptor (rs1042713) (202)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(rs1042714) (200)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SNP = single nucleotide polymorphism.

rs1042714 (see table 2 for population frequencies). Among the 200 patients genotyped at rs1042714, a single subject did not have a recorded age. The final analysis cohort consisted of the 199 parturients for which we had age, rs1042714 genotype, and who completed the first stage of labor.

Initial Model

Covariates were individually tested in the model. Covariates significantly associated with labor pain were \(ADRB2\) (rs1042714 genotype CC, the common allele), \(\mu\)-opioid receptor type 1 (rs1799971, genotype AA, the common allele), fatty acid amid hydrolase (rs2295633, genotype AA, the minor allele), catechol-\(O\)-methyltransferase (\(COMT\), rs6269, genotype AA, the minor allele), oxytocin receptor (\(OXTR\) rs2228485 genotype TT and \(OXTR\) rs53576 genotype GG, both the minor allele), cesarean delivery, maternal height, weight, body mass index, oxytocin administration, induction of labor, and
artificial rupture of membranes. Meperidine administration did not influence the pain reported in labor.

**Final Model**

Table 3 shows the iterative improvement in objective function, the \( P \) value associated with the change in objective function, the median prediction error, the median absolute prediction error, variance, and change in variance with each additional parameter. The values for parameters that remained significant in the final model are shown in table 4.

Patients who expressed at least one G allele at the \( ADRB2 \) SNP rs1042714 (|2AR-27) have a more rapid transition to severe pain with increasing cervical dilation than parturients homozygous for the common allele (CC; fig. 1A). Older mothers had more gradual progression to maximal pain compared with younger women (fig. 1B). Their value of the \( \gamma \) slope function decreased by 0.18 (unitless) for each additional year of maternal age.

Management of labor significantly affected labor pain. As expected, treatments associated with the active management of labor were associated with more painful labor. Labor induction was associated with more pain in early in the first stage of labor (fig. 2A). Augmentation of labor with oxytocin was associated with development of maximum labor pain at an earlier cervical dilation, as was artificial rupture of membranes. Among subjects who were not treated with oxytocin, those who had artificial rupture of membranes reached maximal labor pain more than 1 cm of cervical dilation earlier than those who had no intervention (fig. 2B). Among those who were treated with oxytocin, rupture of membranes did not add to labor pain. Patients who were treated with meperidine had more rapidly increasing pain before they were treated with meperidine but after treatment they were not different from those who had not been treated. Figure 3 shows the goodness-of-fit of final model for the population (A) and post hoc individual (B) fits. The shaded regions represent prediction errors that cannot exist because numerical rating score is bounded by 0 and 10.

**Model Performance**

Table 3 shows the median prediction error, median absolute prediction error, and sample variance for initial model (no covariates), intermediate models, and the final model. The last two columns, the change in sample variance from the previous model, and the percentage of the potentially explainable variance, are described below.
All models were unbiased, with median predictions errors less than 0.1 NRS units. The typical miss, measured as the median absolute prediction error, ranged from 1.12 NRS units for the initial model to 1.04 NRS units for the final model. Thus, the accuracy of the prediction of the NRS decreased from only 1.12 to 1.04 NRS units during the course of model development. The median absolute prediction error for the individual post hoc predictions was 0.54 NRS units for the initial model, and 0.51 NRS units for the final model.

As shown in table 3, the sample variance (average squared error) of the initial model for the population fit was 3.316 NRS units². The sample variance of the initial model for the post hoc individual fits was 0.722 NRS units² (not shown in table 3). The difference between these, 2.594 NRS units² represents the variance that could be explained if each subject’s parameters (\(E_0\), \(E_{\text{max}}\), \(CD_{50}\), and \(\gamma\)) could be calculated from known covariates (e.g., age, genotype, oxytocin, induction, artificial rupture of membranes). As such, 2.594 NRS units² is the potentially explainable variance.

As shown in table 3, the log likelihood decreased with inclusion of additional covariates, the model variance decreased to 3.042 NRS units². This represents a reduction in sample variance of 0.274 NRS units² from the initial model, a decrease of 10.6%. The effect of oxytocin accounted for...
nearly two thirds of the 0.274 NRS units\(^2\) of explained variance. The effect of artificial rupture of membranes accounted for a quarter of the explained variance. Induction, age, and ADRB2 genotype all accounted for less of the potentially explainable variance (\textit{i.e.}, had small effects on model performance). Even with the best possible model, approximately 90% of the potentially explainable variance in the relation of pain to cervical dilation remained unexplained.

**Discussion**

Mixed effects models provide a technique for the analysis of complex, multifactorial processes, such as labor pain. Because of relatively low rates of cesarean section and neuraxial analgesia in the current study population, we were able to obtain rich pain data relevant to nulliparous delivery. This cohort is unique in providing hourly pain scores collected for the purpose of this study. As such this population provided the ability to evaluate, in great detail, how pain progresses as the cervix dilates during the first stage of labor.

The high level of unexplained variability is not surprising. The NRS pain score is anchored at no pain and maximal pain. There is no reason to expect nulliparous parturients to approach labor and delivery with consistent expectations for maximal pain. NONMEM can capture this subject to subject difference in scale as unexplained intersubject variability, demonstrated by the difference between the median absolute prediction error of 1.12 NRS units for the population fit, and 0.54 NRS units for the post hoc individual fits with the initial model. This tells us that intersubject variability and residual noise each explain about half of the residual unexplained variability.

Thus, calculation of the role of each covariate based simply on numerical evaluation of residual error, as shown in table 3, is complicated by the high levels of residual noise in the NRS measurement, and the lack of a means of standardizing the NRS scale among subjects. Another approach to calibrating these results is to anchor the effects to clinical observation. For example, the increase in pain associated with oxytocin only accounted for 6.73% of the potentially explainable variance, but it is clinically obvious that oxytocin substantially increases the pain of labor to the clinician who has followed the patient throughout labor. It might not be evident to a clinician who knew the pain score without knowing the patient’s previous pain trajectory. Similarly, artificial rupture of membranes clearly increases the pain and intensity of labor after the procedure. Although it accounted for only 2.62% of the potentially explainable variance, it causes a clinical evident change in labor pain. The same is true for induction of labor.

ADRB2 genotype accounts for less than 0.5% of the potentially explainable intersubject variability, about half of the effect of labor induction. The clinical interpretation is that the effect will be less than labor induction. Thus, although ADRB2 genotype might be clinically noticeable, the overall effect on labor pain will be small.

We confirmed our hypothesis that parturients who express at least one G allele at rs1042714 (\(\beta\)2AR-27) progress to severe pain more rapidly with increasing cervical dilation. ADRB2 genotype was not found to be a significant predictor of pain in

---

**Fig. 3.** Model goodness of fit. (A) The figure shows the error in NRS prediction (y axis) as a function of the predicted NRS score (x axis) for the population fit, reflecting the effects of covariates known to the model. The red line is Friedman SuperSmoother. The shaded regions show the portions of the figures where prediction errors cannot occur because both measured and predicted NRS are bounded by 0 and 10. (B) The figure shows the error in NRS prediction (y axis) as a function of the predicted NRS score (x axes) for the individual fit, reflecting the effects of covariates known to the model as well as random intersubject differences estimated by NONMEM (NONlinear Mixed Effects Modeling program). The red line is Friedman SuperSmoother. The shaded regions show the portions of the figures where prediction errors cannot occur, because both measured and predicted NRS are bounded by 0 and 10. The fit shows how well the model could work, given the limitations of the measurements and the model structure, if all of the intersubject variability could be explained by patient or treatment characteristics. NRS = numerical rating score for pain.
a cohort of 250 women who delivered at Columbia University in New York. However, the study by Reitman et al. did not have the ability to evaluate the full course of labor in most parturients because epidural analgesia was used frequently in that population after which point they were censored for analysis.

It is likely that patients with more rapidly progressive labor would request neuraxial analgesia at an earlier point in labor. Although we have confirmed that the G allele is associated with more rapid development of labor pain, this explains just a modest portion of intersubject variability.

The β2AR is the primary adrenergic receptor involved in relaxation of human uterine myometrium. Stimulation of the β2AR by endogenous catecholamines, which circulate at high levels during labor, results in relaxation of myometrial cells through a cyclic adenosine monophosphate–related mechanism. The two ADRB2 SNPs that we studied result in changes to the extracellular domain of the protein: an Arg→Gly mutation at amino acid 16, and a Gln→Glu mutation at amino acid 27. We found that parturients homozygous for the C polymorphism at position 27 had slower development of maximum labor pain. Previous work by Reitman et al. found an association between this same genotype and a slower progress of the first stage of labor. Our finding of a correlation between parturients homozygous for this polymorphism and slower development of maximum labor pain could be related to the slower development of coordinated contractions that occur during the first stage of labor.

The β2 adrenergic receptor has also been implicated in several pain syndromes that are unrelated to labor. Agonist autoantibodies against the β2AR have been found in patients with Complex Regional Pain Syndrome. The β2AR has been implicated in the mechanism of tricyclic antidepressant alleviation of neuropathic pain. One study found a correlation between two variants of the β2AR and chronic widespread body pain. Also, manipulation of the β2AR has also been shown to affect inflammation. Inflammation is well known to be important in cervical ripening in preparation for labor and has been associated with arrest of descent, and presumably a difficult and more painful labor course. Thus, inherited differences in the β2AR could contribute to differences in pain from contraction, intrinsic sensitivity to pain, and inflammatory processes, resulting in a more painful course of labor for parturients with polymorphic receptors.

In this study, maternal age was inversely associated with the rate of development of maximum labor pain. In one study, older age was associated with less use of epidural or spinal analgesia, probably reflecting lower request for such treatment. Labor pain is also influenced by psychological and social factors. For example, younger women tend to catastrophize the experience of labor pain more than older women, leading to greater difficulty in emotional adjustment after childbirth. This difficulty with psychological adjustment could lead to higher perceived and thus reported pain during labor.

Induction of labor with intravaginal prostaglandins is a relatively common intervention for women who would benefit from an expedited onset of labor for a variety of indications. Vaginal prostaglandins are administered to hasten the inflammatory processes that ripen the highly innervated cervix, which in combination with estrogens, may cause peripheral sensitization. Prostaglandins also promote uterine contractions. Greater labor pain in patients induced with prostaglandin could result from peripheral sensitization or stronger contractions against an unripe cervix. This correlation unmasks the more subtle pain effects related to the active management of labor, which perhaps would not be clinically important in centers where epidural analgesia is the primary pain control method.

These data shed new light on identified genetic differences and confirm previously documented effects of demographic and clinical differences because they relate to pain...
experienced during labor. They also highlight how much we do not understand. Even with the genetics and sophisticated modeling, we were only able to account for 11% of the potentially explainable intersubject variance. The tested polymorphisms accounted for less than 1% of the potentially explainable intersubject variance after adjustment for treatment differences including active management of labor.
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