IN the perioperative period, anaphylactic reactions are often induced by the intravenous administration of muscle relaxants, antibiotics, colloids, and radiocontrast materials as well as after local contact with latex-containing materials (e.g., gloves, catheters, airway tube) and chlorhexidine.\(^1,2\) Inhalation of toxic and highly irritant substances contained in cleaning solutions has occasionally been incriminated to produce acute bronchospasm and hypersensitization to other allergens.\(^3\) In this report, the postoperative onset of bronchospasm, hypotension, and urticaria is described and the involvement of both immune and nonimmune mechanisms is discussed.\(^4,5\)

**Case Report**

A 43-yr-old female nurse was referred to our hospital for open resection of a 15-mm pulmonary nodule located in the right lower lobe. She had stopped smoking 4 yr previously, and her past medical history included mild urticarial reaction to penicillin, erythromycin, codeine, and aspirin. Over the previous 6 months, the use of a disinfectant compound (Synergien®; Democal AG, Villars-sur-Glâne, Switzerland) at her workplace typically produced recurrent episodes of dry cough, breathlessness, and chest tightness. Otherwise, her medical examination, laboratory results, and functional lung volumes were all within normal values.

On the day of surgery, the patient was premedicated with 7.5 mg midazolam orally, a thoracic epidural catheter was placed, and 1.5 g cefuroxime was given intravenously for antibiotic prophylaxis. After induction of general anesthesia with propofol (200 mg), fentanyl (100 \(\mu\)g), and rocuronium (40 mg), the urinary bladder was catheterized, a double-lumen bronchial tube (Mallinckrodt 37-G; Carbamed, Zuerland) at her workplace typically produced recurrent episodes of dry cough, breathlessness, and chest tightness. Otherwise, her medical examination, laboratory results, and functional lung volumes were all within normal values.

Postextubation Severe Bronchospasm and Hypotension Triggered by Exposure to a Disinfectant Spray
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**Abstract**

A 43-yr-old female nurse was referred to our hospital for open resection of a 15-mm pulmonary nodule located in the right lower lobe. She had stopped smoking 4 yr previously, and her past medical history included mild urticarial reaction to penicillin, erythromycin, codeine, and aspirin. Over the previous 6 months, the use of a disinfectant compound (Synergien®; Democal AG, Villars-sur-Glâne, Switzerland) at her workplace typically produced recurrent episodes of dry cough, breathlessness, and chest tightness. Otherwise, her medical examination, laboratory results, and functional lung volumes were all within normal values.

On the day of surgery, the patient was premedicated with 7.5 mg midazolam orally, a thoracic epidural catheter was placed, and 1.5 g cefuroxime was given intravenously for antibiotic prophylaxis. After intravenous anesthesia induction with pentobarbital (400 mg), fentanyl (100 \(\mu\)g), and rocuronium (40 mg), the urinary bladder was catheterized, a double-lumen bronchial tube (Mallinckrodt 37-G; Carbamed, Zurich, Switzerland) was inserted, and tube positioning was confirmed by auscultation and fiberoptic bronchoscopy. Anesthesia was maintained with inhaled desflurane (2–5%), and analgesia was provided through continuous epidural administration of bupivacaine, 0.25%, and 2 \(\mu\)g/ml fentanyl. Under selective left lung ventilation using a semi-closed circuit, a right minithoracotomy was performed to remove a benign pulmonary mass (hamartoma). At the end of an uneventful surgical procedure, the patient was successfully extubated, being fully awake, pain-free, hemodynamically stable, and with adequate gas exchange (fig. 1; mean blood pressure, heart rate, and oxygen saturation measured by pulse oximetry).

After closure of the wound dressing, operating room technicians sprayed a disinfectant (Synergien®) over the surgical tables and equipment, which were located less than 2 m from the patient’s face. Within the next few minutes, the patient suddenly became restless and breathless, and wheezing difficulties developed, which consisted of audible expiratory wheezing, increased inspiratory effort, and arterial desaturation (down to 75%). Arterial hypotension (lowest systolic value: 65 mmHg) and generalized cutaneous erythema shortly followed the onset of respiratory distress. Immediate treatment consisted of intravenous adrenaline (0.5 mg, followed by 8.10\(^{-4}\) \(\mu\)g-1·min\(^{-1}\)) with hydrocortisone (500 mg), and spontaneous ventilation with 100% oxygen was manually assisted via an occlusive mask in a semisitting position. Neither secretions nor gastric fluid was present in her oral cavity. Fluids were rapidly infused (250 ml hydroxyethyl starch, 5%, and 750 ml crystalloids), and ipratropium and salbutamol were given by inhalation. Over the next 3 h, the skin rash disappeared and her cardiopulmonary condition dramatically improved; blood pressure and oxygen saturation measured by pulse oximetry remained stable after withdrawal of the adrenaline infusion and positive pressure airway support. Except for moderate hyperleukocytosis, laboratory results were within normal limits. A chest radiograph showed clear lung fields and a normal cardiac shadow.

Blood taken in the postanesthesia care unit revealed elevated total immunoglobulin E (IgE) levels (899 U/ml [normal: <100 U/ml]), and radioallergosorbent testing demonstrated raised titers of specific IgE antibodies against latex (12.9 U/ml). Retrospectively, the patient acknowledged that over the previous 12 months, mild contact dermatitis had appeared on both hands after wearing surgical gloves. In the surgical ward, the nurses were informed to keep a latex-free environment and to avoid spraying the disinfectant (Synergien®) in close vicinity to the patient. The rest of the hospital stay was unremarkable. She returned home 8 days later and resumed her job 5 weeks after surgery.

Nine weeks after surgery, a skin prick test with latex produced a 9-mm wheal and a 30-mm flare at 15 min (Stallergen). This was considered a positive result, because the histamine control gave a wheal of 4 mm and no reaction was seen in two control subjects at any concentration. Further skin testing (prick and intradermal tests) was negative with all substances to which the patient was exposed into the perioperative period, namely, thiopental, midazolam, cefuroxime, penicillin, hydroxyethyl starch, methyl paraben, bupivacaine, lidocaine, rocuronium, and chlorhexidine as well as any compounds contained in the disinfectant spray (methyl-ethyl-cetone, didecyl-dimethyl-ammonium-chloride, ethanol, and isopropanol). On the basis of these results, the patient was advised to wear disposable latex-free gloves and to avoid spraying the disinfectant (Synergien®) in close vicinity to her workplace.

In our hospital, to avoid room air pollution with potentially deleterious reactive chemicals, the medical safety committee has issued guidelines for the handling of disinfectants, warning against the application of any vaporized form.
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 Discussion
This report illustrates the postoperative acute onset
of severe asthma, followed by cardiovascular collapse
and skin rash after inhalation of disinfectant compo-
ounds, in a patient with the unsuspected diagnosis of
latex allergy.
In connection with anesthesia, mild signs such as flush-
ing and skin rash are rarely considered significant, be-
cause many drugs have histamine-releasing properties.
Nevertheless, assessment of patients with major intraop-
erative anaphylaxis should include a thorough review of
any previous allergic or irritative reactions, objective
documentation of clinical signs and biologic response,
and performance of specific allergic tests 6–8 weeks
after the event.
On the basis of clinical history, skin tests, and IgE
assays, latex is a frequent cause of intraoperative anaphy-
lyaxis, ranking second after neuromuscular blocking
drugs.4,5 In allergic subjects, direct epidermal or mucous
contact with latex-containing materials provokes IgE-
mediated degranulation of mastocytes accompanied by
clinical signs ranging from mild cutaneous eruption to
severe cardiopulmonary disturbances. Typically, a short
time delay (minutes) elapses between antigen exposure
and the onset of cardiopulmonary signs in a sensitized
subject.
Sensitized patients often have multiple episodes of
anaphylaxis before the correct agent is clearly identified.
Hospital workers are regularly exposed to latex-contain-
ing products and toxic substances (e.g., disinfectant) that
may be implicated in various allergic and irritative reac-
tions.1,5,6 Recently, life-threatening anaphylactic reac-
tions to chlorhexidine have also been described, partic-
ularly among patients requiring repeated invasive
procedures.5,7
In our patient, mild signs of contact dermatitis had
been overlooked at the preoperative consultation and a
perioperative latex-free environment was not deemed
necessary. After surgery, the diagnosis of latex hypersen-
sitivity was clearly documented by immunologic testing,
although no acute symptom was elicited by direct con-
tact with the face mask, oral airway, bronchial tube,
ventilation circuit, urinary catheter, perfusion lines, or
surgical gloves, which all contained latex.
A striking clinical feature was the close temporal asso-
ciation between a routine cleaning procedure near the
extubated patient and the onset of life-threatening mani-
festations. Although immune hypersensitization to sev-
eral reactive chemicals contained in surface disinfectant
has been reported in anecdotal clinical cases,6,9 allergo-
logic investigations were all negative for intravenous
drugs, surface disinfectants (including chlorhexidine),
and cleaning substances, except for latex.
Several arguments suggest that inhalation of sprayed
forms of disinfectant products could trigger the ob-
erved sequence of respiratory, cardiovascular, and cu-
taneous abnormalities. First, clinical history confirmed
the hyperreactivity of the patient’s airway to the same
disinfectant, characterized by dry cough and dyspnea10;
high airway concentrations of water-soluble substances
like quaternary amines (didecyl-dimethyl-ammonium-
chloride) contained in most disinfectants are known to
induce reversible upper airways constriction in suscep-
tible subjects, a so-called reactive airway dysfunction
syndrome.11 Second, chronic or repeated exposure to
nonallergenic and irritant substances included in disin-
fectants has been shown to enhance IgE sensitization to
common allergens (e.g., latex) and has been identified as
a risk factor for atopy and airway constriction.12 Third,
didecyl-dimethyl-ammonium-chloride is a polycationic
agent whose interaction with membrane surface recep-
tors has been shown to potentiate the release of IgE-
dependent histamine from mastocytes and therefore to
amplify the acute response to immunogenic agents.13
Fourth, the release of inflammatory mediators (e.g., cy-
tokines, prostanoids) as a result of surgical manipulation,
ischemia–reperfusion injury, and stress failure of the
alveolar–capillary barrier could also amplify the anaphy-
lactic response and its clinical manifestations.14
Taken together, these data suggest that “silent” hy-
persensitivity to latex could possibly be aggravated or
unmasked by concomitant airway challenge with qua-
ternary amines acting as coallergens in the context of
surgical stress, airway instrumentation, and one-lung
ventilation. Although intraoperative exposure to mul-
tiple latex-containing products failed to provoke an
immediate allergic reaction, inhalation of disinfect-
tant substances shortly after tracheal extubation was
associated with the development of the full scale of
acute respiratory, hemodynamic, and cutaneous
manifestations.
Latex Anaphylaxis after Tourniquet Release during Total Knee Arthroplasty
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THE risk of an anaphylactoid reaction represents 9–19% of all complications associated with anesthesia, and the rate of all perioperative deaths due to anaphylactic shock has been estimated at 5–7%.1,2 In France, 16.5% of anaphylactoid reactions during anesthesia involve hypersensitivity to latex,3 and cases of perioperative shock have been attributed to this allergen.3–5 To the best of our knowledge, there are no previous case reports of latex anaphylaxis after tourniquet release during orthopedic surgery. Our report involves a patient who developed severe anaphylactic shock when the tourniquet was deflated after knee arthroplasty. The patient’s outcome was favorable.

Case Report
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The anaphylactic nature of the shock was confirmed by analysis of the perioperative samples, which showed an increase in plasma concentrations of tryptase (immunoenzymatic dosage, UniCAP Tryptase System; Pharmacia, Paris, France) and histamine (RIA Histamine®; Immunotech, Paris, France). The tryptase concentration was 26.4 μg/l (normal value, < 12 μg/l), and the histamine concentration was 68.2 nm (normal value, < 9 nm). Latex-specific immunoglobulin E (immunoenzymatic dosage, CAP Fluorescence System®; Pharmacia) was 2.39 kU/l (normal value, < 0.35 kU/l; class 2: moderate).

At a follow-up visit 6 weeks later, the patient reported an episode of edema of the lips after eating an avocado several years earlier. Interview found no occupational exposure to latex and no history of anaphylactoid reaction to domestic products containing latex.

The patient did not react to a prick test with 0.4% phenol in saline (negative control) but had a wheal of 6 mm with a prick test with 9% codeine phosphate (positive control). Prick tests with latex were performed using two different commercial fresh natural rubber latex extracts (Stallergene® Stallergenes Laboratories, Antony, France) and Allerbio® (AB Laboratories, Varennes-en-Argonne, France)). Both extracts yielded a positive reaction. After 15 min, Stallergene® yielded a wheal of 8 mm, and Allerbio® yielded a wheal of 5 mm. A second test for latex-specific immunoglobulin E was performed, showing a high specific immunoglobulin E concentration of 12.4 kU/l (class 3). To reinforce the suspected diagnosis, an in vitro study of basophil degranulation was also performed. This method uses flow cytometry to quantify the amount of CD63 induced by various antigens. The value of basophil degranulation was 16% for latex with 1 μl Stallergene® solution and 17% for latex with 10 μl Stallergene® solution. This value was 26% for avocado with 10 μl Stallergene® solution. No degranulation was induced by a kiwi antigen. No reaction was observed during antigenic stimulation with dust mites or grass seeds. Intradermal tests were performed with the other anesthetics used for the patient, but results of these tests were negative.

Discussion

Despite that the release of metabolically active products and mediators from the acutely ischemic leg and acute loss of volume may have contributed to hypotension, the diagnosis of anaphylactic shock reaction to latex on removal of the tourniquet was quite likely. It was based on substantial clinical and biologic evidence, including positive results of skin tests. A female predominance of anaphylactic shock during anesthesia has been reported. The patient’s history of fruit allergy and atopic disease should be emphasized. When the limb was revascularized, systemic dispersal of the putative antigen was immediately followed by a severe allergic reaction (grade III) in this patient. She may have developed sensitivity to latex during previous surgical or occupational exposure. This has already been demonstrated for children and adults. An anaphylactic reaction has been estimated to occur in one third of all patients who have a known allergy to latex. The originality of the current case lies in the onset by cardiovascular collapse after tourniquet release.

Very similar events have been described on release of a tourniquet after applying rifamycin to an operative wound in patients who had previously been sensitized to rifamycin. In these observations, shock occurred 10 min after tourniquet release. Although the delay was shorter in the current case, the clinical picture was comparable. In the cases involving rifamycin, contact between the allergen and the operative site was extensive. In our case, surgical contact primarily consisted of contact with surgical instruments. There was brief contact with the surgeon’s gloves during use of the trial prostheses and application of cement. Consequently, the embolization of very few particles of latex after removal of the tourniquet was apparently sufficient to trigger the anaphylactic reaction. The same type of mechanism has been hypothesized in cases of obstetric patients who received oxytocin infusions subsequent to endometrial contact with latex gloves. 

The current case report illustrates the usefulness of a thorough preanesthesia interview with an exhaustive list of all foods documented to have cross-allergies with latex (including avocado, banana, kiwi, pineapple, passion fruit, etc). Despite the absence of glove-related skin symptoms in our patient, the cross-reactivity between latex and avocado is obvious. Recent studies have reported hevein-like protein domains responsible for major cross-reacting allergen reactions with avocado. Including a list of such food allergens in the preanesthesia questionnaire is recommended. Findings of this interview would permit efficient scheduling of an allergy workup adapted to the anticipated surgical procedure, possibly reducing the number of perioperative anaphylactic incidents. This report also provides support for the policy of immediately clearing an operative wound of latex in cases of anaphylactic shock to an agent that has not been clearly identified.
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Use of Inhaled Iloprost in a Case of Pulmonary Hypertension during Pediatric Congenital Heart Surgery
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IMPARED endothelium-dependent vasodilatation is present in children with high pulmonary flow and pressure which might be exacerbated by cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB). It has been reported that an increased pulmonary vascular resistance, either directly or as a surrogate of the systemic inflammatory response after cardiopulmonary bypass, has a significant effect on the postoperative recovery of infants after cardiac operations. Iloprost is the stable carbacyclic derivative of prostaglandin I2. The use of aerosolized prostaglandin I2 has shown to be safe in healthy lambs with regard to coagulation parameters, hemodynamics, and pulmonary toxicity. Inhaled iloprost has been used as a diagnostic tool to assess the vasodilator capacity of the pulmonary vascular bed in children with congenital heart disease and elevated pulmonary vascular resistance, as well as intensive care unit treatment of pulmonary hypertension in a small series of children after cardiac surgery. In adults, inhaled iloprost has been successfully used to control pulmonary hypertension after CPB. However, no data are available about the intraoperative use of inhaled iloprost in infants younger than 1 yr with pulmonary hypertension undergoing cardiac surgery.
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Case Report

A 6-month-old infant girl, weighing 3.66 kg, was scheduled for atrial and ventricular septal closure. The preoperative medical history included gestational age of 29 weeks at birth, trisomy 21, and bronchopulmonary dysplasia. Preoperative cardiac catheterization revealed an unrestrictive ostium secundum type atrial septum defect and an unrestrictive perimembranous ventricular septal defect, resulting in pulmonary hypertension with a pulmonary-to-systemic perfusion ratio (Qp/Qs) of 1.4 and a pulmonary-to-systemic vascular resistance ratio (Rp/Rs) of 0.6. The preanesthetic medication consisted of aldactone, hydrochlorothiazide, digoxin, and antibiotics. In the operating room, general anesthesia was induced with fentanyl followed by pancuronium bromide and was maintained with fentanyl (total dose, 82 μg/kg), isoflurane (maximum end-tidal concentration 0.4 vol%), and midazolam (total dose, 0.4 mg/kg) after starting CPB. CPB was performed using nonpulsatile flow (2.4 l/min × m²) with a membrane oxygenator in moderate hypothermia (rectal temperature > 35°C). To maintain full CPB flow at acceptable systemic pressures, the α-adrenergic antagonist urapidil (total dose, 1.0 mg/kg) was administered to keep the mean systemic blood pressure below 40 mmHg. Cold crystalloid cardioplegia (Brectschneider [histidine tryptophane ketogluurate] solution, 110 ml) was given before clamping the aorta. The aortic clamping time was 65 min. During perfusion of the heart, a loading dose of milrinone (50 μg/kg over 60 min) followed by a continuous infusion of 0.5 μg/kg/min was started. After a total CPB time of 112 min, weaning off CPB was successful at the first attempt. Inhaled iloprost (2.5 μg/kg) over 20 min) was administered after weaning off CPB, because the mean pulmonary artery pressure/mean systemic blood pressure ratio (Ppa/Ps) was increased to 0.72 and arterial oxygen saturation was 76%, despite hyperventilation. (Paco2, 30–35 mmHg) with an inspired oxygen fraction of 1.0. Inhaled iloprost was prepared from a vial of Ilomedin 50 i.v.® (Scherin AG, Berlin, Germany) containing iloprost 50 μg/2.5 ml and was diluted with isotonic saline to obtain a concentration of iloprost 2 μg/ml. For inhalation, 4.5 ml of iloprost 2 μg/ml were administered using an ultrasonic nebulizer. Inhaled iloprost decreased the Ppa/Ps to 0.59 and increased the oxygen saturation to 90%. The hemodynamic parameters and oxygen saturation readings are summarized in table 1. The patient was transferred with stable hemodynamic parameters to the pediatric intensive care unit. However, 120 min after terminating...
inhalational therapy with iloprost, the Pp/Ps increased again to 0.81. The postoperative course was complicated by recurrent pulmonary hypertensive crises during recovery from anesthesia that required prolonged sedation, relaxation, and nonselective pulmonary vasodilators despite the application of inhaled nitric oxide (iNO). We speculate that this may be because of a higher sympathetic activation during recovery from anesthesia and/or a minor response to iNO. Inhaled iloprost, however, has not been used during mechanical ventilation in the pediatric intensive care unit. The patient was ventilated for 6 postoperative days and was discharged to the referring hospital on the seventh postoperative day.

Discussion

This case report demonstrates that a single dose of inhaled iloprost (2.5 μg × kg⁻¹ over 20 min) may be used to decrease Pp/Ps and to improve oxygen saturation in an infant after weaning off CPB; 120 min later the Pp/Ps returned to baseline. A documented hemodynamic effect for 1 to 2 h has previously been described.⁸ The effective dose of inhaled iloprost in infants is not clear and seems to be dependent on the clinical setting. From previous applications, we speculate that a lower dose of inhaled iloprost is not very effective in infants after weaning off CPB, who were already hyperventilated with 100% oxygen. In accordance with Rimensberger et al.,⁶ we observed no decrease in systemic blood pressure even though we used a fivefold higher dose. This may be explained by our clinical setting (i.e., immediately after weaning off CPB; intraoperative use of the systemic vasodilators rapipidil and milrinone). Theoretically, different characteristics of the aerosol spray may result in different intrapulmonary drug depletion characteristics, which could explain the lack of spillover into systemic circulation. However, we used a tested ultrasonic nebulizer (Optineb®; Nebu-Tec, Elsenfeld, Germany) that provided an aerosol with a mass median aerodynamic diameter of the droplets of 3.4 μm.

Although iNO is widely used to decrease pulmonary vascular resistance in infants undergoing cardiac surgery, the effects of iNO vary among patients and cumbersome devices are necessary to administer iNO safely.⁹,¹⁰ Furthermore, rebound phenomena have been described with iNO withdrawal, bearing the risk of life-threatening pulmonary hypertensive crisis (e.g., during transportation to the intensive care unit).¹¹ Inhaled iloprost may, therefore, be an alternative for selective pulmonary vasodilation in infants undergoing cardiac surgery because it is effective, easy to use, and long-acting. Furthermore, from an economic point of view inhaled iloprost may be attractive because iNO became very expensive after approval by the Food and Drug Administration.

Table 1. Changes in Hemodynamic Parameters and Arterial Oxygen Saturation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before II</th>
<th>End of II</th>
<th>60 min after II</th>
<th>120 min after II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate, beats/min</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic blood pressure, mmHg</td>
<td>73/58/45</td>
<td>73/64/41</td>
<td>72/54/42</td>
<td>62/43/35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary artery pressure, mmHg</td>
<td>59/42/28</td>
<td>46/34/25</td>
<td>43/32/22</td>
<td>47/35/26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pp/Ps</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial oxygen saturation, %</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

II = inhaled iloprost; Pp/Ps = mean pulmonary artery pressure/mean systemic blood pressure ratio.
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PULMONARY hypertension is an important risk factor for the development of acute right heart failure after cardiac surgery.\textsuperscript{1,2} Even with early and adequate therapy, right ventricular (RV) failure is associated with increased morbidity and mortality.\textsuperscript{1,3} We report the case of a patient with severe pulmonary hypertension related to aortic valve stenosis and mitral valve insufficiency who underwent combined bivalvular surgery and coronary artery bypass grafting. Pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) was effectively decreased after the administration of inhaled iloprost before cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) and during weaning from CPB. RV failure could be avoided and the perioperative course was uneventful.

**Case Report**

A 78-yr-old female patient (height, 1.75 m; weight, 74 kg) presented with a history of syncope and congestive heart failure. Cardiac catheterization revealed severe aortic valve stenosis (aortic valve area, 0.49 cm\textsuperscript{2}; mean pressure gradient 58 mmHg), mitral valve insufficiency (degree II), critical stenosis of the left main coronary artery, impaired left ventricular function, and hypokinesia of the anterior and apical left inferior wall. Furthermore, severe pulmonary hypertension was diagnosed (pulmonary artery pressure, 80/30 mmHg; mean pulmonary artery pressure, 65 mmHg; pulmonary artery occlusion pressure, 45 mmHg).

After the induction of anesthesia with sufentanil and midazolam, anesthesia was maintained with isoflurane and sufentanil. Hemodynamic monitoring consisted of arterial, central venous, and pulmonary artery catheterization. Hemodynamic parameters are presented in table 1. In addition, transesophageal echocardiography (Omniplane II T6210 probe; Sonos 5500, Philips Medical Systems, Best, The Netherlands) was performed intraoperatively. Before CPB, transesophageal echocardiography confirmed the diagnoses obtained by cardiac catheterization and revealed severe RV dysfunction. Detailed echocardiographic data are listed in table 2.

After the induction of anesthesia, nitroglycerin was administered intravenously to decrease PVR; however, the nitroglycerin was not effective (table 1). After sternotomy, PVR increased, probably because of increased RV preload caused by the reduction in intrathoracic pressure. Therefore, we administered 12.5 µg aerosolized iloprost (Flomedin®; Schering Deutschland GmbH, Berlin, Germany) over 15 min vía a commercially available nebulizer (Aeroneb® Pro; Aerogen Inc., Mountain View, CA) connected to the inspiratory limb of the ventilator circuit. The administration of iloprost significantly decreased pulmonary artery pressure and PVR and was accompanied by an increase in cardiac output. CPB was performed using moderate hypothermia (30°C), and cardiopulmonary arrest was instituted with 2 l of crystalloid cardioplegia. The patient underwent aortic valve replacement, mitral valve repair, and aorto-coronary bypass grafting to the left anterior descending and circumflex arteries. The duration of ischemia was 140 min. After 80 min of reperfusion, 12.5 µg inhaled iloprost were again administered over 15 min. Weaning from CPB was completed after a reperfusion time of 97 min. Moderate doses of vasoactive agents were administered to achieve adequate hemodynamic parameters. Transesophageal echocardiography showed an improvement in RV-function parameters after CPB; the RV-fractional area change increased from 18% (pre-CPB) to 38% (post-CPB). The patient was transferred to the intensive care unit, and endotracheal extubation was performed 13 h postoperatively.

**Discussion**

Impaired RV function is associated with a poor outcome in the surgical and nonsurgical settings.\textsuperscript{1,4} The mortality of patients with combined arterial hypertension and severe RV dysfunction after CPB (defined as RV-fractional area change < 35%) can reach 86%.\textsuperscript{5}

Adequate treatment of RV failure consists of different strategies. The main goal is to decrease RV afterload by using vasodilating agents. The use of intravenously applied vasodilators is limited, as they are not selective to the pulmonary circulation and often cause arterial hypotension. Therefore, the administration of selective pulmonary vasodilators such as inhaled nitric oxide and prostacyclin may be beneficial.\textsuperscript{5,6} Inhaled prostacyclin seems to be the more favorable agent because of its lack of toxicity, ease of application, and reduced costs.\textsuperscript{5} Prostacyclin is the stable carbacyclin derivative of prostacyclin and can be administered intermittently, as the hemodynamic effects of a single dose are sustained for approximately 60-120 min.\textsuperscript{7} Although the plasma half-life time of intravenously administered iloprost is known (20-30 min), no pharmacokinetic data are available concerning the plasma half-life time and the bioavailability after administration of inhaled iloprost.\textsuperscript{8}

Similar to inhaled prostacyclin, inhaled iloprost causes a more pronounced increase in cardiac output and a
greater degree of PVR-reduction when compared with inhaled nitric oxide.\(^9\) Inhaled iloprost has been successfully used in the long-term therapy of pulmonary hypertension and in the testing of pulmonary vascular responsiveness.\(^9,10\) To our knowledge, only three reports are available concerning the use of inhaled iloprost during cardiac surgery, two of them in patients awaiting or having undergone heart transplantation.\(^11-13\)

In the present case, we used inhaled iloprost as part of a stepwise approach to prevent RV failure in a patient with severe pulmonary hypertension undergoing combined valve surgery and coronary artery bypass grafting.

Table 2. Intraoperative Changes for Hemodynamic Data Obtained by Transesophageal Echocardiography

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-CBP</th>
<th>Post-CBP†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preoperative*</td>
<td>After Anesthesia Induction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP, mmHg</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVP, mmHg</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPAP, mmHg</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAOP, mmHg</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPG, mmHg</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR, min(^{-1})</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO, l/min</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SV, ml</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVR, dyne (\cdot) s \cdot cm(^{-5})</td>
<td>2,057</td>
<td>2,016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVR, dyne (\cdot) s \cdot cm(^{-5})</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVR/SVR ratio</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epinephrine, (\mu g) (\cdot) kg(^{-1}) \cdot min(^{-1})</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norepinephrine, (\mu g) (\cdot) kg(^{-1}) \cdot min(^{-1})</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitroglycerin, (\mu g) (\cdot) kg(^{-1}) \cdot min(^{-1})</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milrinone, (\mu g) (\cdot) kg(^{-1}) \cdot min(^{-1})</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data obtained by cardiac catheterization. † After 12.5 μg of inhaled iloprost.

Administration of inhaled iloprost before CPB showed that the substance acted as an effective pulmonary vasodilator in our patient. Despite a concomitant decrease in mean arterial pressure and systemic vascular resistance (SVR), iloprost led to a more pronounced reduction of pulmonary artery pressure and PVR, so that the PVR/SVR ratio was remarkably decreased before CPB. During reperfusion, iloprost was again administered. PVR and pulmonary artery pressure were significantly decreased when compared with the preoperative values. However, the PVR/SVR ratio was increased after CPB, which can be attributed to an increase of PVR due to CPB-induced pulmonary vascular injury and to a decrease in SVR. Reduction of SVR after CPB is a well-known phenomenon mainly caused by hemodilution and activation of inflammatory mechanisms by extracorporal circulation. The additional use of milrinone contributed to the decrease in SVR.

We used inhaled iloprost during weaning from CPB as an integral part of the therapy and not as a rescue medication. This is in contrast to other case reports, in which inhaled nitric oxide, prostacyclin, or iloprost were used after RV failure had already occurred.\(^14,15\) The most effective dose and the best time for the administration of iloprost are still unknown. We used a dose of iloprost that is within the range described in the literature,\(^7,11\) and we administered the second dose before starting the weaning from CPB. Thus, an effective RV unloading could be expected in the immediate post-CBP period. RV failure with the need for an excessive dosage of catecholamines or even for reinstitution of CPB could be avoided. Despite the use of positive inotropic substances and surgical correction of valvular disease, echocardiographic parameters indicated a significant impair-
Intraoperative measurement of left ventricular function after CPB, most probably caused by severe myocardial stunning. Thus, it seems unlikely that improvement of RV function was caused solely by the surgical procedure.
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